BEAUFORT
PLANNING COMMISSION
AGENDA
1911 Boundary Street, Beaufort, SC 29902
Phone: 843-525-7011 ~ Fax: 843-986-5606
Monday, June 17, 2024, 5:00 P.M.
City Hall, Council Chambers, 2nd Floor – 1911 Boundary Street, Beaufort, SC

Please click the link below to join the webinar:
https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83355479877?pwd=ih6YZ8tE2P46OXzgGltMDuWmMIHSDg.w9IoIGdJjXltf5QY
Password: 117993  Meeting ID: 833 5547 9877  Call in Phone #: 1+929 205 6099

STATEMENT OF MEDIA NOTIFICATION: "In accordance with South Carolina Code of Laws, 1976, Section 30-4-80(d), as amended, all local media were duly notified of the time, date, place and agenda of this meeting."

I. Call to Order

II. Pledge of Allegiance

III. Review Commission Meeting Minutes:
   A. May 20, 2024 Meeting Minutes

IV. Questions Relating to Military Operations

V. Old Business:
   A. Marshview Estates – Major Subdivision

      The applicant, Josh K. Tiller, J.K. Tiller Associates, Inc., is requesting sketch plan approval for the major subdivision of Marshview Estates located at 30 Harding Street. The subject properties total 14-acres and are further identified as R120 029 00B 0056 0000, R120 029 000 0112 0000 and R100 029 00B 0057 0000.

   B. Amendments to Chapter 9 of the Development Code: Amendments to Section 9.10.2 Historic Review Board Process, Certificates of Appropriateness, etc.

VI. New Business:
   A. Public Hearing: Amendments to the 2021 Beaufort Comprehensive Plan. Specifically, a housing impact analysis to be considered as an appendix to the adopted 2021 Beaufort Comprehensive Plan.

VII. Adjournment

Note: If you have special needs due to a physical challenge, please call Julie Bachety at (843) 525-7011.
Planning Commission
Meeting Minutes – May 20, 2024

CALL TO ORDER:

There was audio and technical difficulties through the meeting.

A meeting of the Planning Commission was called to order by Curt Freese, Community Development Department Director, and was held in-person on May 20, 2024 at 5:00 PM.

ATTENDEES:

Members in attendance: Benjie Morillo, Chairman; Mike Tomy, Vice-Chairman; Kim McFann, Secretary; Bill Suter; Clinton Hallman and Paul Trask.

Staff in attendance: Curt Freese, Community Development Department Director and Ryan Greene, Community Development Department Planner II.

REVIEW OF MINUTES – APRIL 15, 2024:

Motion: ___ made a motion to approve the April 15, 2024 minutes as submitted. ____ seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

All Planning Commission meeting minutes are recorded and can be found on the City’s website at http://www.cityofbeaufort.org/AgendaCenter. Audio recordings are available upon request by contacting the City Clerk, Traci Guldner at 843-525-7024 or by email at tguldner@cityofbeaufort.org.

QUESTIONS RELATING TO MILITARY OPERATIONS:

None.

NEW BUSINESS:

A. Marshview Estates – Major Subdivision

The applicant, Josh K. Tiller, J.K. Tiller Associates, Inc., is requesting sketch plan approval for the major subdivision of Marshview Estates located at 30 Harding Street. The subject properties total 14-acres and are further identified as R120 029 00B 0056 0000, R120 029 000 0112 0000 and R100 029 00B 0057 0000.

Curt Freese presented the staff report for the project.
Public Comment:

Numerous citizens and groups (some names and details unavailable due to recording problems) objected to the proposed development for on a variety of bases including without limitation traffic concerns per se and due to stormwater and maintenance issues regarding county owned roads in vicinity; height, mass and scale and to the development being a gated community.

Unknown resident spoke about the property and holes that have water pop up. She thought they were going to fill in with the property. If they don’t fill in, it will affect our lives and our homes. The amount of trees coming out are going to cause a major problem with the water absorption again. Her property backs up to this development. She also had concerns that the road hasn’t been taken care of. It’s very narrow and only a few ins and outs in the whole area. The road won’t be able to handle all of these new homes. What the county is helping them with is not helping us.

Stacy Miller, 139 Old Jericho Road referred to the site plan and said her house is on the other side of the development. She is attending to represent the renters of the duplexes on the other side of this big development. She said she has an 11-year old daughter and the road is so dangerous now that she can’t walk her dog or ride her bike and with this development, it’s going to make both sides of our community to her daughter and to the other kids in the community worse. There is a wreck at the end of road daily and this will add more. We need to take a look at the entire neighborhood and not the one tiny section.

John Torrance, 62 Harding Street said he hears this development went to the Zoning Board and a member on this board mentioned that it should never have been zoned residential. To allow this project to go forward knowing it was a mistake in the first place is a bad direction. The drawing shown tonight is not the proposed drawing for the development. There’s changes that they are saying they are making but are not shown on the drawing you see tonight. There is only one entrance to this development and that will make trouble and having a gate will make it worse. He referred to a topographical study and drawing and believes this Board should have a right to look at everything that goes into the end result. He feels the community park proposed will be a private park not allowed for the existing owners. Traffic will be terrible with this development. There are too many homes for a small piece of property.

Grant McClure represents South Carolina Coastal Conservation League SCCL with offices located 1212 King Street suggests prior to the approval of this subdivision that the developer provide a survey that identifies the landmark and the specimen trees on the parcels including the property that was recently annexed into the City. It’s critical to understand how the subdivision lot lines and the street layout will impact the landmark and specimen trees on the site. He referred to the T4-N zoning and the flexibility this zoning designation has regarding trees. We also encourage the developer to utilize the most current flood maps and data to form the sketch plan design and to avoid building in the more vulnerable and flood prone areas. He referred to the rising sea levels. Also, a commitment to preserve all trees in the river buffer, which extends 30 from the OCRM critical line, should also be required before sketch plan approval.

Dave Robles, 79 Adams Circle feels this development is going to go forward regardless of what the residents say today. He talked about greed and referred to this development which will have 36 houses on a small piece of land, it’s ridiculous. He referred to the trees and felt they would not be
saved as they proposed. He referred to other developments such as the one on Burton Hill Road and Old Salem Road. He asked the members not to approve 36 houses but approve smaller amount. He suggested the board members go out to the site.

Larry Weatherford, 58 Adams Circle, agreed with Dave Robles.

Ms. McFann asked that two public comments sent via email be made a part of the record. One was from Libby Anderson and an email from by an unknown person which staff stated was on the subject of wildlife and which was not provided to the full commission.

Public comment closed.

Commission discussion ensued and the applicant was questioned regarding many details regarding the proposed development including building heights, parking, emergency vehicle access, whether the development would in fact be gated, sidewalks, tree preservation and related issues.

1:01:30

Motion: Ms. McFann made a motion to table the application pending further review and receipt of the following items:

1. Revised sketch plan that shows:
   a. preservation of the two trees in the entryway
   b. preservation of trees in the proposed road
   c. preservation of trees that are at the ends of some of the hammerhead turnaround.
   d. Modification of some sidewalks to preserve trees
   e. Increase the sidewalks to six feet overall where possible
   f. Extension of the sidewalks to reach all the homes shown on the plan.
   g. additional street parking so that there is some street parking up by the northernmost homes, at minimum, and possibly some additional parking other than that is shown near the pond by the waterfront park.
   h. no gate as the applicant has stated at the meeting they will forego the gate.

2. A letter from the Fire Marshall that would reflect that the hammerheads as revised on the new sketch plan requested at the meeting will be satisfactory for purposes of ingress and egress and turnarounds for emergency vehicles.

3. Tree protection plan/tree removal plan and replacement plan in addition to the specific requests made in 1.

4. A conceptual engineering plan that gives more information.

5. A sketch plan showing the planned placement of the pads for the homes and driveways on the lots, elevation type renderings of the whole project to show what it would look like from various points around the project including the entryway, the water, and possibly different spots showing what the proposed developer would look like in place in the area to a ground/water level observer.

6. A written representation by the developer as stated here today that the overall height of proposed homes would not exceed three stories or 35 feet.

Mr. Trask seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.
OLD BUSINESS

A. **Tabled Amendments to Chapter 9 of the Development Code:** Amendments to Section 9.10.2 Historic Review Board Process, Certificates of Appropriateness, etc.

Commission took a five minute break.

Commission reconvened.

Ms. McFann referred to the letter that was sent to Staff on the day of the meeting from Mr. Stewart dated May 20, 2024 where he raises a possible legal issue regarding some of the changes in the Code, and there was discussion regarding what was meant by some of the contents of the letter.

**Motion:** Ms. McFann made a motion to table further discussion of this letter and do the following:

1. Ask Mr. Stewart if he could provide us with some more specifics about what Code language he is talking about so we can understand his argument better.
2. Whether or not he responds, we present it to the City Attorney for an opinion before we take any action on the letter.

After further discussion with the Commission, Ms. McFann withdrew her motion and made a new motion.

**Motion:** Ms. McFann made a motion that we decline to consider this letter at this time, pending completion of our work in making a recommendation regarding the code language to City Council.

Motion failed due to lack of a second.

The Commission and staff moved on to discuss the topic of demolition and possible changes to the current language in the code, including the original proposed changes, Mr. Hallman’s draft based on work session discussions and provisions in the City of Charleston’s Code.

Lise Sundrla from Historic Beaufort Foundation spoke stating she likes where this is going and recommended some tweaks.

The Commission discussed having a worksession and Mr. Trask would like the worksession to focus on this particular issue of demolition. It was decided that the Committee would have a work session on Wednesday, June 5, from 3:00 – 5:00 pm in the City Planning Conference Room.

**Motion:** Ms. McFann made a motion to table this topic until after a worksession Wednesday, June 5 from 3:00 – 5:00 pm. Mr. Suter seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Beth Grace, lives at 509 North Street and is the Vice-Chairman of the Historic Beaufort Foundation, spoke about requesting demolition applicants identify future plans for sites.

Mr. Freese said tomorrow night at 5:00 pm in the Planning Conference Room is another code edit meeting.
**Motion:** Mr. Hallman made a motion for adjournment at 7:15 pm seconded by Ms. McFann. The motion passed unanimously.
**GENERAL INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant:</th>
<th>Josh K Tiller</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addresses/Parcel Numbers:</td>
<td>30 Harding St, R120 029 00B 0056 0000, R120 029 000 0112 0000, &amp; R100 029 00B 0057 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant’s Request:</td>
<td>Approval of Major Subdivision Sketch Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Zoning:</td>
<td>T4-N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZONING DISTRICT INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T4-N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lot Width at Setback:</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Lot Coverage:</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. Frontage Build Out</td>
<td>60%-85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front Setback:</td>
<td>0 ft - 15ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side Setback:</td>
<td>0 ft - 10ft corner/alley; 5ft interior detached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear Setback:</td>
<td>10 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Height:</td>
<td>4 story max</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SURROUNDING ZONING, LAND USE AND REQUIRED BUFFERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjacent Zoning</th>
<th>Adjacent Land Uses</th>
<th>Setbacks for Adjacent Zoning /Buffer required if rezoned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North: C3NMU (County)</td>
<td>Single Family Dwellings</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South: n/a</td>
<td>Marsh area</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East: C3NMU (County)</td>
<td>Forested parcel</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West: C3NMU (County)</td>
<td>Single Family Dwellings</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Background:** At the May 20th Planning Commission Meeting this proposal was tabled until the applicant can supply more information requested by the Planning Commission. The items requested were 1. A revised Sketch plan, 2. A tree removal plan, 3. A conceptual Grading & Drainage plan 4. An average plot plan, 5. A letter from the developer stating no home shall be over 37 feet in height.

**Updated Sketch Plan Information:** The sketch plan now shows the entrance road bending around trees to preserve them, 6-foot-wide sidewalks, the sidewalk on the SE portion extended to connect to the other two lots, the sidewalk removed on the portion north of the waterfront park in order to preserve trees, and additional parking for the Waterfront Park and Mail Kiosks.
Further information anticipated: With the details requested by the Planning Commission, the applicant has requested more time to provide info.

Staff Recommends Tabling to July 15 PC meeting, as the majority of information requested by the PC has not been provided. The request is on the agenda, as it was officially tabled to the June 17th meeting.

RECOMMENDATIONS & Conditions

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends tabling approval of sketch plan approval, as materials requested by the Planning Commission on May 20, 2024, have yet to be provided. The following issues have not been resolved:

1. All dead-end streets shall be converted into a Cul-De-Sac or a Turn-Around Road design.

2. The landscaping along Harding Street shall be dense enough to block all dwelling visibility on Harding Street.

3. The development shall have no gates.

4. As self-imposed and stated to staff, no dwelling shall be over 37 feet in height as measured from ground level to absolute height.

5. In the Site Plan application to staff, the developer shall provide an average plot plan showing
   a. All impervious surface area calculated.
   b. An artist’s rendering of the home.
   c. A human scale perception to understand how tall the homes will be. (A person of 5’9” height, next to the home in the rendering)
   d. Include the height of homes near the proposed homes (This mainly applies to across Harding St)
   e. All on lot parking shown.
Exibit A: The updated Sketch Plan (syn. Preliminary Plat) of the proposed subdivision.
Exhibit B: The surrounding zoning (The GIS system, at this time, has not been updated yet to show the site is T4-N)
STAFF REPORT: Major Subdivision (Marshview Estates)

DATE: 5/20/24

GENERAL INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant:</th>
<th>Josh K Tiller</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addresses/Parcel Numbers:</td>
<td>30 Harding St, R120 029 00B 0056 0000, R120 029 000 0112 0000, &amp; R100 029 00B 0057 0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant's Request:</td>
<td>Approval of Major Subdivision Sketch Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Zoning:</td>
<td>T4-N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZONING DISTRICT INFORMATION

| Lot Width at Setback: | T4-N |
| Max Lot Coverage: | n/a |
| Min. Frontage Build Out | 70% |
| Front Setback | 60%-85% |
| Side Setback | 0 ft - 15ft |
| Rear Setback | 0 ft - 10ft corner/alley; 5ft interior detached |
| Building Height: | 0 ft - 15ft |

SURROUNDING ZONING, LAND USE AND REQUIRED BUFFERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjacent Zoning</th>
<th>Adjacent Land Uses</th>
<th>Setbacks for Adjacent Zoning /Buffer required if rezoned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North: C3NMU (County)</td>
<td>Single Family Dwellings</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South: n/a</td>
<td>Marsh area</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East: C3NMU (County)</td>
<td>Forested parcel</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West: C3NMU (County)</td>
<td>Single Family Dwellings</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background: On February 26th, the Planning Commission heard a rezoning request to T4-N for parcel R100 029 00B 0057 0000 and recommended approval to the Council. Next, on April 9th, the Council voted to approve the annexation and T4-N zoning of the parcel (R100 029 00B 0057 0000) as per Ordinance 2024-7. Now, the applicant is requesting approval of a Major Subdivision Sketch Plan, on all three parcels, that will have its entrance on Harding Street. This is a 14-acre site that offers 36 plots for homes and a density of 2.64 d.u./acre. If this Sketch Plan is approved by the Planning Commission, the project will move into the Site Plan & Final Plat stages, which will both be reviewed and approved by City Staff. The Final Plat is required to be reflective of the design and conditions placed upon it by the PC, should it approve this Sketch Plan request.
**Amenities:** The proposed amenities are a nature trail, tot swings and bench swings. The development also includes a waterfront park with parking in the southern portion of the development.

**Access:** The access of the subdivision is a single entrance and exit point on Harding Street. While staff sought ways to obtain two points of ingress and egress, staff saw no reasonable solution. This is acceptable since the applicant has removed the bend in the road near the entrance from the first proposal. Projected traffic impact is less than the 50 max trips per hour found in Section 7.3.2 the Beaufort Development Code. However, Staff did send the plan to the County’s transportation planner for review, who approved the current design based on existing road capacity on Harding Street.

**Street Stubs and Connectivity to Street System**

As per Section 7.2.2 C, “New developments shall, if practicable, connect to any street stubs from adjacent properties and stub to all adjacent properties. Street Stubs shall not be required where the conditions listed below would prevent connections:

a. Topographical conditions

b. Environmental conditions (marshes, floodplains)

c. Property shape

d. Property accessibility (existing platted subdivision with no stubs)

e. Incompatible land uses

Staff finds that all but “e” are found on the site in question, and thus an exemption is reasonable.

**Dead End Streets and Cul De Sacs**

The layout presented makes for a dead-end street for the new subdivision. As per Section 7.2.2 D, Cul de Sacs and Dead-End Streets are prohibited unless specifically approved by the TRC. The TRC, which includes the County Transportation Planner and the Beaufort/Port Royal Fire District, approved the road layout due to it meeting the requirements in Section 7.2.2 C, its limited density, and recommended turn arounds for emergency vehicles as a condition of approval. Unfortunately, there is no current opportunity for street connectivity.
Dominion Energy: There is a 50-foot easement that crosses the middle portion of the site that belongs to Dominion Energy. It is the responsibility of the applicant to obtain and present a letter from Dominion Energy showing that the company is aware of the proposal. This letter is present and has been labeled “Letter of Power Availability”. It is also the responsibility of the applicant to follow all guidelines and/or standards that Dominion Energy has for development within the easement area when the project develops the site.

Compliance with the Code: The Sketch Plan shows a development with a density of 2.64 d.u./acre, block lengths between ~140 feet and ~340 feet, and 10% of the site reserved for Open Space with waterfront access via a community park. These all follow The Beaufort Code since, the T4-N zone has no minimum lot size/density requirements, a maximum block length of 400 feet, and a 10% site requirement of Open Space. The only compliance issue with The Beaufort Code is that this proposal includes dead-end streets. This issue can be addressed with a condition in the Site Plan Phase of the Major Subdivision process.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends approval of the subdivision with three conditions.

1. All dead-end streets shall be converted into a Cul-De-Sac or a Turn-Around road design.

2. The landscaping along Harding Street shall be dense enough to block all dwelling visibility on Harding Street.

3. All Specimen Trees on site shall be preserved, to the greatest extent possible, in the approval process.
Exhibit A: The Sketch Plan (syn. Preliminary Plat) of the proposed subdivision.
Exhibit B: The surrounding zoning (The GIS system, at this time, has not been updated yet to show the site is T4-N)
Letter of Power Availability

February 12, 2024

Jeff Ackerman
Carolina Engineering
Beaufort, SC

Re: 295 Parris Island Gateway

Mr. Ackerman,

I am pleased to inform you that Dominion Energy will be able to provide electric service to the above referenced address. Electric service will be provided in accordance with Dominion Energy General Terms and Conditions, other documents on file with the South Carolina Public Service Commission, and the company’s standard operating policies and procedures. To begin engineering work for the project, the following information will need to be provided:

1.) Detailed utility site plan in AutoCAD format showing water, sewer, and storm drainage, as well as the requested service point/transformer locations.
2.) Additional drawings that indicate wetland boundaries, tree survey with barricade plan and buffer zones (if required), as well as any existing or additional easements that will also be needed.
3.) Electric load breakdown by type with panel schedule, riser diagrams and desired metering specifications.
4.) The anticipated timeline for each phase of the development.
5.) Dominion Energy has specific requirements for electric service to new water and sewer pump-stations. If your project requires these facilities, please contact me for more details.

Dominion Energy construction standards and specifications are available here: https://www.dominionenergy.com/south-carolina/start-stop-service/new-construction

If you have any questions, please contact me at 843-540-1315.

Sincerely,

Parks Moss
Senior Key Account Manager
Dominion Energy South Carolina
DEVELOPMENT CODE
AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER 9
Date: June 17, 2024  
From: Curt Freese, Community Development Director  
To: Planning Commission  
ISSUE: Hallman Draft Inclusion  

BACKGROUND:  
Please find the revised Hallman draft, post June 5, 2024, regarding historic district demolitions in Section 9.10 of the ordinance. Staff has reformatted the Hallman Draft into Section 9.10 and attached it (with changes in red) with this packet for consideration.

Recap:
- Application requirements
- Findings required for demolitions
- All demolitions go to HRB
- Different findings required for Contributing and Non-Contributing Structure demolition requests
- Removing economic circumstances as a finding for demolition
- Time limit on demolition to 24 months with a one-time 6 month renewal

RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE TEXT AMENDMENT REGARDING THE HRB, SECTION 9.10.2
HALLMAN DRAFT
(REVISIONS TO
DEMOLITION
ORDINANCE)
HALLMAN PROPOSED LANGUAGE

BEAUFORT DEVELOPMENT CODE LANGUAGE

DEMOLITIONS IN THE HISTORIC DISTRICT

The purpose of this Section 9.10. — is to specify the requirements for approving the demolition of a contributing or noncontributing structure in the Historic District or the Preservation District. Generally, demolitions in either district are disfavored so as to protect and preserve as many structures as possible. The procedures and required findings specified below shall apply to demolition or partial demolition of a structure listed in the “1997 Beaufort County Historic Sites Survey” and that lies within the limits of the city but outside the Beaufort Historic District. Such structures shall be referred hereafter as a Demolition Candidate. ("DC") Although not intended to be a determinative factor for the approval of a demolition, applicants are urged to disclose future development plans for the site on which a demolition is proposed as described below.

An application for the demolition of DC shall be heard by the Historic Review Board and shall provide the following information:

1. A detailed report documenting the state of repair and structural stability of the structure under consideration;
2. A complete set of photographs documenting the condition of the structure at the time of the application;
3. A detailed statement of what work would be required to rehab the structure to a like new condition;
4. If appropriate or desired, an opinion from a professional engineer or architect qualified in the evaluation of historic structures detailing why it is not feasible to restore the structure that is the subject of the application;
5. A statement explaining how the demolition of the structure either supports or does not detract from the preservation and protection of important historic structures in Beaufort;
6. A statement explaining applicant’s future plans, if any, for the property including, but not limited to, future development on that property; and

The applicant may also provide information regarding its future plans for the property including, but not limited to future development on that property. This information should include what effect those plans would have on the character of the historic district.
When considering the demolition of a Demolition Candidate that is a Contributing Structure, the HRB shall consider the following:

1. The historic or architectural significance of a building, structure or object;
2. The importance of the DC to the ambiance of the historic district;
3. Whether the DC is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the historic district;
4. The existing structural condition, history of maintenance and use of the property. The deteriorated condition of a historic structure attributable to the owner's failure to provide proper maintenance over an extended period of time will not be considered a mitigating circumstance in evaluations for demolition;
5. Whether the applicant has made a sufficient showing that the DC is structurally unsound and beyond reasonable repair;
6. Whether the DC is under orders from the City of Beaufort to be demolished due to severe structural deficiencies;
7. Whether the demolition of the structure either supports or does not detract from the preservation and protection of important historic structures in Beaufort;
8. (Other considerations?)

When considering the demolition of a Demolition Candidate that is a Noncontributing Structure, the HRB shall consider the following:

1. The importance of the DC to the ambiance of the historic district;
2. Whether the DC is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the historic district;
3. Whether the demolition of the structure either supports or does not detract from the preservation and protection of important historic structures in Beaufort;
4. Whether the DC is under orders from the City of Beaufort to be demolished due to severe structural deficiencies.

The HRB may not consider any economic considerations regarding the demolition of a DC such as the cost to repair or refurbish a DC.

Any demolition permit issued for the demolition of a DC shall expire after 18 months [24 months?] from the initial approval by the HRB unless such approval is extended as provided herein. If a DC has not been demolished within 18 months (24 months?) of approval, an applicant may apply to the HRB for a one-time, six-month extension of the approval upon a showing of a good cause for why the demolition has not been completed. Such a showing may include but is not limited to additional time required for approval of a development plan for the site on which the DC is located, lack of financial resources to complete the demolition or other reasons that justify an extension of the permit. Extension requests shall be submitted at least one month two months prior to the permit expiration date.
Upon approval of an application for demolition of a DC, the HRB may impose one or more of the following conditions:

- Photographic, video or drawn recordation of the structure to be demolished;
- Salvage or curation of significant architectural elements from the structure;
- Health and Safety and property maintenance measures; and/or
- Other reasonable mitigation measures.

Who does the applicant provide this info to? Does it go to the city? What happens to it?
INCLUSION OF HALLMAN DRAFT INTO DRAFT ORDINANCE
9.10.2 PROCESS AND APPROVAL

A. Guidance Standards, Maintenance of Consistent Policies: In order to provide guidance and insight into desirable goals and objectives for the Beaufort Historic District, the documents described in this section are hereby adopted for use by the HRB in the exercise of its authority granted under Section 10.7 of this Code.

2. The "Northwest Quadrant Design Principles," May 1989 shall be utilized by the HRB for the review of projects located within the Beaufort Conservation Neighborhood.
3. The Secretary of Interior's "Standards for Rehabilitation" shall be utilized for all projects that modify or contributing structure.
4. The Building Design Standards, in Article 4 of this Code, shall be utilized for review of all new construction and additions.
5. The Historic District Infill Design Guidelines, in Section 4.7 of this Code, shall be utilized for review of all new construction and additions.
6. Any special area policies adopted by the HRB.
7. When appropriate, Section 8.4 Archeological Impact Assessment shall be utilized when appropriate.

B. HRB Process: the review process to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness in the Beaufort Historic District is based on the following project types and process:

1. Application/Eligibility: A property owner within the Beaufort Historic District shall make an application with the City of Beaufort. City Staff will review the application for completeness and schedule to a HTRC meeting.

2. HTRC: The Cowpen Administrator shall organize an Historic Technical Review Committee to review projects within the Beaufort Historic District. The HTRC shall consist of the Code Administrator, City Building Official, City Code Enforcement Official, City Architect or Preservationist, and or anyone else appointed by the Codes Administrator, a representative from any non-profit historic foundation, and representatives from public utilities. Any meetings of the HTRC shall be noticed as public meetings.

3. HTRC Meeting: The HTRC shall review the application for compliance with this code and shall make a recommendation to either the HRB or the Code Administrator.

4. Contributing Structures Process: all changes to contributing structures shall be approved by the Historic Review Board with the following exceptions:

   i. Minor Changes Contributing Structures: Minor changes or alterations to a contributing structure, limited to include the following: in-kind repairs, non-historic fences and walls, changes in paint color, in-kind roof replacements and roof materials, and in-kind window replacements. All such projects shall attend an HTRC meeting before Staff shall issue approval.

5. Non-Contributing Structures Process: all changes to noncontributing structures shall be approved by the Code Administrator with the following exceptions:
I. Minor Changes: Non-contributing Structures Preservation District: Changes to a building or property, to include fences, paint color, roof materials, canopies and awnings, site changes, and window replacements on noncontributing structures.

ii. The HTAC may recommend after the required HTAC meeting, that applications as defined in this Section, shall be approved by the Historic District Review Board (HRB), instead of the Code Administrator.

6. New Development/Construction Historic District: review by the HRB shall apply to all new development/construction projects in the Historic District following the procedures for Development Design Review in Section 9.8.2. New construction in the Historic District shall follow the HRB approval process below:

a. Conceptual Approval

i. Applicant shall submit a site plan depicting new structure in relation to the setbacks, street, and any easements on the site. Site Plan shall include existing streets, alleys, driveways, non-primary structures, preliminary landscape plan depicting any existing specimen trees.
ii. Applicant shall submit a schematic depicting the following requirements: mass, height (including elevation of the first floor and floor to floor heights), siting and orientation on the lot, and form/scale of structure.

iii. Applicant shall submit a street-scape of any existing structures on the block proposed for new development, with proportions and pictures of said structures.

b. Final Preliminary Approval
   i. Applicant shall verify elevation of the first floor, and floor to floor heights.
   ii. Applicant shall provide bays, windows and doors detail.
   iii. Applicant shall provide final materials for structure.
   iv. Applicant shall provide roof details including style, pitch and materials.
   v. Applicant shall provide design and materials for shutter, porch, paint, walls, and column details.

c. Final Approval
   i. Applicant shall verify and provide final documentation on all requirements of Conceptual and Preliminary approval found herein.

vi. Concept to Final: The applicant may elect to combine the Conceptual and Final Approval processes. Upon such election, the application shall include the items required for both Conceptual and Final Approval application and one HRR hearing shall be held on the combined application.

vii. Findings Required: new development within the Beaufort Historic District shall be approved by the Historic Review Board, based on the following findings:

   i. The plan is consistent with the seven integrity principles Section 4.7.2 of this Code.

   **Location:** This is the relationship between the property and its historical context.
   **Design:** This is the combination of elements that create the feeling of a district or structure. These elements include building patterns, streetscape, site elements, building site, mass and scale, spatial relationships, and specific architectural elements and details.
   **Setting:** This is the physical environment of a property and should be evaluated on its context as well as on the historical role the property has played and continues to play. Important features include topography, vegetation, man-made features, and relationships between existing structures and their surroundings.
   **Materials:** These are the physical elements that make up a property or district.
   **Workmanship:** This is the physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or time-period. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, workmanship of surrounding structures should be considered and respected. Retaining the details of the original brick and masonry (i.e., wood, masonry, tabby etc.) of the original building ensures the historic fabric is retained and serves as an important component of the integrity and the patina of age of individual structures and the district as a whole.
   **Feelings:** This is the property's expression of the aesthetic or historic sense of a particular period or time. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, the feeling of surrounding structures should be considered and respected.
2. The plan complies with all applicable requirements of this Code, all applicable requirements of the Beaufort Preservation Manual, and/or the Northwest Quadrant Design Guidelines.

3. New construction should build upon the history and established pattern of the district through its design, landscape, use, and cultural expression. An understanding of the character and significance of the district should predominate any design or development activities.

4. Lot size, massing, siting, floor area ratio, and height must correspond to the adjacent buildings that contribute to or complement the rhythm of the district. The use of buildings will be secondary to their design and integration into the district. However, newly introduced uses should not be detrimental to the historic fabric.

5. Infill Shall Be Compatible Yet Distinct: New buildings should be identifiable as being of their period of construction; however, they should not be so differentiated that they detract from—or visually compete with—their historic neighbors. Within historic districts, compatibility is more important than differentiation.

6. The exterior envelope and patterning of new buildings shall reflect district characteristics: Infill design elements, patterning, texture, and materials should reflect the aesthetic and historic themes of the district. Patterns of fenestration, building divisions, setbacks, and landscapes that are characteristic of the district should inform the design of new buildings. Mechanical and automobile infrastructure should be appropriately concealed when not consistent with the district's character.

Approval of Certificate of Appropriateness: In reviewing an application, the HRC shall conduct a public meeting and consider, among other things. In order to approve an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness of a Contributing Structure in an approved Historic District, the HRC shall find that the proposal meets the following standards:

a. A property shall be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces, and spatial relationships.

b. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The relocation of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property shall be avoided.

c. A property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, shall be discouraged.

d. Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved.

e. Distinctive materials, features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property shall be preserved.
f. Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary and physical evidence.

g. Chemical and physical treatments, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. Treatments that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used unless otherwise approved by the City Staff.

h. Archaeological resources should be protected and preserved in place as per Section 4.7.2 of this Code. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures should be encouraged.

i. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportions, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

j. New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

108. Denial of Certificate of Appropriateness: The HRB may refuse any application that, in the opinion of the HRB, does not comply with the standards and guidelines listed in this. In case of disapproval, the HRB shall state the reasons therefore in a written statement to the applicant and may give verbal advice to the applicant and make recommendations in regard to appropriateness of design, arrangement, texture, material, color, etc. of the property involved. Among other grounds for considering a design inappropriate and requiring disapproval and resubmission are the following defects:

1. Arresting and spectacular effects.
2. Violent contrasts of materials or colors and intense or lurid colors.
3. Multiplicity or incongruity of details resulting in a restless and disturbing appearance.
4. The absence of unity and coherence in composition, that is not in consonance with the dignity and character of the present structure, in the case of repair.
5. Construction of, remodeling, or enlargement of an existing building in a manner not consistent with the prevailing character of the neighborhood.

109. Issuance of Certificate of Appropriateness: When a Certificate of Appropriateness and Building Permit have been issued, the Administrator shall, from time to time, inspect the alteration or construction approved by such certificate and may report such inspection to the HRB listing all work inspected and reporting any work that is not in accordance with such certificate, or that violates any ordinances of the city.

110. Demolitions:

A. Purpose: The purpose of this Section is to specify the requirements for approving the demolition of a contributing or noncontributing structure in the Historic District. Generally, demolitions in either district are disfavored so as to protect and preserve as many structures as possible. The procedures and required findings specified below shall apply to demolition or partial demolition of a structure listed in the "1997 Beaufort County Historic Sites Survey" and that lies within the limits of the city but outside the Beaufort Historic District. Such
structures shall be referred hereafter as Demolition Candidate, ("DC") Although not intended to be a determinative factor for the approval of a demolition, applicants are urged to disclose future development plans for the site on which a demolition is proposed as described below.

B. Purpose: An application for the demolition of DC shall be heard by the Historic Review Board and shall provide the following information:

1) A detailed report documenting the state of repair and structural stability of the structure under consideration;
2) A complete set of photographs documenting the condition of the structure at the time of the application;
3) A detailed statement of what work would be required to rehab the structure to a like new condition;
4) An opinion from a professional engineer or architect qualified in the evaluation of historic structures detailing why it is not feasible to restore the structure that is the subject of the application;
5) A statement explaining how the demolition of the structure either supports or does not detract from the preservation and protection of important historic structures in Beaufort;
6) Any other relevant information that the applicant believes supports the demolition of the structure.

The applicant may also provide information regarding its future plans for the property, including, but not limited to future development on that property. This information should include what effect those plans would have on the character of the historic district.

C. Findings Required:

1. Contributing Structure: When considering the demolition of a Demolition Candidate that is a Contributing Structure, the HRB shall consider the following:

i. The historic or architectural significance of a building, structure or object;
ii. The importance of the DC to the ambiance of the historic district;
iii. Whether the DC is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the historic district;
iv. The existing structural condition, history of maintenance and use of the property.
The deteriorated condition of a historic structure attributable to the owner’s failure to provide proper maintenance over an extended period of time will not be considered a mitigating circumstance in evaluations for demolition;
v. Whether the applicant has made a sufficient showing that the DC is structurally unsound and beyond reasonable repair;
vi. Whether the DC is under orders from the City of Beaufort to be demolished due to severe structural deficiencies.
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vii. Whether the demolition of the structure either supports or does not detract from the preservation and protection of important historic structures in Beaufort.

2. Non-contributing Structure: When considering the demolition of a Demolition Candidate that is a Noncontributing Structure, the HRB shall consider the following:
   i. The importance of the DC to the ambiance of the historic district;
   ii. Whether the DC is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the historic district;
   iii. Whether the demolition of the structure either supports or does not detract from the preservation and protection of important historic structures in Beaufort;
   iv. Whether the DC is under orders from the City of Beaufort to be demolished due to severe structural deficiencies.

3. Upon approval of an application for demolition of a DC, the HRB may impose one or more of the following conditions:
   i. Photographic, video or drawn recordation of the structure to be demolished;
   ii. Salvage or curation of significant architectural elements from the structure;
   iii. Health and Safety and property maintenance measures, and/or
   iv. Other reasonable mitigation measures.

4. Economic Conditions: The HRB may not consider any economic considerations regarding the demolition of a DC such as the cost to repair or refurbish a DC.

5. Time Limit: Any demolition permit issued for the demolition of a DC shall expire after 24 months from the initial approval by the HRB unless such approval is extended as provided herein. If a DC has not been demolished within 24 months of approval, an applicant may apply to the HRB for a one-time, six-month extension of the approval upon a showing of a good cause for why the demolition has not been completed. Such a showing may include but is not limited to additional time required for approval of a development plan for the site on which the DC is located, lack of financial resources to complete the demolition or other reasons that justify an extension of the permit. Extension requests shall be submitted at least two months prior to the permit expiration date.

a. In all applications involving the demolition of a contributing primary structure or contributing accessory structure, provisions shall be made for a public hearing as set forth in Section 9.1.1. Demolition of non-contributing structures shall be approved by the Historic Review Board based on the standards of this Section.
b. In any case involving the demolition or partial demolition of a structure, before granting approval or requiring a postponement, the HRC may call on the Administrator to provide them with a report on the state of repair and structural stability of the structure under consideration.

e. Upon receiving an application for demolition or partial demolition of a structure that is listed in the "1997 Beaufort County Historic Sites Survey" and lies within the limits of the city but outside the Beaufort Historic District, the Administrator, within 30 days of receiving the application, shall either approve it or find that the preservation and protection of historic places and the public interest will be best served by postponing the demolition for a designated period — this shall not exceed 60 days from the receipt of the application, and notify the applicant of such postponement. The application will be announced to the public in accordance with the notification standards set forth in Section 5.1.3. Within the period of postponement of demolition or alteration of any building, the Administrator shall take steps to ascertain what may be done to preserve the building, including consultation with private civic groups, interested private citizens, and other public boards or agencies, including investigation of the potential use of the power of eminent domain when the preservation of a given building is clearly in the interest of the general welfare of the community and of certain historic and architectural significance.

d. In order to approve an application for the relocation or demolition of a Contributing Structure in an Historic District, the Historic Review Board must find that:

1. The applicant has proven the designated property has no historical significance.

2. In approving an application for the demolition of a Contributing Structure in the Historic District, the Board may impose the following conditions:

i. Photographic, video, or drawn recordation of the property to be demolished, and/or

ii. Salvage and curtailment of significant elements, and/or

iii. Health and Safety and property maintenance measures

iv. Other reasonable mitigation measures.

3. At the public hearing of an application to relocate or demolish a Contributing Structure in an Historic District, the Administrator upon recommendation by the Historic Review Board, may, in the interest of exploring reasonable alternatives, delay issuance of a permit for up to 30 days from the date of the hearing. At thirty days prior to the expiration of the delay period, the Historic Review Board finds that there are still reasonable alternatives to explore, it may recommend a delay for an additional period of up to 90 days.

4. Permit Validity: Upon the approval of a demolition application by the Historic Review Board, the demolition permit shall be effective for two years from the date of the approval. The Historic Review Board may grant up to 5 one-year extensions of this time period upon written notification of the applicant of sufficient justification for the extension. Extensions shall be submitted at least 3 months prior to the expiration date.

e. In order to approve an application for the relocation or demolition of a non-Contributing Structure in an Historic District, the Historic Review Board must find that:

1. The applicant has proven no prudent or reasonable alternative exists.

2. In approving an application for the demolition of a non-contributing Structure in the Historic District, the Board may impose the following conditions:

i. Photographic, video, or drawn recordation of the property to be demolished, and/or

ii. Salvage and curtailment of significant elements, and/or
3. Permit Validity: Upon the approval of a demolition application by the Historic Review Board, the demolition permit shall be effective for two years from the date of the approval. The Historic Review Board may grant up to 5 one-year extensions of this time period upon written request by the applicant of sufficient justification for the extension. Extensions shall be submitted at least 3 months prior to the expiration date.

1.3.1. Design Exception: Design Exceptions shall be used to modify any dimensional standards or design requirements, found in Articles 2 and 4, for development projects that have unique characteristics that justify a deviation from the underlying standards. Such deviations are intended to provide flexibility from the underlying standards to permit compatible development patterns which are indicative of the surrounding area and/or use an innovative approach or technique. The process is intended to provide the minimum relief necessary to create a more innovative and context-sensitive development consistent with the City's adopted plans. This tool is not intended to circumvent the map amendment ( rezoning ) procedure where that tool would provide a similar modification of standards.

a. Applicability: The HRB shall have the authority to authorize a variance of up to 35 percent from any numerical standard set forth in Article 2 (Maps and Districts) — except for Section 2.6, Height and Section 2.7.4, Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICU) overlay district standards — and Article 4 (Building Design and Infill Standards).

b. Review Criteria: The HRB may approve an application for a Design Exception where it reasonably determines that there will be no significant negative impact upon residents of surrounding property, or upon the general public. The board shall consider the following criteria in its review:

i. Compatibility: The proposed exception is appropriate for its location. It is compatible with the character of surrounding properties and the development permitted by the zoning of the surrounding properties.

ii. No Adverse Impact: The design of the proposed exception minimizes adverse effects including visual impacts of the proposed use on surrounding properties; furthermore, the proposed exception does not create a nuisance for surrounding properties.

iii. Consistency with Adopted Plans: The proposed development is in general conformity with the City's Comprehensive Plan, Civic Master Plan and other plans officially adopted by the City.

1.4. Resubmittal: After disapproval of an application, the applicant may make modifications to the plans and resubmit. The applicant may not resubmit the same proposal, without modifying it based on HRB comments, for 12 months from the date of the original submission. Reconsideration of an application for demolition that has been denied by the HRB may not be heard until 12 months from the date of the original public hearing, unless a major change has occurred in the property condition that is attributable to natural causes.

1.5. Appeal—Minor: Appeals of the decisions of the Administrator shall be heard by the HRB. The application for appeal shall be made within 30 days of the decision.

1.6. Appeal—Major: Any party aggrieved by the decisions of the HRB may appeal to the circuit court within 30 days of the decision.
ORDINANCE AND MATERIALS FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS (BEFORE 6-5-14 WORKSESSION)
Date: May 20, 2024
From: Curt Freese, Community Development Director
To: Planning Commission
RE: DEMOLITIONS

BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS:
Commissioner Hallman crafted a draft of the proposed requirements which is attached.

In addition, City Staff continued research on the matter, and found the City of Columbia’s demolition standards. These standards are thorough and have survived scrutiny and challenge in South Carolina. City staff believe they provide an excellent template to spring from and should be considered by the PC in making its decision. This includes findings and standards for demolitions, demolition by neglect, cost estimates, structural conditions, the market for said property and its appraised value. The requirements below touch on all of the topics Staff, citizens, the HRB and PC have struggled with since this process began.

City of Columbia Demolition Requirements

A. Demolition: The demolition of a historic building should be an action of last resort. When a structure is demolished, the community loses a part of its history, which cannot be replaced. Accordingly, such requests are reviewed very deliberately and require detailed information. A decision on a Certificate of Design Approval – Historic Districts and Landmarks application for the demolition of a building, structure or object shall be based on the following standards:
  a. The historic or architectural significance of a building, structure or object;
  b. The importance of the building, structure or object to the ambience of a district;
c. Whether the building, structure or object is one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the neighborhood, the City or the region;

d. The existing structural condition, history of maintenance and use of the property. The deteriorated condition of a historic building attributable to the owner’s failure to provide proper maintenance over an extended period of time will not be considered a mitigating circumstance in evaluations for demolition.

e. A determination of whether the subject property is capable of maintaining a reasonable use and earning a reasonable economic return on its current value without the demolition;

f. Whether there are definite plans for reuse of the property if the proposed demolition is carried out, and what the effect of those plans on the character of the surrounding area would be;

g. Whether the building or structure is able to be relocated, and whether a site for relocation is available; and

h. Whether the building or structure is under orders from the City to be demolished due to severe structural deficiencies (this criterion shall have added significance in comparison to the criteria mentioned in subsections (1) through (7) of this subsection).

ii. A Certificate of Design Approval – Historic Districts and Landmarks application for the demolition of a building, structure or object may be required to include the following, as determined by the Zoning Administrator:

a. Detailed written estimates of the cost of renovation from professionals with experience working with historic buildings;

b. A detailed written report from an engineer, architect, and/or contractor experienced with historic buildings as to the structure(s) on the property and its suitability for rehabilitation;
c. The estimated market value of the property in its current condition; after demolition, after renovation of the existing property for continued use, and with proposed redevelopment;
d. An estimate from an architect, developer, real estate consultant, appraiser, or other real estate professional experienced in rehabilitation as to the economic feasibility of rehabilitation or reuse of the existing structure(s) on the property;
e. Specific written substantiation of any current negotiations to sell, rent, or lease property, including all efforts to market and/or sell the property, how long it was listed, the price at which it was listed, and comparable(s) in the market.
g. All appraisals obtained within the previous two (2) years by the owner or applicant in connection with the purchase, financing or ownership of the property.

iii. The DDRC or its staff may require the applicant to provide additional information dealing with the standards or the required application materials in this subsection.

**RECOMMENDATION: INFORMATIONAL ONLY, STAFF RECOMMENDS INCORPORATING THE COLUMBIA REQUIREMENTS INTO THE CODE**
BEAUFORT DEVELOPMENT CODE LANGUAGE
DEMOLITIONS IN THE HISTORIC DISTRICT

The purpose of this Section 9.10.--- is to specify the requirements for approving the demolition of a contributing or noncontributing structure in the Historic District or the Preservation District. Generally, demolitions in either district are disfavored so as to protect and preserve as many structures as possible. The procedures and required findings specified below shall apply to demolition or partial demolition of a structure listed in the “1997 Beaufort County Historic Sites Survey” and that lies within the limits of the city but outside the Beaufort Historic District. Such structures shall be referred hereafter as a Demolition Candidate. (“DC”) Although not intended to be a determinative factor for the approval of a demolition, applicants are urged to disclose future plans for the site on which a demolition is proposed.

An application for the demolition of DC shall be heard by the Historic Review Board and shall provide the following information:

- A detailed report documenting the state of repair and structural stability of the structure under consideration;
- A complete set of photographs documenting the condition of the structure at the time of the application;
- A detailed statement of what work would be required to rehab the structure to a like new condition;
- If appropriate or desired, an opinion from a professional engineer or architect detailing why it is not feasible to restore the structure that is the subject of the application;
- A statement explaining how the demolition of the structure either supports or does not detract from the preservation and protection of important historic structures in Beaufort;
- A statement explaining applicant’s future plans, if any, for the property including, but not limited to, future development on that property; and
- Any other relevant information that the applicant believes supports the demolition of the structure.

When considering the demolition of a Demolition Candidate the HRB shall consider the following:

- Whether the applicant has made a sufficient showing that the DC is structurally unsound and beyond reasonable repair;
- Whether the demolition of the structure either supports or does not detract from the preservation and protection of important historic structures in Beaufort;
- [Other considerations?]

The HRB may not consider any economic considerations regarding the demolition of a DC such as the cost to repair or refurbish a DC.
Any demolition permit issued for the demolition of a DC shall expire after 18 months [24 months?] from the initial approval by the HRB unless such approval in extended as provided herein. If a DC has not been demolished within 18 months [24 months?] of approval, an applicant may apply to the HRB for a one-time, six-month extension of the approval upon a showing of a good cause for why the demolition has not been completed. Such a showing may include but is not limited to additional time required for approval of a development plan for the site on which the DC is located, lack of financial resources to complete the demolition or other reasons that justify an extension of the permit. Extension requests shall be submitted at least one month prior to the permit expiration date.

Upon approval of an application for demolition of a DC, the HRB may impose one or more of the following conditions:

- Photographic, video or drawn recordation of the structure to be demolished;
- Salvage or curation of significant architectural elements from the structure;
- Health and Safety and property maintenance measures; and/or
- Other reasonable mitigation measures.
9.10.2 PROCESS AND APPROVAL

A. **Guidance Standards, Maintenance of Consistent Policies, HRTC:** In order to provide guidance and insight into desirable goals and objectives for the Beaufort Historic District, the documents described in this section are hereby adopted for use by the HRB in the exercise of its authority granted under Section 10.7 of this Code.

2. The "Northwest Quadrant Design Principles," May 1999 shall be utilized by the HRB for the review of projects located within the Beaufort Conservation Neighborhood.
3. The Secretary of Interior's "Standards for Rehabilitation" shall be utilized for review of all projects that modify a contributing structure.
4. The Building Design Standards, in Article 4 of this Code, shall be utilized for review of all new construction and additions.
5. The Historic District Infill Design Guidelines, in Section 4.7 of this Code, shall be utilized for review of all new construction and additions.
6. Any special area policies adopted by the HRB.
7. When appropriate, Section 8.4 Archeological Impact Assessment shall be utilized when appropriate.

B. **HRB Process:** the review process to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness in the Beaufort Historic District is based on the following project types and process:

1. **Application/Eligibility:** A property owner within the Beaufort Historic District shall make an application with the City of Beaufort. City Staff will review the application for completeness and schedule to a HTRC meeting.
2. **HTRC:** The City Codes Administrator shall organize an Historic Technical Review Committee to review projects within the Beaufort Historic District. The HRTC shall consist of the Code Administrator, City Building Official, City Code Enforcement Official, City Architect or Preservationist and or anyone else appointed by the Codes Administrator, a representative from any non-profit historic foundation, and representatives from public utilities. Any meetings of the HTRC shall be noticed as public meetings.
3. **HTRC Meeting:** The HTRC shall review the application for compliance with this code and shall make a recommendation to either the HRB or the Code Administrator.
4. **Contributing Structures Process:** all changes to contributing structures shall be approved by the Historic Review Board with the following exceptions:
   i. **Minor Changes Contributing Structures:** Minor changes or alterations to a contributing structure, limited to include the following: in kind repairs, non-historic fences and walls, changes in paint color, in kind roof replacements and roof materials, and in-kind window replacements. All such projects shall attend an HTRC meeting before Staff shall issue approval.
5. **Non-Contributing Structures Process:** all changes to non-contributing structures shall be approved by the Code Administrator with the following exceptions:
i. Minor Changes Non-contributing Structures Preservation District: Changes to a building or property, to include fences, paint color, roof materials, canopies and awnings, site changes, and window replacements on noncontributing structures.

ii. The HTRC may recommend after the required HTRC meeting, that applications as defined in this Section, shall be approved by the Historic District Review Board (HRB), instead of the Code Administrator.

6. **New Development/Construction** Historic District: review by the HRB shall apply to all new development/construction projects in the Historic District following the procedures for Development Design Review in Section 9.8.2. New construction in the Historic District shall follow the HRB approval process below:

   a. **Conceptual Approval**

      i. Applicant shall submit a site plan depicting new structure in relation to the setbacks, street, and any easements on the site. Site Plan shall include existing streets, alleys, driveways, non-primary structures, preliminary landscape plan depicting any existing specimen trees.
ii. Applicant shall submit a schematic depicting the following requirements: mass, height (including elevation of the first floor and floor to floor heights), siting and orientation on the lot, and form/scale of structure.

iii. Applicant shall submit a street-scape of any existing structures on the block proposed for new development, with proportions and pictures of said structures.

b. Final Preliminary Approval

i. Applicant shall verify elevation of the first floor, and floor to floor heights.

ii. Applicant shall provide bays, windows and doors detail.

iii. Applicant shall provide final materials for structure.

iv. Applicant shall provide roof details including style, pitch and materials.

v. Applicant shall provide design and materials for shutter, porch, paint, walls, and column details.

c. Final Approval

i. Applicant shall verify and provide final documentation on all requirements of Conceptual and Preliminary approval found herein.

d. Concept to Final. The applicant may elect to combine the Conceptual and Final Approval processes. Upon such election, the application shall include the items required for both Conceptual and Final Approval application and one HRB hearing shall be held on the combined application.

e. Findings Required: new development within the Beaufort Historic District shall be approved by the Historic Review Board, based on the following findings:

   i. The plan is consistent with the seven integrity principles Section 4.7.2 of this Code.

   Location: This is the relationship between the property and its historical context.

   Design: This is the combination of elements that create the feeling of a district or structure. These elements include building patterns, streetscapes, site elements, building size, mass and scale, spatial relationships, and specific architectural elements and details.

   Setting: This is the physical environment of a property and should be evaluated on its context as well as on the historical role the property has played and continues to play. Important features include topography, vegetation, man-made features, and relationships between existing structures and their surroundings.

   Materials: These are the physical elements that make up a property or district.

   Workmanship: This is the physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or time period. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, workmanship of surrounding structures should be considered and respected. Retaining the details of the original craft and craftsman (i.e., wood, masonry, tabby etc.) of the original building ensures the historic fabric is retained and serves as an important component of the integrity and the patina of age of individual structures and the district as a whole.

   Feeling: This is the property’s expression of the aesthetic or historic sense of a particular period of time. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, the feeling of surrounding structures should be considered and respected.
Association: This is the direct link between an important historic event or person and a property. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, association of particular sites and neighborhoods should be considered.

2. The plan complies with all applicable requirements of this Code, all applicable requirements of the Beaufort Preservation Manual, and/or the Northwest Quadrant Design Guidelines.

8. New construction should build upon the history and established pattern of the district through its design, landscape, use, and cultural expression. An understanding of the character and significance of the district should predicate any design or development activities.

iv. Lot size, massing, siting, floor area ratio, and height must correspond to the adjacent buildings that contribute to or complement the rhythm of the district. The use of buildings will be secondary to their design and integration into the district. However, newly introduced uses should not be detrimental to the historic fabric.

v. Infill Shall Be Compatible Yet Distinct: New buildings should be identifiable as being of their period of construction; however, they should not be so differentiated that they detract from—or visually compete with—their historic neighbors. Within historic districts, compatibility is more important than differentiation.

vi. The Exterior Envelope and Patterning of New Buildings Shall Reflect District Characteristics: Infill design elements, patterning, texture, and materials should reflect the aesthetic and historic themes of the district. Patterns of fenestration, building divisions, setbacks, and landscapes that are characteristic of the district should inform the design of new buildings. Mechanical and automobile infrastructure should be appropriately concealed when not consistent with the district’s character.

97. Approval of Certificate of Appropriateness: In reviewing an application, the HRB shall conduct a public meeting and consider, among other things. In order to approve an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness of a Contributing Structure in an approved Historic District, the HRB shall find that the proposal meets the following standards:

a. A property shall be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces, and spatial relationships.

b. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The relocation of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property shall be avoided.

c. A property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, shall be discouraged.

d. Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved.

e. Distinctive materials, features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property shall be preserved.
f. Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary and physical evidence.

g. Chemical and physical treatments, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. Treatments that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used unless otherwise approved by the City Staff.

h. Archaeological resources should be protected and preserved in place as per Section 4.7.2 of this Code. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures should be encouraged.

i. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportions, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

j. New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

10. **Denial of Certificate of Appropriateness:** The HRB may refuse any application that, in the opinion of the HRB, does not comply with the standards and guidelines listed in this. In case of disapproval, the HRB shall state the reasons therefore in a written statement to the applicant and may give verbal advice to the applicant and make recommendations in regard to appropriateness of design, arrangement, texture, material, color, etc. of the property involved. Among other grounds for considering a design inappropriate and requiring disapproval and resubmission are the following defects:

1. Arresting and spectacular effects.
2. Violent contrasts of materials or colors and intense or lurid colors.
3. A multiplicity or incongruity of details resulting in a restless and disturbing appearance.
4. The absence of unity and coherence in composition, that is not in consonance with the dignity and character of the present structure, in the case of repair.
5. Construction of, remodeling, or enlargement of an existing building in a manner not consistent with the prevailing character of the neighborhood.

11. **Issuance of Certificate of Appropriateness:** When a Certificate of Appropriateness and Building Permit have been issued, the Administrator shall, from time to time, inspect the alteration or construction approved by such certificate and may report such inspection to the HRB listing all work inspected and reporting any work that is not in accordance with such certificate, or that violates any ordinances of the city.

12. **Demolitions:**

a. In all applications involving the demolition of a contributing primary structure or contributing accessory structure, provisions shall be made for a public hearing as set forth in Section 9.1.5. Demolition of non-contributing structures shall be approved by the Historic Review Board based on the standards of this Section.

b. In any case involving the demolition or partial demolition of a structure, before granting approval or requiring a postponement, the HRB may call on the Administrator to provide them with a report on the state of repair and structural stability of the structure under consideration.
c. Upon receiving an application for demolition or partial demolition of a structure that is listed in the "1997 Beaufort County Historic Sites Survey" and lies within the limits of the city but outside the Beaufort Historic District, the Administrator, within 30 days of receiving the application, shall either approve it, or find that the preservation and protection of historic places and the public interest will be best served by postponing the demolition for a designated period — this shall not exceed 60 days from the receipt of the application, and notify the applicant of such postponement. The application will be announced to the public in accordance with the notification standards set forth in Section 9.1.3. Within the period of postponement of demolition or alteration of any building, the Administrator shall take steps to ascertain what may be done to preserve the building, including consultation with private civic groups, interested private citizens and other public boards or agencies, including investigation of the potential use of the power of eminent domain when the preservation of a given building is clearly in the interest of the general welfare of the community and of certain historic and architectural significance.

d. In order to approve an application for the relocation or demolition of a Contributing Structure, in an Historic District, the Historic Review Board must find that:

1. The applicant has proven the designated property has no historical significance,

2. In approving an application for the demolition of a Contributing Structure in the Historic District the Board may impose the following conditions:
   
   i. Photographic, video, or drawn recordation of the property to be demolished, and/or
   
   ii. Salvage and curation of significant elements, and/or

   iii. Health and Safety and property maintenance measures

   iv. Other reasonable mitigation measures.

3. At the public hearing of an application to relocate or demolish a Contributing Structure in an Historic District the Administrator upon recommendation by the Historic Review Board, may, in the interest of exploring reasonable alternatives, delay issuance of a permit for up to 90 days from the date of the hearing. If, thirty days prior to the expiration of the delay period, the Historic Review Board finds that there are still reasonable alternatives to explore, it may recommend a delay for an additional period of up to 90 days.

4. Permit Validity: Upon the approval of a demolition application by the Historic Review Board, the demolition permit shall be effective for two years from the date of the approval. The Historic Review Board may grant up to 5 one-year extensions of this time period upon submittal by the applicant of sufficient justification for the extension. Extensions shall be submitted at least 1 month prior to the expiration date.

e. In order to approve an application for the relocation or demolition of a non-Contributing Structure, in an Historic District, the Historic Review Board must find that:

1. The applicant has proven no prudent or reasonable alternative exists.

2. In approving an application for the demolition of a non-contributing Structure in the Historic District the Board may impose the following conditions:

   i. Photographic, video, or drawn recordation of the property to be demolished, and/or

   ii. Salvage and curation of significant elements, and/or

   iii. Health and Safety and property maintenance measures

   iv. Other reasonable mitigation measures.
3. Permit Validity: Upon the approval of a demolition application by the Historic Review Board, the demolition permit shall be effective for two years from the date of the approval. The Historic Review Board may grant up to 5 one-year extensions of this time period upon submittal by the applicant of sufficient justification for the extension. Extensions shall be submitted at least 1 month prior to the expiration date.

13. Design Exception: Design Exceptions shall be used to modify any dimensional standards or design requirements, found in Articles 2 and 4, for development projects that have unique characteristics that justify a deviation from the underlying standards. Such deviations are intended to provide flexibility from the underlying standards to permit compatible development patterns which are indicative of the surrounding area and/or use an innovative approach or technique. The process is intended to provide the minimum relief necessary to create a more innovative and context-sensitive development consistent with the City’s adopted plans. This tool is not intended to circumvent the map amendment (re zoning) procedure where that tool would provide a similar modification of standards.

a. Applicability: The HRB shall have the authority to authorize a variance of up to 35 percent from any numerical standard set forth in Article 2 (Maps and Districts) — except for Section 2.6, Height and Section 2.7.4, Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) overlay district standards — and Article 4 (Building Design and Infill Standards).

b. Review Criteria: The HRB may approve an application for a Design Exception where it reasonably determines that there will be no significant negative impact upon residents of surrounding property, or upon the general public. The board shall consider the following criteria in its review:

i. Compatibility: The proposed exception is appropriate for its location. It is compatible with the character of surrounding properties and the development permitted by the zoning of the surrounding properties.

ii. No Adverse Impact: The design of the proposed exception minimizes adverse effects including visual impacts of the proposed use on surrounding properties; furthermore, the proposed exception does not create a nuisance for surrounding properties.

iii. Consistency with Adopted Plans: The proposed development is in general conformity with the City’s Comprehensive Plan, Civic Master Plan and other plans officially adopted by the City.

14. Resubmittal: After disapproval of an application, the applicant may make modifications to the plans and resubmit. The applicant may not resubmit the same proposal, without modifying it based on HRB comments, for 12 months from the date of the original submission. Reconsideration of an application for demolition that has been denied by the HRB may not be heard until 12 months from the date of the original public hearing, unless a major change has occurred in the property condition that is attributable to natural causes.

15. Appeal—Minor: Appeals of the decisions of the Administrator shall be heard by the HRB. The application for appeal shall be made within 30 days of the decision.

16. Appeal—Major: Any party aggrieved by the decisions of the HRB may appeal to the circuit court within 30 days of the decision.
TABLED CODE CHANGES
(CONTINUED SINCE MARCH 2024)
Date: March 18, 2024

From: Curt Freese, Community and Economic Development Director

To: Planning Commission

ISSUE: Beaufort Development Code Changes Tabled, Historic Preservation

BACKGROUND:
The Beaufort Development Code was adopted in 2017 with a forward thinking form-based structure to regulate development. In the five years since it was adopted, numerous issues have percolated to the surface that will require updates and revisions to the code. Stakeholders, from developers, board and council members to staff members and others, all identified numerous changes that must be addressed. A Code Workshop process was initiated with City Council to bring forward amendments. This process stared on March 21, 2023, and has continued with public meetings on the third Tuesday of every month. The code amendments herein proposed for formal MPC recommendation, all have been discussed and vetted during these public meetings. The first few months were spent on an overview of the code, and changes to Chapters 9 and 10, which involve process and development review bodies.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

Please note, a copy of the track changes of the code sections in question are included in your packet with changes in red. The changes which involve several Sections of the code, have been grouped into four categories below, with a brief description of the changes which again, are found in the red. Also, the HBF seat was formally voted on with a 5-1 vote to recommend no change to the current ordinance—HBF would retain the recommendation of one of the five seats.

The proposed amendments to Chapters 9 and 10 focus on the following:

CHANGES TO STANDARDS TO THE HISTORIC REVIEW BOARD PROCESS

Analysis: Staff is recommending to significantly revise the historic district approval process.
Current process is not clear and hard to follow for the majority of citizens and applicants.

No clear approval or findings for HRB to make, which is concerning due to the number of legal challenges recently made of the HRB.

Addition of the Infill standards into the findings for COA’s for new development.

Formalization of the Concept to Final Process, with required materials and findings.

Change to require all demolitions go to HRB, not some to Staff.

Addition of Secretary of Interior Standards for COA’s and demolitions.

Formalization and time limits for demolition approvals.

Changes from 8/21/23 MPC Meeting

Staff made the following revisions based on Commissioner and Citizen comments:

- Received two legal reviews from two different attorneys.
- Clarification of Contributing and Non-contributing structure’s demolition.
- Edits of typos and errors.

**CODE REFERENCES (CHANGES IN RED)**

- Section 10.7.2: HRB Powers and Duties and Composition
- Section 9.10.2 HRB process and approvals.

**RECOMMENDATION**: Approve text amendments.
9.10.2 PROCESS AND APPROVAL

A. Guidance Standards, Maintenance of Consistent Policies, HRTC: In order to provide guidance and insight into desirable goals and objectives for the Beaufort Historic District, the documents described in this section are hereby adopted for use by the HBB in the exercise of its authority granted under Section 10.7 of this Code.
   2. The "Northwest Quadrant Design Principles," May 1999 shall be utilized by the HBB for the review of projects located within the Beaufort Conservation Neighborhood.
   3. The Secretary of Interior's "Standards for Rehabilitation," shall be utilized for review of all projects that modify a contributing structure.
   4. The Building Design Standards, in Article 4 of this Code, shall be utilized for review of all new construction.
   5. The Historic District Infill Design Guidelines, in Section 4.7 of this Code, shall be utilized for review of all new construction.
   6. Any special area policies adopted by the HBB.
   7. Section B.4 Archeological Impact shall be utilized when appropriate.

B. HBB Process: the review process to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness in the Beaufort Historic District is based on the following project types and process:
   1. Application/Eligibility: A property owner within the Beaufort Historic District shall make an application with the City of Beaufort. City Staff will review the application for completeness and schedule to a HTRC meeting.
   2. HTRC: The City shall organize an Historic Technical Review Committee to review projects within the Beaufort Historic District. The HTRC shall consist of the Code Administrator, City Building Official, City Code Enforcement Officer, City Architect or Preservationist, a representative from any non-profit historic foundation, and representatives from public utilities. Any meetings of the HTRC shall be noticed as public meetings.
   3. HTRC Meeting: The HTRC shall review the application for compliance with this code and shall make a recommendation to either the HBB or the Code Administrator.

4. Contributing Structures Process: all changes to contributing structures shall be approved by the Historic Review Board with the following exceptions:
   i. Minor Changes Contributing Structures: Minor changes or alterations to a contributing structure, limited to include the following: in-kind repairs, non-historic fences and walls, changes in paint color, roof replacements and roof materials, and window replacements. All such projects shall attend an HTRC meeting before Staff shall issue approval.
   5. Non-Contributing Structures Process: all changes to non-contributing structures shall be approved by the Code Administrator with the following exceptions:
i. **Minor Changes** Non-contributing Structures Preservation District. Changes to a building or property, to include fences, paint color, roof materials, canopies and awnings, site changes, and window replacements on noncontributing structures.

ii. The HTRC may recommend after the required HTRC meeting that applications as defined in this Section, shall be approved by the Historic District Review Board (HDB), instead of the Code Administrator.

**Process Type:** The review process required to approve Certification of Appropriateness is based on the following project types:

1. **Minor:** Administrative review shall apply to applications for Certification of Appropriateness for the following items. The Administrator may submit any applications that are subject to staff approval to the Historic District Review Board (HDB) for approval.
   a. Changes to a building or property, to include fences, paint color, roof materials, canopies and awnings, site changes, and window replacements on noncontributing structures.
   b. New construction and building modifications to include construction of non-habitable accessory buildings in the Beaufort Preservation Neighborhood.
   c. Modifications to non-contributing structures in the SCN.
d. Demolitions of non-contributing structures in the BCN.

e. Demolition or partial demolition of a structure that is listed in the "1997 Beaufort County Historic Sites Survey," or the most recent historic sites survey, and lies outside the Beaufort Historic District.

f. Demolition of noncontributing accessory structures (e.g., sheds, carports, etc.).

Such approval shall follow the procedures for Development Design Review Minor (Section 9.8.1).

6.2 Major New Development Historic District: Discretionary review by the HRB shall apply to all new development projects in the Historic District following the procedures for Development Design Review in Section 9.8.2. Other applications for Certificates of Appropriateness. New construction in the Historic District shall follow the HRB approval process below:

a. Conceptual Approval

i. Applicant shall submit a site plan depicting new structure in relation to the setbacks, street, and any easements on the site. Site Plan shall include existing streets, alleys, driveways, non-primary structures, preliminary landscape plan depicting any existing specimen trees.

ii. Applicant shall submit a schematic depicting the following requirements: mass, height (including elevation of the first floor and floor to floor heights), siting and orientation on the lot, and form/scale of structure.

iii. Applicant shall submit a streetscape of any existing structures on the block proposed for new development, with proportions and pictures of said structures.

b. Final Approval

i. Applicant shall verify elevation of the first floor, and floor to floor heights.

ii. Applicant shall provide both, windows and doors detail.

iii. Applicant shall provide final materials for structure.

iv. Applicant shall provide roof details including style, pitch and materials.

v. Applicant shall provide design and materials for shutters, porch, paint, walls, and column details.

vi. The applicant may elect to combine the Conceptual and Final Approval processes. Upon such election, the application shall include the items required for both Conceptual and Final Approval application and one HRB hearing shall be held on the combined application.

c. Findings Required: New development within the Beaufort Historic District shall be approved by the Historic Review Board, based on the following findings:

i. The plan is consistent with the seven integrity principles Section 4.7.2 of this Code.

Location: This is the relationship between the property and its historical context.

Design: This is the combination of elements that create the feeling of a district or structure. These elements include building patterns, streetscapes, site elements, building size, mass and scale, spatial relationships, and specific architectural elements and details.

Setting: This is the physical environment of a property and should be evaluated on its context as well as on the historical role the property has played and continues to play. Important features include topography, vegetation, man-made features, and relationships between existing structures and their surroundings.
Materials: These are the physical elements that make up a property or district.

Workmanship: This is the physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or time period. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, workmanship of surrounding structures should be considered and respected. Retaining the details of the original craft and craftsman (i.e., wood, masonry, taffy etc.) of the original building ensures the historic fabric is retained and serves as an important component of the integrity and the patina of age of individual structures and the district as a whole.

Feeling: This is the property’s expression of the aesthetic or historic sense of a particular period of time. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, the feeling of surrounding structures should be considered and respected.

Association: This is the direct link between an important historic event or person and a property. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, association of particular sites and neighborhoods should be considered.

7. The plan complies with all applicable requirements of this Code, all applicable requirements of the Beaufort Preservation Manual, and/or the Northwest Quadrant Design Guidelines.

8. New construction should build upon the history and established pattern of the district through its design, landscape, use, and cultural expression. An understanding of the character and significance of the district should predicate any design or development activities.

iv. Lot size, massing, siting, floor area ratio, and height must correspond to the adjacent buildings that contribute to or complement the rhythm of the district. The use of buildings will be secondary to their design and integration into the district. However, newly introduced uses should not be detrimental to the historic fabric.

v. Infill Shall Be Compatible Yet Distinct: New buildings should be identifiable as being of their period of construction; however, they should not be so differentiated that they detract from — or visually compete with — their historic neighbors. Within historic districts, compatibility is more important than differentiation.

vi. The Exterior Envelope and Pattern of New Buildings Shall Reflect District Characteristics: Infill design elements, pattern, texture, and materials should reflect the aesthetic and historic themes of the district. Patterns of fenestration, building divisions, setbacks, and landscapes that are characteristic of the district should inform the design of new buildings. Mechanical and automobile infrastructure should be appropriately concealed when not consistent with the district’s character.
9. **Approval of Certificate of Appropriateness**: In reviewing an application, the HRB shall conduct a public meeting and consider, among other things, In order to approve an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness of a Contributing Structure in an approved Historic District, the HRB shall find that the proposal meets the following standards:

   a. A property shall be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces, and spatial relationships.

   b. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The relocation of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property shall be avoided.

   c. A property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, shall be discouraged.
d. Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved.

e. Distinctive materials, features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property shall be preserved.

f. Derelict or historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary and physical evidence.

g. Chemical and physical treatments, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. Treatments that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used unless otherwise approved by the City Staff.

h. Archaeological resources should be protected and preserved in place as per Section 4.7.2 of this Code. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures should be encouraged.

i. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportions, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

j. New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

10. Denial of Certificate of Appropriateness: The HRP may refuse any application that, in the opinion of the HRP, does not comply with the standards and guidelines listed in this. In case of disapproval, the HRP shall state the reasons therefore in a written statement to the applicant and may give verbal advice to the applicant and make recommendations in regards to appropriateness of design, arrangement, texture, material, color, etc. of the property involved. Among other grounds for considering a design inappropriate and requiring disapproval and resubmission are the following defects:

1. Arising and spectacular effects.
2. Violent contrasts of materials or colors and intense or lurid colors.
3. A multiplicity or incongruity of details resulting in a restless and disturbing appearance.
4. The absence of unity and coherence in composition, that is not in consonance with the dignity and character of the present structure, in the case of repair.
5. Construction of, remodeling, or enlargement of an existing building in a manner not consistent with the prevailing character of the neighborhood.

11. Issuance of Certificate of Appropriateness: When a Certificate of Appropriateness and Building Permit have been issued, the Administrator shall, from time to time, inspect the alteration or construction approved by such certificate and may report such inspection to the HRP listing all work inspected and reporting any work that is not in accordance with such certificate, or that violates any ordinances of the city.

12. Demolitions:

a. In all applications involving the demolition of a contributing primary structure or contributing accessory structure, provisions shall be made for a public hearing as set forth in Section 9.1.5. Demolition of non-contributing structures shall be approved by the Historic Review Board based on the standards of this Section.
b. In any case involving the demolition or partial demolition of a structure, before granting approval or requiring a postponement, the HIRB may call on the Administrator to provide them with a report on the state of repair and structural stability of the structure under consideration.

c. Upon receiving an application for demolition or partial demolition of a structure that is listed in the "1987 Beaufort County Historic Sites Survey" and lies within the limits of the city but outside the Beaufort Historic District, the Administrator, within 30 days of receiving the application, shall either approve it, or find that the preservation and protection of historic places and the public interest will be best served by postponing the demolition for a designated period — this shall not exceed 60 days from the receipt of the application, and notify the applicant of such postponement. The application will be announced to the public in accordance with the notification standards set forth in Section 5.1.3. Within the period of postponement of demolition or alteration of any building, the Administrator shall take steps to ascertain what may be done to preserve the building, including consultation with private civic groups, interested private citizens and other public boards or agencies, including investigation of the potential use of the power of eminent domain when the preservation of a given building is clearly in the interest of the general welfare of the community and of certain historic and architectural significance.

d. In order to approve an application for the relocation or demolition of a Contributing Structure, in an Historic District, the Historic Review Board must find that:

1. The applicant has proven the designated property has no historical significance.
2. In approving an application for the demolition of a Contributing Structure in the Historic District the Board may impose the following conditions:
   i. Photographic, video, or drawn recordation of the property to be demolished, and/or
   ii. Salvage and curation of significant elements, and/or
   iii. Health and Safety and property maintenance measures
   iv. Other reasonable mitigation measures.
3. At the public hearing of an application to relocate or demolish a Contributing Structure in an Historic District the Administrator upon recommendation by the Historic Review Board, may, in the interest of exploring reasonable alternatives, delay issuance of a permit for up to 90 days from the date of the hearing. If, thirty days prior to the expiration of the delay period, the Historic Review Board finds that there are still reasonable alternatives to explore, it may recommend a delay for an additional period of up to 90 days.
4. Permit Validity: Upon the approval of a demolition application by the Historic Review Board, the demolition permit shall be effective for two years from the date of the approval. The Historic Review Board may grant up to 5 one-year extensions of this time period upon submittal by the applicant of sufficient justification for the extension. Extensions shall be submitted at least 1 month prior to the expiration date.

e. In order to approve an application for the relocation or demolition of a non-Contributing Structure, in an Historic District, the Historic Review Board must find that:

1. The applicant has proven no prudent or reasonable alternative exists.
2. In approving an application for the demolition of a non-contributing Structure in the Historic District the Board may impose the following conditions:
   i. Photographic, video, or drawn recordation of the property to be demolished, and/or
   ii. Salvage and curation of significant elements, and/or
iii. Health and Safety and property maintenance measures:

iv. Other reasonable mitigation measures.

3. Permit Validity: Upon the approval of a demolition application by the Historic Review Board, the demolition permit shall be effective for two years from the date of the approval. The Historic Review Board may grant up to 5 one-year extensions of this time period upon submission by the applicant of sufficient justification for the extension. Extensions shall be submitted at least 1 month prior to the expiration date.

15. Design Exception: Design Exceptions shall be used to modify any dimensional standards or design requirements, found in Articles 2 and 4, for development projects that have unique characteristics that justify a deviation from the underlying standards. Such deviations are intended to provide flexibility from the underlying standards to permit compatible development patterns which are indicative of the surrounding area and/or use an innovative approach or technique. The process is intended to provide the minimum relief necessary to create a more innovative and context-sensitive development consistent with the City's adopted plans. This tool is not intended to circumvent the map amendment (rezone) procedure where that tool would provide a similar modification of standards.

a. Applicability: The HRB shall have the authority to authorize a variance of up to 35 percent from any numerical standard set forth in Article 2 (Maps and Districts) — except for Section 2.6, Height — and Section 2.7.4, Air/Installation Compatibility Use Zones (ACUZ) overlay district standards — and Article 4 (Building Design and Infill Standards).

b. Review Criteria: The HRB may approve an application for a Design Exception where it reasonably determines that there will be no significant negative impact upon residents of surrounding property, or upon the general public. The board shall consider the following criteria in its review:

i. Compatibility: The proposed exception is appropriate for its location. It is compatible with the character of surrounding properties and the development permitted by the zoning of the surrounding properties.

ii. No Adverse Impact: The design of the proposed exception minimizes adverse effects including visual impacts of the proposed use on surrounding properties. Furthermore, the proposed exception does not create a nuisance for surrounding properties.

iii. Consistency with Adopted Plans: The proposed development is in general conformity with the City's Comprehensive Plan, Civic Master Plan and other plans officially adopted by the City.

14. Re-submit: After disapproval of an application, the applicant may make modifications to the plans and resubmit. The applicant may not resubmit the same proposal, without modifying it based on HRB comments, for 12 months from the date of the original submission. Reconsideration of an application for demolition that has been denied by the HRB may not be heard until 12 months from the date of the original public hearing, unless a major change has occurred in the property condition that is attributable to natural causes.

15. Appeal—Minor: Appeals of the decisions of the Administrator shall be heard by the HRB. The application for appeal shall be made within 30 days of the decision.

16. Appeal—Major: Any case agreed by the decisions of the HRB may appeal to the circuit court within 30 days of the decision.
Design Exceptions: Design Exceptions shall be used to modify any dimensional standards or design requirements, found in Articles 2 and 4, for development projects that have unique characteristics that justify a deviation from the underlying standards. Such deviations are intended to provide flexibility from the underlying standards to permit compatible development patterns which are indicative of the surrounding area and/or use an innovative approach or technique. The process is intended to provide the minimum relief necessary to create a more innovative and context-sensitive development consistent with the City's adopted plans. This tool is not intended to circumvent the map amendment (rezone) procedure where that tool would provide a similar modification of standards.

a. Applicability: The HRB shall have the authority to authorize a variance of up to 35 percent from any numerical standard set forth in Article 2 (Maps and Districts) except for Section 2.6, Height and Section 2.7.4, Air-Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) overlay district standards and Article 4 (Building Design and Infill Standards).

b. Review Criteria: The HRB may approve an application for a Design Exception where it reasonably determines that there will be no significant negative impact upon residents of surrounding property, or upon the general public. The board shall consider the following criteria in its review:

i. Compatibility: The proposed exception is appropriate for its location. It is compatible with the character of surrounding properties and the development permitted by the zoning of the surrounding properties, and will not reduce property values of surrounding properties.

ii. No Adverse Impacts: The design of the proposed exception minimizes adverse effects including visual impacts of the proposed use on surrounding properties; furthermore, the proposed exception does not create a nuisance for surrounding properties.

iii. Consistency with Adopted Plans: The proposed development is in general conformity with the City's Comprehensive Plan, Civic Master Plan and other plans officially adopted by the City.

8. Guidance Standards: Maintenance of Consistent Policies: In order to provide guidance and insight into desirable goals and objectives for the Beaufort Historic District, the documents described in this section are hereby adopted for use by the HRB in the exercise of its authority granted under Section 10.7 of this Code.


2. The “Northwest Quadrant Design Principles,” May 1999, shall be utilized by the HRB for the review of projects located within the Beaufort Preservation Neighborhood.

3. The Secretary of the Interior's "Standards for Rehabilitation" shall be utilized for review of all projects that modify a contributing structure.

4. The Building Design Standards, in Article 4 of this Code, shall be utilized for review of all new construction.

5. The Historic District Infill Design Guidelines, in Section 4.7 of this Code, shall be utilized for review of all new construction.

6. Any special area policies adopted by the HRB.
Approval of Certificate of Appropriateness: In reviewing an application, the HRB shall conduct a public meeting and consider, among other things, the historic, architectural, and aesthetic features of such structure, the nature and character of the surrounding area, the use of such structure and its importance to the city, the character and appropriateness of design, scale of buildings, arrangement, texture, materials and color of the structure in question, and the relation of such elements to similar features of structures in the immediate surroundings. The HRB shall not consider the interior arrangement of interior design unless the interior arrangement or design affects the exterior appearance, nor shall it make requirements except for the purpose of preventing developments that are not in harmony with the prevailing character of the Beaufort Historic District, or that are obviously incongruous with this character.

D. - Demolitions:

1. In all applications involving the demolition of a contributing primary structure or contributing accessory structure, provisions shall be made for a public hearing as set forth in Section 9.1.5. Demolition of non-contributing structures are approved by the Administrator.

2. In any case involving the demolition or partial demolition of a structure, before granting approval or requiring a postponement, the HRB may call on the Administrator to provide them with a report on the state of repair and structural stability of the structure under consideration.

3. Upon receiving an application for demolition or partial demolition of a structure that is listed in the "1997 Beaufort County Historic Sites Survey" and lies within the limits of the city but outside the Beaufort Historic District, the Administrator, within 30 days of receiving the application, shall either approve, or find that the preservation and protection of historic places and the public interest will be best served by postponing the demolition for a designated period - this shall not exceed 60 days from the receipt of the application, and notify the applicant of such postponement. The application will be announced to the public in accordance with the notification standards set forth in Section 9.1.3. Within the period of postponement of demolition or alteration of any building, the Administrator shall take steps to ascertain what may be done to preserve the building, including consultation with private civic groups, interested private citizens and other public boards or agencies, including investigation of the potential use of the power of eminent domain when preservation is clearly in the interest of the general welfare of the community and of certain historic and architectural significance.

E. - Denial of Certificate of Appropriateness: The HRB may refuse any application that, in the opinion of the HRB, does not comply with the standards and guidelines listed in Section 9.10.2 B. and would be detrimental to the interests of the city. In case of disapproval, the HRB shall state the reasons therefore in a written statement to the applicant, and may give verbal advice to the applicant and make recommendations in regard to appropriateness of design, arrangement, texture, material, color, etc. of the property involved.

Among other grounds for considering a design inappropriate and requiring disapproval and resubmission are:

1. Arresting and spectacular effects.
2. Violent contrasts of materials or colors and intense or lurid colors.
3. A multiplicity or incongruity of details resulting in a restless and disturbing appearance.
4. The absence of unity and coherence in composition, that is not in consonance with the dignity and character of the present structure, in the case of repair.
5. Construction of, remodeling, or enlargement of an existing building in a manner not consistent with the prevailing character of the neighborhood.
F. Issuance of Certificate of Appropriateness: When a Certificate of Appropriateness and Building Permit have been issued, the Administrator shall, from time to time, inspect the alteration or construction approved by such certificate and may report such inspection to the HRB and all work inspected and reporting any work that is not in accordance with such certificate or that violates any ordinances of the city.

G. Resubmittal: After disapproval of an application, the applicant may make modifications to the plans and resubmit. The applicant may not resubmit the same proposal, without modifying it based on HRB comments, for 12 months from the date of the original submission. Reconsideration of an application for demolition that has been denied by the HRB may not be heard until 12 months from the date of the original public hearing, unless a major change has occurred in the property condition that is attributable to natural causes.

H. Appeal—Minor: Appeals of the decisions of the Administrator shall be heard by the HRB. The application for appeal shall be made within 30 days of the decision.

I. Appeal—Major: Any party aggrieved by the decisions of the HRB may appeal to the circuit court within 30 days of the decision.
Clean Section 9.10.2
9.10.2 PROCESS AND APPROVAL

A. Guidance Standards, Maintenance of Consistent Policies, HRTC: In order to provide guidance and insight into desirable goals and objectives for the Beaufort Historic District, the documents described in this section are hereby adopted for use by the HRB in the exercise of its authority granted under Section 10.7 of this Code.

2. The "Northwest Quadrant Design Principles," May 1999 shall be utilized by the HRB for the review of projects located within the Beaufort Conservation Neighborhood.
3. The Secretary of Interior's "Standards for Rehabilitation" shall be utilized for review of all projects that modify a contributing structure.
4. The Building Design Standards, in Article 4 of this Code, shall be utilized for review of all new construction.
5. The Historic District Infill Design Guidelines, in Section 4.7 of this Code, shall be utilized for review of all new construction.
6. Any special area policies adopted by the HRB.
7. Section 8.4 Archeological Impact shall be utilized when appropriate.

B. HRB Process: the review process to approve a Certificate of Appropriateness in the Beaufort Historic District is based on the following project types and process:

1. Application/Eligibility: A property owner within the Beaufort Historic District shall make an application with the City of Beaufort. City Staff will review the application for completeness and schedule to a HTRC meeting.
2. HTRC: The City shall organize an Historic Technical Review Committee to review projects within the Beaufort Historic District. The HRTC shall consist of the Code Administrator, City Building Official, City Code Enforcement Official, City Architect or Preservationist, a representative from any non-profit historic foundation, and representatives from public utilities. Any meetings of the HTRC shall be noticed as public meetings.
3. HTRC Meeting: The HTRC shall review the application for compliance with this code and shall make a recommendation to either the HRB or the Code Administrator.
4. Contributing Structures Process: all changes to contributing structures shall be approved by the Historic Review Board with the following exceptions:

   i. Minor Changes Contributing Structures: Minor changes or alterations to a contributing structure, limited to include the following: in kind repairs, non-historic fences and walls, changes in paint color, roof replacements and roof materials, and window replacements. All such projects shall attend an HTRC meeting before Staff shall issue approval.

5. Non-Contributing Structures Process: all changes to non-contributing structures shall be approved by the Code Administrator with the following exceptions:
i. **Minor Changes Non-contributing Structures Preservation District:** Changes to a building or property, to include fences, paint color, roof materials, canopies and awnings, site changes, and window replacements on noncontributing structures.

ii. The HTRC may recommend after the required HTRC meeting, that applications as defined in this Section, shall be approved by the Historic District Review Board (HRB), instead of the Code Administrator.

---

6. **New Development Historic District:** review by the HRB shall apply to all new development projects in the Historic District following the procedures for Development Design Review in Section 9.8.2. New construction in the Historic District shall follow the HRB approval process below:

   a. **Conceptual Approval**

      i. Applicant shall submit a site plan depicting new structure in relation to the setbacks, street, and any easements on the site. Site Plan shall include existing streets, alleys, driveways, non-primary structures, preliminary landscape plan depicting any existing specimen trees.

      ii. Applicant shall submit a schematic depicting the following requirements: mass, height (including elevation of the first floor and floor to floor heights), siting and orientation on the lot, and form/scale of structure.
iii. Applicant shall submit a street-scape of any existing structures on the block proposed for new development, with proportions and pictures of said structures.

b. Final Approval

i. Applicant shall verify elevation of the first floor, and floor to floor heights.

ii. Applicant shall provide bays, windows and doors detail.

iii. Applicant shall provide final materials for structure.

iv. Applicant shall provide roof details including style, pitch and materials.

v. Applicant shall provide design and materials for shutter, porch, paint, walls, and column details.

vi. The applicant may elect to combine the Conceptual and Final Approval processes. Upon such election, the application shall include the items required for both Conceptual and Final Approval application and one HRB hearing shall be held on the combined application.

c. Findings Required: New development within the Beaufort Historic District shall be approved by the Historic Review Board, based on the following findings:

i. The plan is consistent with the seven integrity principles Section 4.7.2 of this Code.

   Location: This is the relationship between the property and its historical context.

   Design: This is the combination of elements that create the feeling of a district or structure. These elements include building patterns, streetscapes, site elements, building size, mass and scale, spatial relationships, and specific architectural elements and details.

   Setting: This is the physical environment of a property and should be evaluated on its context as well as on the historical role the property has played and continues to play. Important features include topography, vegetation, man-made features, and relationships between existing structures and their surroundings.

   Materials: These are the physical elements that make up a property or district.

   Workmanship: This is the physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or time period. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, workmanship of surrounding structures should be considered and respected. Retaining the details of the original craft and craftsman (i.e., wood, masonry, tabby etc.) of the original building ensures the historic fabric is retained and serves as an important component of the integrity and the patina of age of individual structures and the district as a whole.

   Feeling: This is the property’s expression of the aesthetic or historic sense of a particular period of time. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, the feeling of surrounding structures should be considered and respected.

   Association: This is the direct link between an important historic event or person and a property. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, association of particular sites and neighborhoods should be considered.

7. The plan complies with all applicable requirements of this Code, all applicable requirements of the Beaufort Preservation Manual, and/or the Northwest Quadrant Design Guidelines.

8. New construction should build upon the history and established pattern of the district through its design, landscape, use, and cultural expression. An understanding of the character and significance of the district should predicate any design or development activities.
iv. Lot size, massing, siting, floor area ratio, and height must correspond to the adjacent buildings that contribute to or complement the rhythm of the district. The use of buildings will be secondary to their design and integration into the district. However, newly introduced uses should not be detrimental to the historic fabric.

v. Infill Shall Be Compatible Yet Distinct: New buildings should be identifiable as being of their period of construction; however, they should not be so differentiated that they detract from – or visually compete with – their historic neighbors. Within historic districts, compatibility is more important than differentiation.

vi. The Exterior Envelope and Patterning of New Buildings Shall Reflect District Characteristics: Infill design elements, patterning, texture, and materials should reflect the aesthetic and historic themes of the district. Patterns of fenestration, building divisions, setbacks, and landscapes that are characteristic of the district should inform the design of new buildings. Mechanical and automobile infrastructure should be appropriately concealed when not consistent with the district’s character.

9. Approval of Certificate of Appropriateness: In reviewing an application, the HRB shall conduct a public meeting and consider, among other things. In order to approve an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness of a Contributing Structure in an approved Historic District, the HRB shall find that the proposal meets the following standards:

a. A property shall be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces, and spatial relationships.

b. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The relocation of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property shall be avoided.

c. A property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, shall be discouraged.

d. Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved.

e. Distinctive materials, features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property shall be preserved.

f. Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary and physical evidence.

g. Chemical and physical treatments, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. Treatments that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used unless otherwise approved by the City Staff.

h. Archaeological resources should be protected and preserved in place as per Section 4.7.2 of this Code. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures should be encouraged.
i. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportions, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

j. New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

10. **Denial of Certificate of Appropriateness:** The HRB may refuse any application that, in the opinion of the HRB, does not comply with the standards and guidelines listed in this. In case of disapproval, the HRB shall state the reasons therefore in a written statement to the applicant and may give verbal advice to the applicant and make recommendations in regard to appropriateness of design, arrangement, texture, material, color, etc. of the property involved. Among other grounds for considering a design inappropriate and requiring disapproval and resubmission are the following defects:

1. Arresting and spectacular effects.
2. Violent contrasts of materials or colors and intense or lurid colors.
3. A multiplicity or incongruity of details resulting in a restless and disturbing appearance.
4. The absence of unity and coherence in composition, that is not in consonance with the dignity and character of the present structure, in the case of repair.
5. Construction of, remodeling, or enlargement of an existing building in a manner not consistent with the prevailing character of the neighborhood.

11. **Issuance of Certificate of Appropriateness:** When a Certificate of Appropriateness and Building Permit have been issued, the Administrator shall, from time to time, inspect the alteration or construction approved by such certificate and may report such inspection to the HRB listing all work inspected and reporting any work that is not in accordance with such certificate, or that violates any ordinances of the city.

12. **Demolitions:**

a. In all applications involving the demolition of a contributing primary structure or contributing accessory structure, provisions shall be made for a public hearing as set forth in Section 9.1.5. Demolition of non-contributing structures shall be approved by the Historic Review Board based on the standards of this Section.

b. In any case involving the demolition or partial demolition of a structure, before granting approval or requiring a postponement, the HRB may call on the Administrator to provide them with a report on the state of repair and structural stability of the structure under consideration.

c. Upon receiving an application for demolition or partial demolition of a structure that is listed in the "1997 Beaufort County Historic Sites Survey" and lies within the limits of the city but outside the Beaufort Historic District, the Administrator, within 30 days of receiving the application, shall either approve it, or find that the preservation and protection of historic places and the public interest will be best served by postponing the demolition for a designated period — this shall not exceed 60 days from the receipt of the application, and notify the applicant of such postponement. The application will be announced to the public in accordance with the notification standards set forth in Section 9.1.3. Within the period of postponement of demolition or alteration of any building, the Administrator shall take steps to ascertain what may be done to preserve the building, including consultation with private civic groups, interested private citizens and other public boards or agencies, including investigation of the potential use of the power of eminent domain when the preservation of a given building is clearly in the
interest of the general welfare of the community and of certain historic and architectural
significance.

d. In order to approve an application for the relocation or demolition of a Contributing Structure, in an Historic District, the Historic Review Board must find that:

1. The applicant has proven the designated property has no historical significance,

2. In approving an application for the demolition of a Contributing Structure in the Historic District the Board may impose the following conditions:

   i. Photographic, video, or drawn recordation of the property to be demolished, and/or

   ii. Salvage and curation of significant elements, and/or

   iii. Health and Safety and property maintenance measures

   iv. Other reasonable mitigation measures.

3. At the public hearing of an application to relocate or demolish a Contributing Structure in an Historic District the Administrator upon recommendation by the Historic Review Board, may, in the interest of exploring reasonable alternatives, delay issuance of a permit for up to 90 days from the date of the hearing. If, thirty days prior to the expiration of the delay period, the Historic Review Board finds that there are still reasonable alternatives to explore, it may recommend a delay for an additional period of up to 90 days.

4. Permit Validity: Upon the approval of a demolition application by the Historic Review Board, the demolition permit shall be effective for two years from the date of the approval. The Historic Review Board may grant up to 5 one-year extensions of this time period upon submittal by the applicant of sufficient justification for the extension. Extensions shall be submitted at least 1 month prior to the expiration date.

e. In order to approve an application for the relocation or demolition of a non-Contributing Structure, in an Historic District, the Historic Review Board must find that:

1. The applicant has proven no prudent or reasonable alternative exists.

2. In approving an application for the demolition of a non-contributing Structure in the Historic District the Board may impose the following conditions:

   i. Photographic, video, or drawn recordation of the property to be demolished, and/or

   ii. Salvage and curation of significant elements, and/or

   iii. Health and Safety and property maintenance measures

   iv. Other reasonable mitigation measures.

3. Permit Validity: Upon the approval of a demolition application by the Historic Review Board, the demolition permit shall be effective for two years from the date of the approval. The Historic Review Board may grant up to 5 one-year extensions of this time period upon submittal by the applicant of sufficient justification for the extension. Extensions shall be submitted at least 1 month prior to the expiration date.

13. Design Exception: Design Exceptions shall be used to modify any dimensional standards or design requirements, found in Articles 2 and 4, for development projects that have unique characteristics that justify a deviation from the underlying standards. Such deviations are intended to provide flexibility from the underlying standards to permit compatible development patterns which are indicative of the surrounding area and/or use an innovative approach or technique. The process is intended to provide the minimum relief necessary to create a more innovative and context-sensitive development
consistent with the City's adopted plans. This tool is not intended to circumvent the map amendment (rezoning) procedure where that tool would provide a similar modification of standards.

a. **Applicability:** The HRB shall have the authority to authorize a variance of up to 35 percent from any numerical standard set forth in Article 2 (Maps and Districts) — except for Section 2.6, Height and Section 2.7.4, Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) overlay district standards — and Article 4 (Building Design and Infill Standards).

b. **Review Criteria:** The HRB may approve an application for a Design Exception where it reasonably determines that there will be no significant negative impact upon residents of surrounding property, or upon the general public. The board shall consider the following criteria in its review:

   i. **Compatibility:** The proposed exception is appropriate for its location. It is compatible with the character of surrounding properties and the development permitted by the zoning of the surrounding properties.

   ii. **No Adverse Impact:** The design of the proposed exception minimizes adverse effects including visual impacts of the proposed use on surrounding properties; furthermore, the proposed exception does not create a nuisance for surrounding properties.

   iii. **Consistency with Adopted Plans:** The proposed development is in general conformity with the City’s Comprehensive Plan, Civic Master Plan and other plans officially adopted by the City.

14. **Resubmittal:** After disapproval of an application, the applicant may make modifications to the plans and resubmit. The applicant may not resubmit the same proposal, without modifying it based on HRB comments, for 12 months from the date of the original submission. Reconsideration of an application for demolition that has been denied by the HRB may not be heard until 12 months from the date of the original public hearing, unless a major change has occurred in the property condition that is attributable to natural causes.

15. **Appeal—Minor:** Appeals of the decisions of the Administrator shall be heard by the HRB. The application for appeal shall be made within 30 days of the decision.

16. **Appeal—Major:** Any party aggrieved by the decisions of the HRB may appeal to the circuit court within 30 days of the decision.

B.
Date: March 18, 2024

From: Curt Freese, Community Development Director

To: Planning Commission

Issue: Beaufort Development Code Changes

Background:

The Beaufort Development Code was adopted in 2017 with a forward-thinking form-based structure to regulate development. Stakeholders, from developers, board and council members to staff members and others, all identified numerous changes that must be addressed. A Code Workshop process was initiated with City Council to bring forward amendments. This process started on March 21, 2023, and has continued with public meetings on the third Tuesday of every month.

The code amendments herein are limited to specific zoning and lot requirements that were presented to Council at their October and January Code Edit sessions. These amendments require a recommendation by the City Planning Commission, and then are forwarded to the City Council.

Current Status:

Code Amendments proposed at the February Code Edit meeting, which focused on edits to Chapter 4, Design Requirements, have not been included as part of this cycle, as discussion was not finalized at the February Code Edit Meeting. Staff expects to forward these to the PC in April for consideration.

Proposed Amendments

Please note, a copy of the track changes of the code sections in question are included in your packet with changes in red. The changes which involve several Sections of the code are found below:

2.4.1 Transect Standards

2.6.2 Building Height

2.6.5 Height Transition

2.7.1 Historic District
3.2 Table of Uses

3.6.2 Commercial Use Requirements/Standards

3.11.2 Accessory Uses

3.12.2 Temporary Uses

4.5.3 Carriage House

4.5.5 2-3 Unit Homes

4.5.6 Rowhouse

4.5.8 Live Work Buildings

DESCRIPTION AND SYNOPSIS OF CHANGES

2.4.1 Transect Standards

Analysis/Recommendation: Adding a note that all subdivision of lots in historic districts will be subject to the HRB process for clarity.

2.6.2 Building Height

Analysis/Recommendation: 1) Revising building height to be consistent with the adopted Flood Ordinance; 2) Adding the two story requirement measured 250’ from any major intersection, as it is currently referenced within the specific building type categories and lacks clarity.

2.6.5 Height Transition (New Code Section)

Analysis/Recommendation: Adding a 75’ height transition area, with diagrams, to provide a reasonable transition for residents who live in homes next to higher order transect zones, like T-4 and T-5.

2.7.1 Historic District (Scriveners/Clarification)
Analysis/Recommendation: There has been some confusion as to what high ground references in the code. The proposed language is to provide clarification.

3.2 Table of Uses

Analysis/Recommendation: This includes a number of major changes to accommodate missing middle housing and higher densities, which include the following:

- Addition of T-4NA specific standards in the zoning use table. Such standards did not exist.
- Adding 2-3 unit dwelling units in the T-3 districts.
- Adding Rowhomes as a conditional use in the T-3 districts
- Adding Apartment homes as a special exception in the T-3 districts.
- Adding Live Work Unit in T4 HN (with new conditions as per the proposed Section 3.6.2.

3.6.2 Commercial Use Requirements/Standards

Analysis/Recommendation: Five proposed major changes to this section:

- Revising the T-4 NA district for clarity, and also to allow indoor entertainment, as Staff has been approached by owners of industrial buildings in this district, who would like to convert to gyms, gymnastics and other uses which would serve the community and intention of the district.
- Clarifying that manufactured and mobile homes cannot be used for short term rentals.
- Adding a requirement that kennels in T-5 UC must not be visible from the public view.
- Adding specific standards for special exceptions in T4-HN, limiting the use, size of use, and signage.
- Adding clarity for split zoned T-5 UC and RMX properties, and standards for development.

3.11.2 Accessory Uses

Analysis/Recommendation: Elimination of the minimum size requirement for an attached ADU to allow for more flexibility.
3.12.2 Temporary Uses (clarification)

**Analysis:** Remove the term “steel” and replacing it with “metal” for temporary allowance of pod type moving storage.

4.5.3 CARRIAGE HOUSE

**Analysis/Recommendation:** Two changes: 1) Allowing up to two ADUS in all districts, eliminating the 11 ADU requirement in the T-3 districts. 2) Eliminating the minimum size requirement.

4.5.5 2-3 UNIT HOMES

**Analysis/Recommendation:** Eliminate the two per block only restriction to allow for greater usage of this housing type.

4.5.6 ROWHOUSE

**Analysis:** Remove the T4-NA restriction and allow as per the Code table as a proposed Special Exception.

4.5.8 LIVE WORK BUILDINGS

**Analysis:** Removing the T-4 restriction for T-4 which makes such a live-work building impractical to build.

**Recommendation:** Recommendation to City Council For Approval.
2.4.1 TRANSECT-BASED DISTRICT STANDARDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>T3-S</th>
<th>T3-N</th>
<th>T4-HN</th>
<th>T4-N</th>
<th>T5-DC</th>
<th>T5-UC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. LOT CONFIGURATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Lot Width at Front Setback</td>
<td>60 ft min; for waterfront lots see Section 2.5.4</td>
<td>40 ft min, 60 ft min in the Hundred Pines neighborhood</td>
<td>40 ft min, 60 ft min in The Point</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Lot Size</td>
<td>6,000 sf min; for waterfront lots see Section 2.5.4</td>
<td>4,000 sf min; 3,000 sf min for alley-served lots</td>
<td>4,000 sf min; 6,000 sf min in The Point</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Maximum Lot Coverage(^1)</td>
<td>45% of lot area</td>
<td>45% of lot area</td>
<td>55% of lot area</td>
<td>70% of lot area</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Frontage Build-Out(^2)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>75% max</td>
<td>60% min; 85% max</td>
<td>75% min</td>
<td>60% min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) This percentage indicates maximum lot coverage by roofs; total impervious coverage, excepting pools, may be an additional 10%. Parcels may also be subject to Section 8.3 (Stormwater).

\(^2\) See Section 2.5.1 B. for additional frontage build-out standards.

3. Lots located in the historic district, will be subject to the Historic Review Board approval process of 9.9.2 D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. PRIMARY BUILDING PLACEMENT</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Front Setback; for infill lots also see Section 2.5.2</td>
<td>20 ft min</td>
<td>15 ft min</td>
<td>Average Prevailing Setback on Block</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No max</td>
<td>30 ft max(^3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 ft max</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 ft max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Side Setback—Corner/Alley</td>
<td>15 ft min</td>
<td>6 ft min</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No max</td>
<td>No max</td>
<td>No max</td>
<td>10 ft max</td>
<td>15 ft max</td>
<td>15 ft max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Side Setback—Interior</td>
<td>10 ft min</td>
<td>6 ft min</td>
<td>6 ft min, 10 ft min in The Point</td>
<td>5 ft min, or 0 ft if attached</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rear Setback(^4)</td>
<td>15 ft min</td>
<td>15 ft min</td>
<td>15 ft min</td>
<td>10 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rear Setback from Alley(^4)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Attached Garage/Carport</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
<td>Attached garages shall only be accessed via an alley; garage doors shall not face the street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Supp. No. 1)
### C. ACCESSORY BUILDING PLACEMENT—See Section 3.11 for additional requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Front Setback</th>
<th>Accessory structures shall be located behind the front facade of the primary structure, except as provided for in Section 2.5.4 (Waterfront Lots) and Section 4.5.3 (Carriage House); see item 6 below for setback for detached garage doors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Side Setback—Corner/Alley</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Side Setback—Interior</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rear Setback</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rear Setback from Alley</td>
<td>3 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Detached Garage Door/Carport Setback (from front facade)</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 When lot width is 75 ft or greater, there is no maximum front setback.

4 Garage doors shall be 15 ft min from alley centerline.

5 In addition to the setback requirements listed above, garage doors/carports which face a public right-of-way, except for rear alleys, shall be set back a minimum of 20 ft from that right-of-way.

6 The Battery Shores and Islands of Beaufort neighborhoods are exempt from this standard when garage doors do not face a public right-of-way. In the Jericho Woods neighborhood, carports are exempt from this standard.

7 Also see Section 2.5.7 (Street Access Standards).

### D. BUILDING FORM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Primary Building Height—See Section 2.6</th>
<th>No min</th>
<th>No min</th>
<th>No min</th>
<th>2 stories min&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>2 stories min</th>
<th>2 stories min&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5 stories max</td>
<td>2.5 stories max</td>
<td>3 stories max</td>
<td>4 stories max; 3.5 stories max in &amp; fronting Historic District &amp; interior lots along Allison Rd.</td>
<td>3 stories max at property line, see 2.6.1.G</td>
<td>5 stories max; 3.5 stories max in &amp; fronting Historic District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Accessory Building Height | 2 stories or 30 ft max | 2 stories or 30 ft max | 2 stories or 30 ft max | 2 stories or 30 ft max | 2 stories max |

(Supp. No. 1)
3. Building Width at Frontage | n/a | n/a | n/a | 100 ft max | 100 ft max\(^9\) | 160 ft max\(^9\)

---

\(^8\) Two stories are only required at significant intersections, in accordance with Section 2.6.3 and the Street Hierarchy Diagram in Appendix C.3.

\(^9\) Buildings exceeding this maximum shall comply with the Large Footprint Building standards in Section 4.5.10.

E. PARKING PAD LOCATION—There are no interior side setbacks for parking unless buffers are required per Section 5.5. See Section 2.5.8 for additional provisions.

| 1. Front Setback | There are no parking setbacks, however, driveways shall be located to the side of the lot/primary structure except on waterfront lots meeting the conditions stated in 2.5.4. | 40 ft min | 40 ft min | 40 ft min | 40 ft min |
| 2. Side Setback—Corner | 5 ft min | 15 ft min | 5 ft min | 5 ft min |
| 3. Rear Setback | 5 ft min | 5 ft min | 0 ft min | 0 ft min |

LOT CONFIGURATION (2.4.1.A)
2.6.2 BUILDING HEIGHT ABOVE GRADE

A. General to All Zones:

1. Freeboard: In addition to the standards listed below, where a parcel is located in a flood-hazard zone, the minimum elevation above grade is 1 foot above base flood elevation. In special flood hazard areas (zones A, AE, AH, AO, A1-30, V and VE) and other areas with the potential of flooding (such as X and shaded X zones) where base flood elevation data has been provided by FEMA Flood Insurance Maps, the following provisions are required: New Construction, substantial improvement, or an addition, including an addition to a historic structure, the footprint of which is over thirty-three (33%) percent of the footprint of existing structure, shall have the lowest floor elevated to the most restrictive of the design elevation of 13 feet or the base flood elevation plus one foot of freeboard. No basements are permitted. Should solid foundation perimeter walls be used to elevate a structure, openings sufficient to facilitate the unimpeded movements of floodwaters shall be provided in accordance with national flood insurance program technical bulletin #1.

2. Exception: Commercial buildings that have been floodproofed, per ASCE Section 24 or most recent version, do not have to be elevated above grade.

B. Specific to T3 Zones:

1. If the finished floor of new single-family residential construction will be lower than the average grade along any property line, the finished floor must be elevated a minimum of 18" above finished grade adjacent to the building exterior.

2. The finished ground floor elevation for 2- and 3-unit buildings shall be elevated a minimum of 2 feet above the average adjacent sidewalk, or adjacent street grade where no sidewalk is present.

3. If a single-family residence in a T3 zone is developed as part of an Alternative Development Pattern (Section 2.8), it shall be elevated a minimum of 18" above the average adjacent sidewalk grade or adjacent street grade where no sidewalk is present.

C. Specific to T4 Zones:

1. The finished ground floor height for residential structures shall be elevated a minimum of 2 feet above the average adjacent sidewalk grade or adjacent street grade where no sidewalk is present. Apartment Houses are permitted to be a minimum of 18" above grade, per Section 4.5.7.

2. The ground floor height of single-family residential structures shall be a minimum of 9 feet from finished floor to ceiling.

3. The ground floor height of multi-family residential structures shall be a minimum of 10 feet from finished floor to ceiling.

4. The ground floor height of commercial buildings shall be a minimum of 11 feet from finished floor to ceiling.

5. Each full story above the ground floor shall be a minimum of 8 feet from floor to ceiling.

D. Specific to T5 Zones:

1. The finished ground floor height for residential structures shall be elevated a minimum of 3 feet above the average adjacent sidewalk grade. Apartment Houses are permitted to be a minimum of 18" above grade, per Section 4.5.7.
2. The ground floor height of residential structures shall be a minimum of 10 feet from finished floor to ceiling.

3. The ground floor height of single-story commercial buildings shall be a minimum of 14 feet from finished floor to ceiling.

4. The ground floor height of multi-story commercial buildings shall be a minimum of 12 feet from finished floor to ceiling.

5. In TS-DC, the Historic Review Board may permit deviations from the minimum height requirements listed above if doing so would allow a structure to be more compatible with the surrounding context.

6. Each full story above the ground floor shall be a minimum of 8 feet from floor to ceiling.
2.6.5 Height Transition: Any portion of a building located within the T-4, T-4N, T5-UC, or RMX District, shall have a maximum height no greater than the maximum height allowed in the adjacent zoning district for a 75 foot distance beginning at the zone district boundary line. Where a street separates the zoning districts, the 75 foot distance measurement shall be from the street right-of-way line opposite the T-4, T-4N, T5-UC, or RMX District.
2.7.1 BEAUFORT HISTORIC DISTRICT (HD) OVERLAY

A. **Purpose:** The purpose of the Beaufort Historic District is to promote the educational, cultural, and general welfare of the public through the preservation, protection, and enhancement of the old, historic or architecturally significant structures and areas of the City and to maintain such structures and areas as visible reminders of the history and cultural heritage of the City, the state, and the nation. The Historic District is a pedestrian-oriented area.

B. **Applicability:** Properties within the Beaufort Historic District are subject to specific standards found in this section, and to the review procedures of the Historic District Review Board as described in Section 10.7.

C. **Beaufort Historic District Designated:** For the purpose of this section, the Beaufort Historic District is hereby established. The boundaries of this district shall be designated on the official Zoning Map of the City of Beaufort. The boundaries of the Beaufort Historic District and the Beaufort National Historic Landmark District are the same with the following clarifications/exceptions:

1. Where boundaries are designated at specific roads, the centerlines of the rights-of-way of those roads shall be deemed said boundaries.

2. The east and south boundaries of the district are established at the Beaufort River. These boundaries are established at the parcel lines, seawalls, or at mean high water mark, whichever extends further from the high ground.

3. Structures attached to the high ground are deemed to lie within the district and shall be reviewed in entirety in accordance with the provisions of this section. **High Ground (Historic District)** - any parcel that is at or above the mean high-water mark within or directly adjacent or attached to the boundaries of the Historic District. An example of a structure attached to the High Ground but not within the boundaries of the district would be the Beaufort marina adjacent to Historic Downtown Beaufort.

D. **Subdistricts Established:** The Beaufort Historic District shall be composed of 2 subdistricts identified as the Beaufort Preservation Neighborhood (BPN) and the Beaufort Conservation Neighborhood (BCN). There may be established one or more of each subdistrict within the Beaufort Historic District, provided that all such districts shall consist of at least five acres of contiguous land. The boundaries of these subdistricts shall be designated on the official Zoning Map of the City of Beaufort. Where the term Beaufort Historic District (or a similar reference, such as "historic district") is used in this section or in any supplementary materials, it shall apply to both subdistricts. However, where there is a specific reference to a subdistrict, that specific reference shall apply and supersedes any reference to the Beaufort Historic District.

E. **Trash and Recycling Screening:** All private trash and recycling receptacles shall be hidden or screened from view. Unscreened facilities shall come into compliance with the provisions of this section within 18 months of adoption of this Code.

F. **Exemptions:**

1. The Bladen Street Redevelopment District overlay zone is exempted from Historic District Overlay standards (See Section 2.7.3 F.).

2. Routine maintenance and repair of any of the existing features of a structure that does not involve a change in design, type of materials, or outward appearance shall be exempt from the review and approval requirements of this section.
### 3.2: TABLE OF PERMITTED USES

Land uses in transect-based and conventional districts shall be permitted in accordance with the table below. Special provisions related to uses in the AICUZ Overlay District are in Section 2.7.4. The zoning designation of water is the same as the land it is adjacent to.

- **P**: Permitted Use
- **C**: Conditional Use
- **SE**: Special Exception
- **E**: In Existing Building/Structure Only

- **RF**: Retail Frontage Only
- **A-T4**: Neighborhood Artisan subdistrict Only

---

#### RESIDENTIAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>T3-N</th>
<th>T4-HN</th>
<th>T4-N</th>
<th>T5-AS</th>
<th>T5-DC</th>
<th>T5-UC</th>
<th>BMX</th>
<th>LI</th>
<th>IC</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>M18P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Household Living**
  - Single-Family Dwelling
  - 2- or 3-Unit Dwelling
  - Rowhome
  - Apartment House (a.k.a. Multifamily Dwelling - 4+ units)
  - Home Occupation - Minor
  - Home Occupation - Major
  - Live-Abroad Boat
  - Live/Work Unit
  - Manufactured Home

- **Group Living**
  - Group Dwelling (5-8 residents)
  - Group Dwelling (> 8 residents)

- **PUBLIC AND CIVIC**

- **Civic/Government Facilities**
  - **Educational Facilities**
    - College/University/Trade/Vocational
    - School, Public or Private
  - Parks and Open Space
  - Cemetery

---

Beaufort, South Carolina, The Beaufort Development Code (Supp. No. 1)
### 3.2: TABLE OF PERMITTED USES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park/Open Space</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>7.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INSTITUTIONAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Service</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Care Facility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Day Care Home (≤ 6 clients)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Day Care Home (7-12 clients) &amp; Commercial Day Care Center (&gt;12 clients)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Facility</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Facilities</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious Institution</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMERCIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Entertainment</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Entertainment</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexually-Oriented Business</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight Guest Accommodation</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed and Breakfast</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-Term Rental</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inn/Motel/Hotel</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational Vehicle Park</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; Restaurants</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEHICLE-AND BOAT-RELATED USES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle and Boat Sales and Rental</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive-Thru Facility</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Sales/Car Wash</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Service and Repair</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking, Commercial, Surface</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking, Structure</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>RF</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Terminals</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water/Marine-Oriented Facilities</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDUSTRIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviation Services</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Industrial Services</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing and Production Services</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck Terminal</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNICATION &amp; INFRASTRUCTURE USES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Infrastructure/Utilities</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.2.1 OVERVIEW OF USE CATEGORIES

**A. Definition of Use Category:** See Section 3.1 (Definitions of Specialized Terms).

**B. Basis for Classification:** Use categories classify land uses and activities into categories based on common functional, product, or physical characteristics. Characteristics include the type and amount of activity, the type of customers or residents, how goods or services are sold or delivered and site conditions. The use categories provide a systematic basis for assigning present and future land uses into appropriate districts.

**C. Principal Uses:** Principal uses are assigned to the category that most closely describes the nature of the principal use. The "Characteristics" subsection of each use category describes the common characteristics of each principal use.

1. **Developments with Multiple Principal Uses:** When all principal uses of a development fall within one use category, the entire development is assigned to that use category. When the principal uses of a development fall within different use categories, each principal use is classified in the applicable category and each use is subject to all applicable regulations for that category.

**D. Accessory Uses:** Accessory uses are allowed by-right in conjunction with a principal use, unless otherwise stated in this Code. Also, unless otherwise stated, accessory uses are subject to the same regulations as the principal use. Common accessory uses are listed as examples in the use category descriptions. See Section 3.12 for additional standards for accessory uses and structures.

**E. Use of Examples:** The "Examples" subsection of each use category lists common examples of uses included in the respective use category. The names of these sample uses are generic. They are based on common meanings and not on what a specific use may call itself. For example, a use that calls itself a "wholesale warehouse," but sells mostly to consumers, is included in the "Retail Sales and Service" category rather than the "Wholesale Sales" category. This is because the actual activity on the site matches the description of the "Retail Sales and Service" category.

**F. Similar Use Interpretation Criteria:** The following considerations shall be used in making similar use interpretations:

1. The actual or projected characteristics of the activity in relationship to the stated characteristics of each use category.
2. The relative amount of site area or floor space and equipment devoted to the activity.
3. Relative amounts of sales from each activity.
4. The customer type for each activity.
5. The relative number of employees in each activity.
6. Hours of operation.

---

**Table: Table of Permitted Uses**

| Minor Infrastructure/Utilities | E | C | C | C | C | C | C | P | C | — | 3.9.2.B |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|         |
| Waste Related Services       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | SE | — | 3.9.2.C |
| Wireless Communications Facility | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | C | — | — | 3.9.2.D |
| FORESTRY, AGRICULTURE, HORTICULTURE | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | C | — | 3.10     |
7. Building and site arrangement.
8. Vehicles used with the activity.
9. The relative number of vehicle trips generated by the use.
3.6.2 ADDITIONAL STANDARDS FOR COMMERCIAL USES

The following additional standards apply to the approval and development of projects where the use is listed in the "Table of Permitted Uses" in Section 3.2 as Conditional (C), Special Exception (SE), or T4-Neighborhood Artisan (A). In addition, any Building Design standards, as set forth in Article 4, apply as applicable.

A. Entertainment Uses (Indoor and Outdoor):
   1. Specific to T3: Indoor and outdoor entertainment are permitted if the property is owned by a neighborhood association or property owners' association, and if the use is owned and managed by that association.
   2. Specific to T4-N: Indoor Entertainment shall be limited to 2,500 SF in a standalone building, unless Indoor Entertainment is a permitted use, it is part of when located in a mixed-use building or mixed use development.
   3. Specific to T4-NA: Indoor Entertainment, Community Service and Office uses are permitted uses.
   4. Specific to T4-N and T5-UC: Outdoor Entertainment is permitted on parcels 7 acres or larger.

B. Sexually-Oriented Businesses: See Section 13.2.1.

C. Overnight Guest Accommodation:
   1. Bed and Breakfasts (B&B):
      a. Number of Rental Rooms: 10 maximum, not including the caretaker's quarters.
      b. Signs: Total sign area for all signs advertising the B&B shall not exceed 5 square feet. All signs shall be constructed of wood or other durable non-plastic materials.
      c. Parking: One space per room, plus 1 space for the resident manager shall be provided on-site. Formalized on-street parking spaces meeting the requirements of Section 5.7.4 B. may count towards this requirement.
      d. Spacing: There shall be no other B&B in a T3 or T4-HN zoning district located within 500 feet of a proposed B&B in either of these districts. Distances shall be measured from the property line.
      e. Meals: No meals will be served to anyone other than registered guests, except as provided for in Section 3.6.2 C.1.f. below. No variances from this condition shall be permitted.
      f. Events: Business meetings, receptions, teas, and other events are permitted, provided that the events are hosted for registered guests. This shall apply to all B&Bs established under the Beaufort Code.
      g. Operation: B&Bs shall be operated by a resident manager living on the premises.
   2. Short Term Rental:
      a. Specific to T3-S, T3-N, and T4-HN: Short term rentals, where the owner does not live on the premises, are limited to 6% of the lots in the neighborhood as shown on the City of Beaufort Neighborhoods Map zoned T3-S, T3-N, and/or T4-HN with the following exceptions:
         i. Structures on the City’s List of Vacant and Abandoned Structures being rehabilitated for use as a short term rental, and
         ii. Short term rentals are prohibited in The Point neighborhood, as shown on the City of Beaufort Neighborhoods Map.
b. Minimum Stay: 2 nights.

c. Permitted Rental Types:
   i. Rental of the primary dwelling.
   ii. Rental of an accessory dwelling.
   iii. Rental of a portion of a primary dwelling.
   iv. Rental of a boat in an approved marina.

d. Parking: Parking shall be provided on-site and located to the side or rear of the dwelling. On-site parking shall be clearly delineated with an improved surface such as pavement, gravel, or another method approved by the administrator. If formalized parking is provided on the street(s) adjacent to the primary or accessory unit, this may be utilized in lieu of on-site parking.

e. Rental Agreement: The applicant shall provide a copy of the rental agreement that will be used. The rental agreement shall specify the following:
   i. The minimum stay.
   ii. The maximum number of guests—which shall be based on the number of beds in the unit. For Primary house rentals, the number of adult guests is limited to 2 per bedroom. For Carriage House rentals, the total number of adult guests is limited to 4.
   iii. The maximum number of vehicles permitted at the unit—which shall be based on the number of bedrooms and the design of the driveway. For Primary house rentals, the number of vehicles is limited to 1 per bedroom. For Carriage House rentals, the total number of adult guests is limited to 2.
   iv. Where guests are to park. Where no formalized on-street parking is available, the agreement shall specify that guests are to park on-site and not in the street.
   v. That the City’s noise ordinance applies between 9:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m.
   vi. Prohibit large gatherings such as weddings and reunions unless specifically approved by the City.
   vii. Pets, if permitted, are not to be left outside unattended.

f. Property Management Plan: A property management plan shall be developed and approved by the administrator. The property management plan shall identify a property manager. Where the property owner does not live on the premises, the property manager must be available to appear on the premises to respond a complaint within three hours of being notified by the administrator. Where the property owner lives on the premises, a back-up property manager must be identified unless the owner certifies the unit will not be rented when the owner is out of town. The administrator shall be notified when management of the unit changes. Failure to comply with the approved property management plan shall result in the revocation of the zoning permit (Section 9.4).

g. Signs: No on-site signs shall be permitted.

h. Rental Rules: Rental rules, including use of the sanitation and recycling roll-carts, and emergency contact information including the police non-emergency number, shall be posted in a conspicuous location in the unit.
i. **Monitored Fire Alarm:** A monitored fire alarm is required for all units except boats. Boats are required to provide documentation that a Coast Guard Auxiliary Safety Vessel Check has been performed is required. The Vessel Safety Check can be arranged through this link: http://www.cgaux.org/vsc. Existing facilities not meeting this requirement shall be brought into conformance within 6 months of the date of adoption of this Code.

j. **Outside Approvals Required:** For properties located in a neighborhood with a property owners' association, written confirmation from the association president that short-term rentals are permitted in the neighborhood is required. In multifamily structures, written approval from the property management association is required. For boats in an approved marina, written permission from the marina manager is required.

k. **Safety Inspection and Licensing:** A Safety Inspection shall be conducted before the Business License for the facility is issued. The facility shall comply with all business license, revenue collection, and health laws of the City of Beaufort, Beaufort County and the State of South Carolina.

l. **Unlicensed Units:** For units that are found to be operating without approval of the City, the short term rental application fee shall be $1,000. If the property owner chooses not to submit a short term rental application within 60 days of being notified by the City of being in violation of the ordinance, a short term rental application shall not be approved for a period of 2 years.

M. **Manufactured Homes/Mobile Homes:** No manufactured home, or mobile home, shall be eligible to conduct short term rentals.

3. **Inn/Hotel/Motel:**
   a. **Specific to T4-N:**
      i. Inns up to 10 rooms are permitted.
      ii. Inns with 11—24 rooms are permitted in retail frontage overlay areas.
   b. **Specific to T4-NA:** This use is prohibited.

D. **Retail and Restaurants:**
   1. **Specific to T4-N only:** Except for Animal Hospitals/Kennels, Restaurants and Retail are permitted only in Retail Frontage Overlay Districts, and in the T4-NA district per the conditions below. Animal Hospitals/Kennels shall follow the Conditions for T5-UC.
   2. **Specific to T4-NA:** The only types of retail/service uses are permitted are in the Artisan-Oriented and Trail-Related uses district. The following conditions apply:
      a. Freestanding signs are limited to one per lot, with a maximum size of 5 square feet.
      b. No outside amplified music is permitted.
      c. No alcohol sales are permitted.
      d. New construction and exterior changes to existing structures to accommodate such uses shall be subject to Article 4 (Building Design and Infill Standards) of this Code.
      e. **Specific to trail-related uses:**
         i. The floor area of each tenant space is limited to 2,500 square feet.
         ii. On-site parking shall be provided at the rate of 1 space per 300 square feet.
iii. The hours of operation shall be limited to between 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. EST, 7:00 p.m. EDT.

ivii. Any use that includes outdoor seating shall have a trash container available for disposal of goods consumed on the premises.

3. **Specific to TS-UC:** Animal Hospitals/Kennels are permitted with the following Conditions:
   a. **Outdoor Activity:** Outdoor activity is permitted during daylight hours; animals must be boarded indoors at night.
   b. **Permitted Accessory Uses:** Boarding, retail sales, and grooming services are permitted.
   c. All kennels, and/or outdoor areas for animals, must be located to the rear of the building, and must be screened from the view of all public rights away.

4. **Specific to TS-DC:** Animal Hospitals/Kennels are not permitted.

5. **Specific to IC:** General retail/service uses are not permitted along Highway 170 west of W.K. Alston Drive.

6. **Specific to T4-HN:** General Retail and service uses shall be approved as a special exception with the following conditions:
   a. Cafes/restaurants shall be under 2,500 sq. ft. with no drive-thru or order window.
   b. Art Galleries, offices, and general retail shall be under 2,500 sq. ft.
   c. No on-site sign shall be larger than 5 sq. ft.


E. **RMX/TS-UC SPLIT ZONES:**

1. In properties split zoned RMX/TS-UC, one district and corresponding lot and design standards shall be utilized at beginning of the development process. This process shall be followed with commercial and mixed uses developments, with outlets. If urban blocks and loss/street sections, as found in Appendix C, exist adjacent to the RMX/TS-UC district being developed, TS-UC development standards shall be followed.

(Supp. No. 1)
### 3.11.2 USES CUSTOMARILY ACCESSORY TO RESIDENTIAL DWELLINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>#/LOT</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>ADDITIONAL STANDARDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. Accessory Dwelling Unit (syn. Carriage House) - detached</td>
<td>See Section 4.5.3 for complete standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Accessory Dwelling Unit - attached</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Min.: 240 sf Max.: 50% of the footprint of the primary unit, or 1,500 SF max., whichever is smaller</td>
<td>1. All standards from 4.5.3 apply, with the following addition: Any additional entrances will be located in the side or the rear of the primary structure. Additional external stairways or fire ladders are strongly discouraged but may be permitted at the discretion of the Fire Marshal when no practical alternative exists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Garage/Carport/Workshop</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Maximum: 50% of the footprint of the primary unit, or 1,500 SF max., whichever is smaller</td>
<td>1. Specific to T3-N, T4, T5, RMX and IC Districts: prefabricated/per-manufactured metal structures are not permitted when visible from a public right-of-way. 2. May be provided with electricity, sink and a commode but shall not be used as an ADU. 3. If a carport is used for storage, any side visible from an adjacent property or street right-of-way must be enclosed to screen the building contents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Shed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Max.: 320 SF</td>
<td>1. Specific to T3-N, T4, T5, RMX and IC Districts: prefabricated/pre-manufactured metal structures are not permitted when visible from a public right-of-way. 2. Shed may be provided with electricity, sink and a commode but shall not be used as an ADU. 3. Any shed that is 3’ or closer to a house shall meet the fire protection prescribed for Garages in the IRC. 3. Specific to T3-N, T4-N and T4-HN: Side and rear setbacks may be reduced to 2’ if the following conditions are met: a. Residential Sheds are &lt;200 SF and Commercial sheds are &lt;120SF. b. Shed does not contain plumbing or HVAC and is not used as a habitable space. c. Lot size is 6,000 SF or less.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Pool</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1. Barriers shall be required per Section 305 of the 2015 International Swimming Pool and Spa Code, or equivalent as updated. All pool permits shall include such barrier. Before the pool can be filled with water, barriers shall be installed, inspected and approved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Pool House</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Max.: 50% of the footprint of the primary unit, or 640 SF</td>
<td>1. Standards from 4.5.3 B.8 apply.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. Covered/Open-Air Structure (ex. Gazebos and Trellises)</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Max.: 320 SF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. May not be used for parking. If used for storage, any side visible from an adjacent property or street right-of-way must be enclosed to screen the building contents.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>J. Greenhouse</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Max.: 320 SF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. See 8.5.4 for additional standards on food production.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>K. Outdoor Living and Recreation</strong></td>
<td>Playhouses, picnic tables, dog houses, chicken coops, flagpoles and furniture designed specifically for outdoor use are permitted, and do not require a Project Permit, if they are not permanently affixed to the ground (e.g., on a slab or pier foundations). If a structure under roof (e.g., playhouse, doghouse, chicken coop) is permanently affixed to the ground, it is considered a shed and shall comply with the standards in paragraph C of this section.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 The number and size of the units shall not cause the property to exceed the maximum lot coverage by roofs in 2.4.1.A.3 or total impervious coverage found in 2.4.2.B.3. The total number of Accessory Structures per lot is limited to 4.

2 Location for all Accessory Types shall meet the Accessory Building Placement standards in 2.4.1.C, unless specifically noted in this section.

3 A maximum of 2 garage/carpot/workshops are permitted, regardless of whether they are attached or detached, or contain an Accessory Dwelling Unit; however only one of each type is permitted per lot. *Example: A lot may have one attached garage and one detached garage but cannot have two detached garages.*

4 Items B-I may not be built before the Primary Structure on a lot.
3.12.2 PERMITTED TEMPORARY USE

A. Carnival, circus or fair, for a period not to exceed 21 days, in the LI District.

B. Open lot sale of seasonal produce and plant products including Christmas trees, in the T4-N, T5-UC, and RMX Districts for a period not to exceed 45 days.

C. Temporary real estate sales offices (e.g., mobile trailer), in conjunction with an approved subdivision or development project, in any district, for a period not to exceed 1 year, provided no cooking or sleeping accommodations are maintained in the structure. These may be renewed, upon written request, for periods of 6 months at a time if the subdivision has an active Project Permit.

D. For new construction, a contractor's office and equipment sheds, including steel cargo storage containers, in any district districts, for a period of 6 months, provided a Project Permit has been issued for construction on the site, and such facilities are placed on the property to which it is appurtenant...

E. Temporary classroom facilities shall not be located at any school, religious institution, or other similar use, unless a plan for improvements designed to eliminate the temporary classrooms at a time certain in the future — within 24 months — has been approved by the administrator. Such plan shall include a review of the placement of the temporary classrooms, and may require screening and buffering in order to comply with this Code. Extensions of up to 1-year may be permitted by the administrator, upon written request, if the units have not proven to be a nuisance and the plan for elimination is still active.

F. Food Trucks/Concession Stands: See Part 7 Chapter 16 of the City of Beaufort Code of Ordinances, or updated section as applicable, for regulations.

G. Farmers Markets: Farmers markets shall comply with the following standards:
   1. Farmers Markets are permitted in T4-N, T5-UC, RMX, IC, and LI Districts.
   2. An on-site manager is required.
   3. A management plan is required, including the following:
      a. The regular days and hours of operation on a weekly or monthly basis.
      b. Parking locations for vendors and customers.
      c. Setup areas for vendors.
      d. Signage - On-site temporary signage is permitted on the day of the market. This includes, but is not limited to, sandwich board signs, easels, and banners meeting the requirements in Article 6.
      e. Location of temporary restrooms, trash/recycling containers, electricity sources.
      f. Strategy for removal or storage of trash/recycling, tents, kiosks, vans, trailers or other market equipment when the market is not open.
      g. Rules and regulations for the market.
   4. Types of Products:
      a. At least 60% of the vendors shall sell "Farm Products," the majority of which shall be sold direct to consumer. Farm Products are defined as fruits, vegetables, mushrooms, herbs, nuts, eggs, honey or other bee products, flowers, plants, meat, milk, cheese and other dairy products, fish, and value-added products containing the above-mentioned items.
Brokers - participants who have bought any farm products from a grower and do not grow anything themselves - are not permitted.

b. Up to 40% of vendors may sell prepared foods. The majority of their sales shall be direct to consumer.

H. Portable steel-metal storage containers are permitted in any district for purposes of loading or unloading, for a period not to exceed 14 days.

I. Cargo or freight storage containers, or modified versions thereof, are permitted to be used as temporary storage facilities in the LI and RMX Districts for up to 3 consecutive months in any 12-month period, on the condition that the containers are not visible from the street.
4.5.3 CARRIAGE HOUSE

A. **Description:** This is an Accessory Structure that provides small, flexible living spaces adjacent to a main house (a.k.a. Accessory Dwelling Unit [ADU], Granny Flat). It is often used for rental housing, and may be free standing, or located above a garage or parking area.

B. **Special Requirements:**

1. **Infrastructure:** The lot shall be served with public water and sewer.
2. **Number allowed:** 2 per lot, except in T3-S where 1 per lot is permitted.
3. **Placement on the Lot:** The carriage house shall be located to the rear of the primary structure, or to the side as a secondary option, with the following exceptions:
   a. Units may be placed at the front of a lot where the front of the primary structure is not the street, and the structure has clearly been designed to take advantage of unique site amenities, such as location on the water.
   b. Units may be placed in the front of the lot where the prevailing character of the neighborhood has other similarly-placed units.
4. **Frontage Type:** No frontage type is prescribed unless the building is close to the street; in which case, appropriate frontage types are: porch, stoop.
5. **Maximum Number of Bedrooms:** 2.
6. **Minimum Size:** 240 square feet in total area.

6/7. **Maximum Size:** The footprint shall not exceed 50% of the footprint of the primary building, or 1,500 square feet, whichever is smaller. Conversions of existing accessory structures that exceed this maximum may be permitted if the administrator determines that there is no adverse impact on surrounding property.

8/7. **Compatibility with Primary Structure:** Architectural details, including color, siding, roof pitch, window detailing, roofing materials, height, and foundation, shall be compatible with the primary dwelling unit.

9. **Parking:** 1 parking space per Carriage House is required, and shall be clearly defined. See Section 7.3 for additional parking standards.
10. **Timing:** The carriage house(s) shall be permitted to be built prior to the primary structure on the lot if the following requirements are met:

   a. A sketch plan showing the potential build-out, including parking, of the site is required;

   b. The size(s) must be appropriate to permit a primary structure without exceeding the maximum lot coverage; and

   c. Materials of the future primary structure must coordinate with the carriage house.
4.5.5 2-3 UNIT HOUSE

**A. Description:** This house-form seamlessly fits into a predominantly single-family neighborhood, but contains multiple dwelling units. The units may be side-by-side, or stacked. They typically have separate entrances off of the street, but may share a common entrance. They are located under one roof and do not have parapets dividing the units.

**B. Special Requirements:**

1. **Frontage Types:** Common Yard, Porch, Stoop.

2. **Parking:** Parking must be well defined, located behind the building, and accessed off a side street or rear alley. On-site parking for all vehicles, including boats, must not be in front of the building. No variances to this provision are permitted.

3. **Location, Specific to T3-N:** A 2-3 unit building is permitted in the following areas:
   a. On a corner lot; or
   b. Where rear alley access is provided; however, no more than 2 per block are permitted.
4.5.6 ROWHOME

A. **Description:** This is an attached residential building type that is part of a series of other rowhomes with more than three units in a row. Three or fewer is considered a 2—3 unit building. Rowhomes may have parapet walls dividing the units, or be combined under one roof form. They are elevated above the street a minimum of 3 feet for privacy, and are typically accessed by stoops. They may also utilize the forecourt and porch frontage types.

B. **Special Requirements:**
   1. **Frontage Types:** Porch, stoop, forecourt.
   2. **Parking:** Parking must be well defined, located behind the building, and accessed off a side street or rear alley. On-site parking for all vehicles, including boats, must not be in front of the building.
   3. **Specific to T4:**
      a. Rowhomes are not permitted in the Historic District, except in the Bladen Street Redevelopment District.
      b. **Rowhomes are not permitted in T4-NA:**
4.5.8 LIVEWORK/MIXED-USE

A. **Description**: This is a building that contains commercial space, typically at grade, with office or residential living, typically located on the upper level(s). They are typically attached, but may be freestanding structures. The ground floor has a substantial amount of glazing, and often utilizes the shopfront frontage type.

B. **Special Requirements**:

1. **Frontage Types**: Forecourt, balcony, Shopfront/Awning, Gallery/Colonnade, Arcade.

2. **Parking**: Parking must be located behind the building, and accessed off a rear alley.

3. **Specific to T4-N and T4-NA**: When no retail-frontage overlay exists, the ground-floor is limited to office, artisan and trail-related uses only.

4. **Specific to LI**: Drive-thru facilities are prohibited.
DEVELOPMENT CODE UPDATES ZONING CLEAN VERSION
### 2.4.1 TRANSECT-BASED DISTRICT STANDARDS

#### A. LOT CONFIGURATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>T3-S</th>
<th>T3-N</th>
<th>T4-HN</th>
<th>T4-N</th>
<th>T5-DC</th>
<th>T5-UC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Lot Width at Front Setback</td>
<td>60 ft min; for waterfront lots see Section 2.5.4</td>
<td>40 ft min; 60 ft min in the Hundred Pines neighborhood</td>
<td>40 ft min, 60 ft min in The Point</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Lot Size</td>
<td>6,000 sf min; for waterfront lots see Section 2.5.4</td>
<td>4,000 sf min; 3,000 sf min for alley-served lots</td>
<td>4,000 sf min; 6,000 sf min in The Point</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Maximum Lot Coverage&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>45% of lot area</td>
<td>45% of lot area</td>
<td>55% of lot area</td>
<td>70% of lot area</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Frontage Build-Out&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>75% max</td>
<td>60% min; 85% max</td>
<td>75% min</td>
<td>60% min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> This percentage indicates maximum lot coverage by roofs; total impervious coverage, excepting pools, may be an additional 10%. Parcels may also be subject to Section 8.3 (Stormwater).

<sup>2</sup> See Section 2.5.1 B. for additional frontage build-out standards.

3. Lots located in the historic district, will be subject to the Historic Review Board approval process of 9.9.2 D.

#### B. PRIMARY BUILDING PLACEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T3-S</th>
<th>T3-N</th>
<th>T4-HN</th>
<th>T4-N</th>
<th>T5-DC</th>
<th>T5-UC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Front Setback; for infill lots also see Section 2.5.2</td>
<td>20 ft min</td>
<td>15 ft min</td>
<td>Average Prevailing Setback on Block</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No max</td>
<td>30 ft max&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15 ft max</td>
<td>Max. Prevailing Setback on Block</td>
<td>15 ft max</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Side Setback—Corner/Alley</td>
<td>15 ft min</td>
<td>6 ft min</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No max</td>
<td>No max</td>
<td>No max</td>
<td>10 ft max</td>
<td>15 ft max</td>
<td>15 ft max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Side Setback—Interior</td>
<td>10 ft min</td>
<td>6 ft min</td>
<td>6 ft min, 10 ft min in The Point</td>
<td>5 ft min, or 0 ft if attached</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rear Setback&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15 ft min</td>
<td>15 ft min</td>
<td>15 ft min</td>
<td>10 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rear Setback from Alley&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Attached Garage/Carport</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
<td>Attached garages shall only be accessed via an alley; garage doors shall not face the street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>3</sup> This percentage indicates maximum lot coverage by roofs; total impervious coverage, excepting pools, may be an additional 10%. Parcels may also be subject to Section 8.3 (Stormwater).

<sup>4</sup> See Section 2.5.1 B. for additional frontage build-out standards.

<sup>5</sup> Lots located in the historic district, will be subject to the Historic Review Board approval process of 9.9.2 D.
### C. ACCESSORY BUILDING PLACEMENT—See Section 3.11 for additional requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setback (from front facade)</th>
<th>5, 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1. **Front Setback**
   - Accessory structures shall be located behind the front facade of the primary structure, except as provided for in Section 2.5.4 (Waterfront Lots) and Section 4.5.3 (Carriage House); see item 6 below for setback for detached garage doors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Side Setback—Corner/Alley</th>
<th>5 ft min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. Side Setback—Interior</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rear Setback</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rear Setback from Alley</td>
<td>3 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Detached Garage Door/Carport Setback (from front facade)</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 stories min&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>2 stories min&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 stories max</td>
<td>2 stories max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 stories max</td>
<td>3 stories max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 stories max; 3.5 stories max in &amp; fronting Historic District &amp; interior lots along Allison Rd.</td>
<td>3 stories max at property line, see 2.6.1.G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 stories max; 3.5 stories max in &amp; fronting Historic District</td>
<td>5 stories max</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. When lot width is 75 ft or greater, there is no maximum front setback.

4. Garage doors shall be 15 ft min from alley centerline.

5. In addition to the setback requirements listed above, garage doors/carports which face a public right-of-way, except for rear alleys, shall be set back a minimum of 20 ft from that right-of-way.

6. The Battery Shores and Islands of Beaufort neighborhoods are exempt from this standard when garage doors do not face a public right-of-way. In the Jericho Woods neighborhood, carports are exempt from this standard.

7. Also see Section 2.5.7(Street Access Standards).

### D. BUILDING FORM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Primary Building Height—See Section 2.6</th>
<th>No min</th>
<th>No min</th>
<th>No min</th>
<th>2 stories min&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>2 stories min&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5 stories max</td>
<td>2.5 stories max</td>
<td>3 stories max</td>
<td>4 stories max; 3.5 stories max in &amp; fronting Historic District &amp; interior lots along Allison Rd.</td>
<td>3 stories max at property line, see 2.6.1.G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 stories max; 3.5 stories max in &amp; fronting Historic District</td>
<td>5 stories max</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Accessory Building Height</th>
<th>2 stories or 30 ft max</th>
<th>2 stories or 30 ft max</th>
<th>2 stories or 30 ft max</th>
<th>2 stories or 30 ft max</th>
<th>2 stories max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(Supp. No. 1)
3. Building Width at Frontage  n/a  n/a  n/a  100 ft max  100 ft max  160 ft max

8 Two stories are only required at significant intersections, in accordance with Section 2.6.3 and the Street Hierarchy Diagram in Appendix C.3.
9 Buildings exceeding this maximum shall comply with the Large Footprint Building standards in Section 4.5.10.

E. PARKING PAD LOCATION—There are no interior side setbacks for parking unless buffers are required per Section 5.5. See Section 2.5.8 for additional provisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setback Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>40 ft min</th>
<th>40 ft min</th>
<th>40 ft min</th>
<th>40 ft min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Front Setback</td>
<td>There are no parking setbacks, however, driveways shall be located to the side of the lot/primary structure except on waterfront lots meeting the conditions stated in 2.5.4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Side Setback—Corner</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
<td>15 ft min</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Rear Setback</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOT CONFIGURATION (2.4.1.A)
BUILDING PLACEMENT (2.4.1.B—C)

BUILDING FORM (2.4.1.D)

PARKING LOCATION (2.4.1.E)
2.6.2 BUILDING HEIGHT ABOVE GRADE

A. General to All Zones:

1. Freeboard. In special flood hazard areas (zones A, AE, AH, AO, A1-30, V and VE) and other areas with the potential of flooding (such as x and shaded x zones) where base flood elevation data has been provided by FEMA Flood Insurance Maps, the following provisions are required: New Construction, substantial improvement, or an addition, including an addition to a historic structure, the footprint of which is over thirty-three (33) percent of the footprint of existing structure, shall have the lowest floor elevated to the most restrictive of the design elevation of 13 feet or the base flood elevation plus one foot of freeboard. No basements are permitted. Should solid foundation perimeter walls be used to elevate a structure, openings sufficient to facilitate the unimpeded movements of floodwaters shall be provided in accordance with national flood insurance program technical bulletin #1

2. Exception: Commercial buildings that have been floodproofed, per ASCE Section 24 or most recent version, do not have to be elevated above grade.

B. Specific to T3 Zones:

1. If the finished floor of new single-family residential construction will be lower than the average grade along any property line, the finished floor must be elevated a minimum of 18” above finished grade adjacent to the building exterior.

2. The finished ground floor elevation for 2- and 3-unit buildings shall be elevated a minimum of 2 feet above the average adjacent sidewalk, or adjacent street grade where no sidewalk is present.

3. If a single-family residence in a T3 zone is developed as part of an Alternative Development Pattern (Section 2.8), it shall be elevated a minimum of 18” above the average adjacent sidewalk grade or adjacent street grade where no sidewalk is present.

C. Specific to T4 Zones:

1. The finished ground floor height for residential structures shall be elevated a minimum of 2 feet above the average adjacent sidewalk grade or adjacent street grade where no sidewalk is present. Apartment Houses are permitted to be a minimum of 18” above grade, per Section 4.5.7.

2. The ground floor height of single-family residential structures shall be a minimum of 9 feet from finished floor to ceiling.

3. The ground floor height of multi-family residential structures shall be a minimum of 10 feet from finished floor to ceiling.

4. The ground floor height of commercial buildings shall be a minimum of 11 feet from finished floor to ceiling.

5. Each full story above the ground floor shall be a minimum of 8 feet from floor to ceiling.

D. Specific to T5 Zones:

1. The finished ground floor height for residential structures shall be elevated a minimum of 3 feet above the average adjacent sidewalk grade. Apartment Houses are permitted to be a minimum of 18” above grade, per Section 4.5.7.
2. The ground floor height of residential structures shall be a minimum of 10 feet from finished floor to ceiling.

3. The ground floor height of single-story commercial buildings shall be a minimum of 14 feet from finished floor to ceiling.

4. The ground floor height of multi-story commercial buildings shall be a minimum of 12 feet from finished floor to ceiling.

5. In T5-DC, the Historic Review Board may permit deviations from the minimum height requirements listed above if doing so would allow a structure to be more compatible with the surrounding context.

6. Each full story above the ground floor shall be a minimum of 8 feet from floor to ceiling.
2.6.5 Height Transition: Any portion of a building located within the T-4, T-4N, T5-UC, or RMX District, shall have a maximum height no greater than the maximum height allowed in the adjacent zoning district for a 75 foot distance beginning at the zone district boundary line. Where a street separates the zoning districts, the 75 foot distance measurement shall be from the street right-of-way line opposite the T-4, T-4N, T5-UC, or RMX District.
2.7.1 BEAUFORT HISTORIC DISTRICT (HD) OVERLAY

A. **Purpose:** The purpose of the Beaufort Historic District is to promote the educational, cultural, and general welfare of the public through the preservation, protection, and enhancement of the old, historic or architecturally significant structures and areas of the City and to maintain such structures and areas as visible reminders of the history and cultural heritage of the City, the state, and the nation. The Historic District is a pedestrian-oriented area.

B. **Applicability:** Properties within the Beaufort Historic District are subject to specific standards found in this section, and to the review procedures of the Historic District Review Board as described in Section 10.7.

C. **Beaufort Historic District Designated:** For the purpose of this section, the Beaufort Historic District is hereby established. The boundaries of this district shall be designated on the official Zoning Map of the City of Beaufort. The boundaries of the Beaufort Historic District and the Beaufort National Historic Landmark District are the same with the following clarifications/exceptions:

   1. Where boundaries are designated at specific roads, the centerlines of the rights-of-way of those roads shall be deemed said boundaries.

   2. The east and south boundaries of the district are established at the Beaufort River. These boundaries are established at the parcel lines, seawalls, or at mean high water mark, whichever extends further from the high ground.

   3. Structures attached to the high ground are deemed to lie within the district and shall be reviewed in entirety in accordance with the provisions of this section. **High Ground (Historic District) - any parcel that is at or above the mean high-water mark within or directly adjacent or attached to the boundaries of the Historic District.** An example of a structure attached to the High Ground but not within the boundaries of the district would be the Beaufort marina adjacent to Historic Downtown Beaufort.

D. **Subdistricts Established:** The Beaufort Historic District shall be composed of 2 subdistricts identified as the Beaufort Preservation Neighborhood (BPN) and the Beaufort Conservation Neighborhood (BCN). There may be established one or more of each subdistrict within the Beaufort Historic District, provided that all such districts shall consist of at least five acres of contiguous land. The boundaries of these subdistricts shall be designated on the official Zoning Map of the City of Beaufort. Where the term Beaufort Historic District (or a similar reference, such as "historic district") is used in this section or in any supplementary materials, it shall apply to both subdistricts. However, where there is a specific reference to a subdistrict, that specific reference shall apply and supersede any reference to the Beaufort Historic District.

E. **Trash and Recycling Screening:** All private trash and recycling receptacles shall be hidden or screened from view. Unscrened facilities shall come into compliance with the provisions of this section within 18 months of adoption of this Code.

F. **Exemptions:**

   1. The Bladen Street Redevelopment District overlay zone is exempted from Historic District Overlay standards (See Section 2.7.3 F.).

   2. Routine maintenance and repair of any of the existing features of a structure that does not involve a change in design, type of materials, or outward appearance shall be exempt from the review and approval requirements of this section.
3.2: TABLE OF PERMITTED USES

Land uses in transect-based and conventional districts shall be permitted in accordance with the table below. Special provisions related to uses in the AICUZ Overlay District are in Section 2.7.4. The zoning designation of water is the same as the land it is adjacent to.

P = Permitted Use  
C = Conditional Use  
SE = Special Exception  
E = in Existing Building/Facility Only  
RF = Retail Frontage Only  
A = T4-Neighborhood Artisan subdistrict Only  
— = Prohibited use

### RESIDENTIAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T3-S</th>
<th>T3-N</th>
<th>T4-HN</th>
<th>T4-N</th>
<th>T4-NA</th>
<th>T5-DC</th>
<th>T5-UC</th>
<th>RMX</th>
<th>LI</th>
<th>IC</th>
<th>MHP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-Family Dwelling</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2- or 3-Unit Dwelling</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowhome</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartment House (a.k.a. Multifamily Dwelling - 4+ units)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Occupation - Minor</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Occupation - Major</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live-Aboard Boat</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.3.2.D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live/Work Unit</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufactured Home</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.3.2.G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PUBLIC AND CIVIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Civic/Government Facilities</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>—</th>
<th>3.4.2.A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Educational Facilities</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.4.2.B.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School, Public or Private</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.4.2.B.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parks and Open Space</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cemetery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.2: TABLE OF PERMITTED USES

#### 3.2.1: LAND USE PROVISIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>7.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INSTITUTIONAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Service</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day Care Facility</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Day Care Home (≤ 6 clients)</td>
<td>See Home Occupation — Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Day Care Home (7-12 clients) &amp; Commercial Day Care Center (&gt;12 clients)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>3.5.2.A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Facility</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Facilities</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious Institution</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.5.2.B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMERCIAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment</td>
<td>Indoor Entertainment</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>3.6.2.A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Entertainment</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.6.2.A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexually-Oriented Business</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.6.2.A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overnight Guest Accommodation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed and Breakfast</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.6.2.C.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-Term Rental</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.6.2.C.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inn/Motel/Hotel</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.6.2.C.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational Vehicle Park</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail &amp; Restaurants</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.6.2.D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VEHICLE- AND BOAT-RELATED USES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle and Boat Sales and Rental</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.7.2.A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive-Thru Facility</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.7.2.B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Sales/Car Wash</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.7.2.C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Service and Repair</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.7.2.D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking, Commercial, Surface</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.7.2.F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking, Structure</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.7.2.G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Terminals</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.7.2.H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water/Marine-Oriented Facilities</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.7.2.I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INDUSTRIAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviation Services</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.8.2.A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Industrial Services</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>A-</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.8.2.B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing and Production Services</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.8.2.C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck Terminal</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.8.2.D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMUNICATION &amp; INFRASTRUCTURE USES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Infrastructure/Utilities</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.9.2.A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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3.2 OVERVIEW OF USE CATEGORIES

A. **Definition of Use Category:** See Section 13.1 (Definitions of Specialized Terms).

B. **Basis for Classification:** Use categories classify land uses and activities into categories based on common functional, product, or physical characteristics. Characteristics include the type and amount of activity, the type of customers or residents, how goods or services are sold or delivered and site conditions. The use categories provide a systematic basis for assigning present and future land uses into appropriate Districts.

C. **Principal Uses:** Principal uses are assigned to the category that most closely describes the nature of the principal use. The "Characteristics" subsection of each use category describes the common characteristics of each principal use.

1. **Developments with Multiple Principal Uses:** When all principal uses of a development fall within one use category, the entire development is assigned to that use category. When the principal uses of a development fall within different use categories, each principal use is classified in the applicable category and each use is subject to all applicable regulations for that category.

D. **Accessory Uses:** Accessory uses are allowed by-right in conjunction with a principal use, unless otherwise stated in this Code. Also, unless otherwise stated, accessory uses are subject to the same regulations as the principal use. Common accessory uses are listed as examples in the use category descriptions. See Section 3.12 for additional standards for accessory uses and structures.

E. **Use of Examples:** The "Examples" subsection of each use category lists common examples of uses included in the respective use category. The names of these sample uses are generic. They are based on common meanings and not on what a specific use may call itself. For example, a use that calls itself a “wholesale warehouse,” but sells mostly to consumers, is included in the “Retail Sales and Service” category rather than the “Wholesale Sales” category. This is because the actual activity on the site matches the description of the “Retail Sales and Service” category.

F. **Similar Use Interpretation Criteria:** The following considerations shall be used in making similar use interpretations:

1. The actual or projected characteristics of the activity in relationship to the stated characteristics of each use category.
2. The relative amount of site area or floor space and equipment devoted to the activity.
3. Relative amounts of sales from each activity.
4. The customer type for each activity.
5. The relative number of employees in each activity.
6. Hours of operation.
7. Building and site arrangement.
8. Vehicles used with the activity.
9. The relative number of vehicle trips generated by the use.
3.6.2 ADDITIONAL STANDARDS FOR COMMERCIAL USES

The following additional standards apply to the approval and development of projects where the use is listed in the "Table of Permitted Uses" in Section 3.2 as Conditional (C), Special Exception (SE), or T4-Neighborhood Artisan (A). In addition, any Building Design standards, as set forth in Article 4, apply as applicable.

A. Entertainment Uses (Indoor and Outdoor):
   1. Specific to T3: Indoor and outdoor entertainment are permitted if the property is owned by a neighborhood association or property owners' association, and if the use is owned and managed by that association.
   2. Specific to T4-N: Indoor Entertainment shall be limited to 2,500 SF in a standalone building. Indoor Entertainment is permitted use when located in a mixed-use building or a mixed use development.
   3. Specific to T4-NA: Indoor Entertainment, Community Service and Office uses are permitted uses.
   4. Specific to T4-N and T5-UC: Outdoor Entertainment is permitted on parcels 7 acres or larger.

B. Sexually-Oriented Businesses: See Section 13.2.1.

C. Overnight Guest Accommodation:
   1. Bed and Breakfasts (B&B):
      a. Number of Rental Rooms: 10 maximum, not including the caretaker's quarters.
      b. Signs: Total sign area for all signs advertising the B&B shall not exceed 5 square feet. All signs shall be constructed of wood or other durable non-plastic materials.
      c. Parking: One space per room, plus 1 space for the resident manager shall be provided on-site. Formalized on-street parking spaces meeting the requirements of Section 5.7.4 B. may count towards this requirement.
      d. Spacing: There shall be no other B&B in a T3 or T4-HN zoning district located within 500 feet of a proposed B&B in either of these districts. Distances shall be measured from the property line.
      e. Meals: No meals will be served to anyone other than registered guests, except as provided for in Section 3.6.2 C.1.f. below. No variances from this condition shall be permitted.
      f. Events: Business meetings, receptions, teas, and other events are permitted, provided that the events are hosted for registered guests. This shall apply to all B&Bs established under the Beaufort Code.
      g. Operation: B&Bs shall be operated by a resident manager living on the premises.

   2. Short Term Rental:
      a. Specific to T3-S, T3-N, and T4-HN: Short term rentals, where the owner does not live on the premises, are limited to 6% of the lots in the neighborhood as shown on the City of Beaufort Neighborhoods Map zoned T3-S, T3-N, and/or T4-HN with the following exceptions:
         i. Structures on the City's List of Vacant and Abandoned Structures being rehabilitated for use as a short term rental; and
         ii. Short term rentals are prohibited in The Point neighborhood, as shown on the City of Beaufort Neighborhoods Map.
b. **Minimum Stay:** 2 nights.

c. **Permitted Rental Types:**
   
   i. Rental of the primary dwelling.
   
   ii. Rental of an accessory dwelling.
   
   iii. Rental of a portion of a primary dwelling.
   
   iv. Rental of a boat in an approved marina.

d. **Parking:** Parking shall be provided on-site and located to the side or rear of the dwelling. On-site parking shall be clearly delineated with an improved surface such as pavement, gravel, or another method approved by the administrator. If formalized parking is provided on the street(s) adjacent to the primary or accessory unit, this may be utilized in lieu of on-site parking.

e. **Rental Agreement:** The applicant shall provide a copy of the rental agreement that will be used. The rental agreement shall specify the following:
   
   i. The minimum stay.
   
   ii. The maximum number of guests—which shall be based on the number of beds in the unit. For Primary house rentals, the number of adult guests is limited to 2 per bedroom. For Carriage House rentals, the total number of adult guests is limited to 4.
   
   iii. The maximum number of vehicles permitted at the unit—which shall be based on the number of bedrooms and the design of the driveway. For Primary house rentals, the number of vehicles is limited to 1 per bedroom. For Carriage House rentals, the total number of adult guests is limited to 2.
   
   iv. Where guests are to park. Where no formalized on-street parking is available, the agreement shall specify that guests are to park on-site and not in the street.
   
   v. That the City’s noise ordinance applies between 9:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m.
   
   vi. Prohibit large gatherings such as weddings and reunions unless specifically approved by the City.
   
   vii. Pets, if permitted, are not to be left outside unattended.

g. **Property Management Plan:** A property management plan shall be developed and approved by the administrator. The property management plan shall identify a property manager. Where the property owner does not live on the premises, the property manager must be available to appear on the premises to respond a complaint within three hours of being notified by the administrator. Where the property owner lives on the premises, a back-up property manager must be identified unless the owner certifies the unit will not be rented when the owner is out of town. The administrator shall be notified when management of the unit changes. Failure to comply with the approved property management plan shall result in the revocation of the zoning permit (Section 9.4).

h. **Signs:** No on-site signs shall be permitted.

i. **Rental Rules:** Rental rules, including use of the sanitation and recycling roll-carts, and emergency contact information including the police non-emergency number, shall be posted in a conspicuous location in the unit.
i. **Monitored Fire Alarm:** A monitored fire alarm is required for all units except boats. Boats are required to provide documentation that a Coast Guard Auxiliary Safety Vessel Check has been performed is required. The Vessel Safety Check can be arranged through this link: http://www.cgaux.org/vsc. Existing facilities not meeting this requirement shall be brought into conformance within 6 months of the date of adoption of this Code.

j. **Outside Approvals Required:** For properties located in a neighborhood with a property owners’ association, written confirmation from the association president that short-term rentals are permitted in the neighborhood is required. In multifamily structures, written approval from the property management association is required. For boats in an approved marina, written permission from the marina manager is required.

k. **Safety Inspection and Licensing:** A Safety Inspection shall be conducted before the Business License for the facility is issued. The facility shall comply with all business license, revenue collection, and health laws of the City of Beaufort, Beaufort County and the State of South Carolina.

l. **Unlicensed Units:** For units that are found to be operating without approval of the City, the short term rental application fee shall be $1,000. If the property owner chooses not to submit a short term rental application within 60 days of being notified by the City of being in violation of the ordinance, a short term rental application shall not be approved for a period of 2 years.

M. **Manufactured Homes/Mobile Homes:** No manufactured home, or mobile home, shall be eligible to conduct short term rentals.

3. **Inn/Hotel/Motel:**
   a. **Specific to T4-N:**
      i. Inns up to 10 rooms are permitted.
      ii. Inns with 11—24 rooms are permitted in retail frontage overlay areas.

b. **Specific to T4-NA:** This use is prohibited.

D. **Retail and Restaurants:**

1. **Specific to T4-N only:** Except for Animal Hospitals/Kennels, Restaurants and Retail are permitted only in Retail Frontage Overlay Districts, and in the T4-NA district per the conditions below. Animal Hospitals/Kennels shall follow the Conditions for T5-UC.

2. **Specific to T4-NA** General retail/service uses are permitted in the Artisan-Oriented district. The following conditions apply:
   a. Freestanding signs are limited to one per lot, with a maximum size of 5 square feet.
   b. No outside amplified music is permitted.
   c. No alcohol sales are permitted.
   d. New construction and exterior changes to existing structures to accommodate such uses shall be subject to Article 4 (Building Design and Infill Standards) of this Code.
   e. Specific to trail-related uses:
      i. On-site parking shall be provided at the rate of 1 space per 300 square feet.
The hours of operation shall be limited to between 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. EST, 7:00 p.m. EDT.

iii. Any use that includes outdoor seating shall have a trash container available for disposal of goods consumed on the premises.

3. **Specific to T5-UC**: Animal Hospitals/Kennels are permitted with the following Conditions:
   a. **Outdoor Activity**: Outdoor activity is permitted during daylight hours; animals must be boarded indoors at night.
   b. **Permitted Accessory Uses**: Boarding, retail sales, and grooming services are permitted.
   c. All kennels, and/or outdoor areas for animals, must be located to the rear of the building, and must be screened from the view of all public rights away.

4. **Specific to T5-DC**: Animal Hospitals/Kennels are not permitted.

5. **Specific to IC**: General retail/service uses are not permitted along Highway 170 west of W.K. Alston Drive.

6. **Specific to T4-HN**: General Retail and service uses, shall be approved as a special exception with the following conditions:
   a. Cafes/restaurants: shall be under 2,500 sq. ft. with no drive-thru or order window.
   b. Art Galleries, offices, and general retail shall be under 2,500 sq. ft.
   c. No on-site sign shall be larger than 5 sq. ft.


**E. RMX/T5-UC SPLIT ZONES:**

1. In properties split zoned RMX/T5-UC, one district and corresponding lot and design standards shall be utilized at beginning of the development process. This process shall be followed with commercial and mixed uses developments, with outlots. If urban blocks and lots/street sections, as found in Appendix C, exist adjacent to the RMX/T-5UC district being developed, T-S UC development standards shall be followed.
### 3.11.2 USES CUSTOMARILY ACCESSORY TO RESIDENTIAL DWELLINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>#/LOT</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>ADDITIONAL STANDARDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. Accessory Dwelling Unit (syn. Carriage House) - detached</td>
<td>See Section 4.5.3 for complete standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Accessory Dwelling Unit - attached</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Max.: 50% of the footprint of the primary unit, or 1,500 SF max., whichever is smaller</td>
<td>1. All standards from 4.5.3 apply, with the following addition: Any additional entrances will be located in the side or the rear of the primary structure. Additional external stairways or fire ladders are strongly discouraged but may be permitted at the discretion of the Fire Marshal when no practical alternative exists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Garage/Carport/Workshop</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Maximum: 50% of the footprint of the primary unit, or 1,500 SF max., whichever is smaller</td>
<td>1. Specific to T3-N, T4, T5, RMX and IC Districts: prefabricated/per-manufactured metal structures are not permitted when visible from a public right-of-way. 2. May be provided with electricity, sink and a commode but shall not be used as an ADU. 3. If a carport is used for storage, any side visible from an adjacent property or street right-of-way must be enclosed to screen the building contents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Shed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Max.: 320 SF</td>
<td>1. Specific to T3-N, T4, T5, RMX and IC Districts: prefabricated/pre-manufactured metal structures are not permitted when visible from a public right-of-way. 2. Shed may be provided with electricity, sink and a commode but shall not be used as an ADU. 3. Any shed that is 3' or closer to a house shall meet the fire protection prescribed for Garages in the IRC. 3. Specific to T3-N, T4-N and T4-HN: Side and rear setbacks may be reduced to 2' if the following conditions are met: a. Residential Sheds are &lt;200 SF and Commercial sheds are &lt;120SF. b. Shed doesn't contain plumbing or HVAC and is not used as a habitable space. c. Lot size is 6,000 SF or less.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Pool</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1. Barriers shall be required per Section 305 of the 2015 International Swimming Pool and Spa Code, or equivalent as updated. All pool permits shall include such barrier. Before the pool can be filled with water, barriers shall be installed, inspected and approved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Pool House</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Max.: 50% of the footprint of the primary unit, or 640 SF</td>
<td>1. Standards from 4.5.3.B.8 apply.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Supp. No. 1)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Covered/Open-Air Structure (ex. Gazebos and Trellises)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Max.: 320 SF</td>
<td>1. May not be used for parking. If used for storage, any side visible from an adjacent property or street right-of-way must be enclosed to screen the building contents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Greenhouse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Max.: 320 SF</td>
<td>1. See 8.5.4 for additional standards on food production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Outdoor Living and Recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Playhouses, picnic tables, dog houses, chicken coops, flagpoles and furniture designed specifically for outdoor use are permitted, and do not require a Project Permit, if they are not permanently affixed to the ground (e.g., on a slab or pier foundations). If a structure under roof (e.g., playhouse, doghouse, chicken coop) is permanently affixed to the ground, it is considered a shed and shall comply with the standards in paragraph C of this section.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.12.2 PERMITTED TEMPORARY USE

A. Carnival, circus or fair, for a period not to exceed 21 days, in the LI District.

B. Open lot sale of seasonal produce and plant products including Christmas trees, in the T4-N, T5-UC, and RMX Districts for a period not to exceed 45 days.

C. Temporary real estate sales offices (e.g., mobile trailer), in conjunction with an approved subdivision or development project, in any district, for a period not to exceed 1 year, provided no cooking or sleeping accommodations are maintained in the structure. These may be renewed, upon written request, for periods of 6 months at a time if the subdivision has an active Project Permit.

D. For new construction, a contractor’s office and equipment sheds, including steel cargo storage containers, in any district districts, for a period of 6 months, provided a Project Permit has been issued for construction on the site, and such facilities are placed on the property to which it is appurtenant.

E. Temporary classroom facilities shall not be located at any school, religious institution, or other similar use, unless a plan for improvements designed to eliminate the temporary classrooms at a time certain in the future — within 24 months — has been approved by the administrator. Such plan shall include a review of the placement of the temporary classrooms, and may require screening and buffering in order to comply with this Code. Extensions of up to 1-year may be permitted by the administrator, upon written request, if the units have not proven to be a nuisance and the plan for elimination is still active.

F. Food Trucks/Concession Stands: See Part 7 Chapter 16 of the City of Beaufort Code of Ordinances, or updated section as applicable, for regulations.

G. Farmers Markets: Farmers markets shall comply with the following standards:
   1. Farmers Markets are permitted in T4-N, T5-UC, RMX, IC, and LI Districts.
   2. An on-site manager is required.
   3. A management plan is required, including the following:
      a. The regular days and hours of operation on a weekly or monthly basis.
      b. Parking locations for vendors and customers.
      c. Setup areas for vendors.
      d. Signage - On-site temporary signage is permitted on the day of the market. This includes, but is not limited to, sandwich board signs, easels, and banners meeting the requirements in Article 6.
      e. Location of temporary restrooms, trash/recycling containers, electricity sources.
      f. Strategy for removal or storage of trash/recycling, tents, kiosks, vans, trailers or other market equipment when the market is not open.
      g. Rules and regulations for the market.
   4. Types of Products:
      a. At least 60% of the vendors shall sell "Farm Products," the majority of which shall be sold direct to consumer. Farm Products are defined as fruits, vegetables, mushrooms, herbs, nuts, eggs, honey or other bee products, flowers, plants, meat, milk, cheese and other dairy products, fish, and value-added products containing the above-mentioned items.
Brokers - participants who have bought any farm products from a grower and do not grow anything themselves - are not permitted.

b. Up to 40% of vendors may sell prepared foods. The majority of their sales shall be direct to consumer.

H. Portable metal storage containers are permitted in any district for purposes of loading or unloading, for a period not to exceed 14 days.

I. Cargo or freight storage containers, or modified versions thereof, are permitted to be used as temporary storage facilities in the LI and RMX Districts for up to 3 consecutive months in any 12-month period, on the condition that the containers are not visible from the street.
4.5.3 CARRIAGE HOUSE

A. **Description:** This is an Accessory Structure that provides small, flexible living spaces adjacent to a main house (a.k.a. Accessory Dwelling Unit [ADU], Granny Flat). It is often used for rental housing, and may be free standing, or located above a garage or parking area.

B. **Special Requirements:**

1. **Infrastructure:** The lot shall be served with public water and sewer.

2. **Number allowed:** 2 per lot.

3. **Placement on the Lot:** The carriage house shall be located to the rear of the primary structure, or to the side as a secondary option, with the following exceptions:
   a. Units may be placed at the front of a lot where the front of the primary structure is not the street, and the structure has clearly been designed to take advantage of unique site amenities, such as location on the water.
   b. Units may be placed in the front of the lot where the prevailing character of the neighborhood has other similarly-placed units.

4. **Frontage Type:** No frontage type is prescribed unless the building is close to the street; in which case, appropriate frontage types are: porch, stoop.

5. **Maximum Number of Bedrooms:** 2.

6. **Maximum Size:** The footprint shall not exceed 50% of the footprint of the primary building, or 1,500 square feet, whichever is smaller. Conversions of existing accessory structures that exceed this maximum may be permitted if the administrator determines that there is no adverse impact on surrounding property.

7. **Compatibility with Primary Structure:** Architectural details, including color, siding, roof pitch, window detailing, roofing materials, height, and foundation, shall be compatible with the primary dwelling unit.

8. **Parking:** 1 parking space per Carriage House is required, and shall be clearly defined. See Section 7.3 for additional parking standards.
E. **Timing**: The carriage house(s) shall be permitted to be built prior to the primary structure on the lot if the following requirements are met:

1. A sketch plan showing the potential build-out, including parking, of the site is required;
2. The size(s) must be appropriate to permit a primary structure without exceeding the maximum lot coverage; and
3. Materials of the future primary structure must coordinate with the carriage house.
4.5.5 2-3 UNIT HOUSE

A. **Description:** This house-form seamlessly fits into a predominantly single-family neighborhood, but contains multiple dwelling units. The units may be side-by-side, or stacked. They typically have separate entrances off of the street, but may share a common entrance. They are located under one roof and do not have parapets dividing the units.

B. **Special Requirements:**
   1. **Frontage Types:** Common Yard, Porch, Stoop.
   2. **Parking:** Parking must be well defined, located behind the building, and accessed off a side street or rear alley. On-site parking for all vehicles, including boats, must not be in front of the building. No variances to this provision are permitted.
   3. **Location, Specific to T3-N:** A 2-3 unit building is permitted in the following areas:
      a. On a corner lot; or
      b. Where rear alley access is provided;
4.5.6 ROWHOME

A. **Description:** This is an attached residential building type that is part of a series of other rowhomes with more than three units in a row. Three or fewer is considered a 2—3 unit building. Rowhomes may have parapet walls dividing the units, or be combined under one roof form. They are elevated above the street a minimum of 3 feet for privacy, and are typically accessed by stoops. They may also utilize the forecourt and porch frontage types.

B. **Special Requirements:**

1. **Frontage Types:** Porch, stoop, forecourt.

2. **Parking:** Parking must be well defined, located behind the building, and accessed off a side street or rear alley. On-site parking for all vehicles, including boats, must not be in front of the building.

3. **Specific to T4:**
   a. Rowhomes are not permitted in the Historic District, except in the Bladen Street Redevelopment District.
4.5.8 LIVEWORK/MIXED-USE

A. **Description:** This is a building that contains commercial space, typically at grade, with office or residential living, typically located on the upper level(s). They are typically attached, but may be freestanding structures. The ground floor has a substantial amount of glazing, and often utilizes the shopfront frontage type.

B. **Special Requirements:**

1. **Frontage Types:** Forecourt, balcony, Shopfront/Awning, Gallery/Colonnade, Arcade.
2. **Parking:** Parking must be located behind the building, and accessed off a rear alley.
3. **Specific to LI:** Drive-thru facilities are prohibited.
Date: February 21, 2024
From: Curt Freese, Community Development Director
To: City Council

ISSUE: Beaufort Development Code Changes

Current Chapter: Building Design, Chapter 4

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

Please note, a copy of the track changes of the code sections in question are included in your packet with changes in red. The changes which involve several Sections of the code are found below:

2.4.1 TRANSECT STANDARDS
4.3.2 SPECIFIC GUIDELINES
4.5.3 CARRIAGE HOUSE
4.5.4 SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED
4.5.6 ROWHOUSE
4.5.7 APARTMENT HOUSE
4.5.9 LINER BUILDINGS
4.5.12 GAS/FUEL STATIONS AND CAR WASHES
4.6.1 BUILDING DESIGN STANDARDS
4.6.3 SPECIFIC TO TRANSECT DISTRICTS
4.6.4 SPECIFIC TO CONVENTIONAL DISTRICTS
4.7.2 PRINCIPLES FOR COMPATIBLE INFILL

DESCRIPTION AND SYNOPSIS OF CHANGES

2.4.1 Transect Standards

Analysis/Recommendation: Revision of T-3 Standards to allow 60’ wide and 6,000 q. ft. lot sizes from 75’ wide and 9,000 sq. ft. Also, not that subdivision of lots in historic districts will be subject to the HRB process for clarity.
4.3.2 SPECIFIC GUIDELINES

Analysis/Recommendation: 1) Revising compliance with Civic Master plan to be clear it is a guide as was intended; 2) Adding additional guidelines for new development to be consistent with existing development to address issue where dense urban style development is being proposed next to low density development.

4.5.6 ROWHOUSE

Analysis: Remove the T4-NA restriction and allow as per the Code table as a proposed Special Exception.

4.5.7 APARTMENT HOUSE

Analysis/Recommendation: 1) adding total building width of 160’ for all but T-5 district, as current projects in which large monolithic apartments in areas with low density create dissimilar development patterns; 2) Limiting apartment units to 6 dwelling units per building in T-3 district, to limit impact of apartment use on lower density areas.

4.5.9 LINER BUILDINGS

Analysis/Recommendation: 1) Reducing the frontage depth to 30-40 feet from 25-30, to allow more flexibility and allow buildings to be further off the street in highly travelled zones without harming the intent of the code; 2) reducing the frontage requirement to 60% from 75%, to create more flexibility in mass and scale of buildings per recent examples.

4.5.12 GAS/FUEL STATIONS AND CAR WASHES

Analysis/Recommendation: 1) Addition of screening and depth requirements and clarification of maximum fuel pumps; 2) Addition of design standards for canopies, including materials for support, based on current issues.

4.6.1 BUILDING DESIGN STANDARDS

Analysis/Recommendation: 1) Addition of standard where infill height should match the average height of existing buildings on the block; 2) clarification on language on ADU’s on garages, and mechanical screening to only new commercial buildings; 3) revision to allow caution ASHTO yellow in certain circumstances; 4) Addition of two story significant intersection requirement, including listing significant intersections; 5) allowance for temporary sales of merchandise in a parking lot for a period of 48 hours.
4.6.3 SPECIFIC TO TRANSECT DISTRICTS

Analysis/Recommendation: 1) removal of metal panel restriction for exterior materials (metal panels can be reflective of good design; 2) cleaning up of transparency requirement. Renaming to fenestration, requiring see-through glass, or spandrel glass at the discretion of the Planning Commission or Administrator. 3) Encouraging but not requiring mullions and muntins due to the high cost; 4) allowing metal and composite shutters; 5) allowing flexibility for column bay spacing if it complements the architecture.

4.6.4 SPECIFIC TO CONVENTIONAL DISTRICTS

Analysis/Recommendation: 1) referencing existing massing and articulation standards of Section 4.3.2 B; 2) increasing blank wall allowance to 35 linear feet from 20 feet, to reflect the more auto-oriented nature of development in conventional zones.

4.7.2 PRINCIPLES FOR COMPATIBLE INFILL

Analysis/Recommendation: 1) at a recent HDRB meeting, it was pointed out the seven integrities for infill development is mentioned in one area, rehabilitation, and in the intent only new construction. Staff is recommending to add rehabilitation of contributing structure to provide clarity.

RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE AMENDMENTS TO BE SENT TO CITY ATTORNEY FOR REVIEW, AND THEN FORMAL RECOMMENDATION TO CITY PLANNING COMMISSION FOR CONSIDERATION.
### 2.4.1 TRANSECT-BASED DISTRICT STANDARDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>T3-S</th>
<th>T3-N</th>
<th>T4-HN</th>
<th>T4-N</th>
<th>T5-DC</th>
<th>T5-UC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. LOT CONFIGURATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Lot Width at Front Setback</td>
<td><strong>75-60 ft min</strong>; for waterfront lots see Section 2.5.4</td>
<td>40 ft min, 60 ft min in the Hundred Pines neighborhood</td>
<td>40 ft min, 60 ft min in The Point</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Lot Size</td>
<td><strong>69,000 sf min</strong>; for waterfront lots see Section 2.5.4</td>
<td>4,000 sf min; 3,000 sf min for alley-served lots</td>
<td>4,000 sf min; 6,000 sf min in The Point</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Maximum Lot Coverage¹</td>
<td>30% of lot area</td>
<td>45% of lot area</td>
<td>55% of lot area</td>
<td>70% of lot area</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Frontage Build-Out²</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>75% max</td>
<td>60% min; 85% max</td>
<td>75% min</td>
<td>60% min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ This percentage indicates maximum lot coverage by roofs; total impervious coverage may be an additional 10%. Parcels may also be subject to Section 8.3 (Stormwater).

² See Section 2.5.1 B. for additional frontage build-out standards.

### B. PRIMARY BUILDING PLACEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>T3-S</th>
<th>T3-N</th>
<th>T4-HN</th>
<th>T4-N</th>
<th>T5-DC</th>
<th>T5-UC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Front Setback; for infill lots also see Section 2.5.2</td>
<td><strong>20 ft min</strong></td>
<td><strong>15 ft min</strong></td>
<td>Average Prevailing Setback on Block</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No max</td>
<td>30 ft max³</td>
<td>15 ft max</td>
<td>Max. Prevailing Setback on Block</td>
<td>15 ft max</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Side Setback—Corner/Alley</td>
<td><strong>15 ft min</strong></td>
<td><strong>6 ft min</strong></td>
<td><strong>5 ft min</strong></td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No max</td>
<td>No max</td>
<td>No max</td>
<td>10 ft max</td>
<td>15 ft max</td>
<td>15 ft max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Side Setback—Interior</td>
<td><strong>10 ft min</strong></td>
<td><strong>6 ft min</strong></td>
<td><strong>6 ft min, 10 ft min in The Point</strong></td>
<td>5 ft min, or 0 ft if attached</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rear Setback²</td>
<td><strong>15 ft min</strong></td>
<td><strong>15 ft min</strong></td>
<td><strong>15 ft min</strong></td>
<td><strong>10 ft min</strong></td>
<td>0 ft min</td>
<td><strong>5 ft min</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rear Setback from Alley²</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
<td>0 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Attached Garage/Carport</td>
<td><strong>5 ft min</strong></td>
<td><strong>5 ft min</strong></td>
<td>Attached garages shall only be accessed via an alley; garage doors shall not face the street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### C. ACCESSORY BUILDING PLACEMENT—See Section 3.31 for additional requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Front Setback</th>
<th>Accessory structures shall be located behind the front facade of the primary structure, except as provided for in Section 2.5.4 (Waterfront Lots) and Section 4.5.3 (Carriage House); see item 6 below for setback for detached garage doors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Side Setback—Corner/Alley</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Side Setback—Interior</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rear Setback</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rear Setback from Alley</td>
<td>3 ft min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Detached Garage Door/Carpot Setback (from front facade)</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 When lot width is 75 ft or greater, there is no maximum front setback.
4 Garage doors shall be 15 ft min from alley centerline.
5 In addition to the setback requirements listed above, garage doors/carpots which face a public right-of-way, except for rear alleys, shall be set back a minimum of 20 ft from that right-of-way.
6 The Battery Shores and Islands of Beaufort neighborhoods are exempt from this standard when garage doors do not face a public right-of-way. In the Jericho Woods neighborhood, carports are exempt from this standard.
7 Also see Section 2.5.7(Street Access Standards).

### D. BUILDING FORM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Primary Building Height—See Section 2.6</th>
<th>No min</th>
<th>No min</th>
<th>No min</th>
<th>2 stories min</th>
<th>2 stories min</th>
<th>2 stories min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5 stories max</td>
<td>2.5 stories max</td>
<td>3 stories max</td>
<td>4 stories max; 3.5 stories max in &amp; fronting Historic District &amp; interior lots along Allison Rd.</td>
<td>3 stories max at property line, see 2.6.1.G</td>
<td>5 stories max; 3.5 stories max in &amp; fronting Historic District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Accessory Building Height</td>
<td>2 stories or 30 ft max</td>
<td>2 stories or 30 ft max</td>
<td>2 stories or 30 ft max</td>
<td>2 stories or 30 ft max</td>
<td>2 stories max</td>
<td>2 stories max</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Supp. No. 1)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Building Width at Frontage</th>
<th>n/a</th>
<th>n/a</th>
<th>n/a</th>
<th>100 ft max</th>
<th>100 ft max</th>
<th>160 ft max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 Two stories are only required at significant intersections, in accordance with Section 2.6.3 and the Street Hierarchy Diagram in Appendix C.3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Buildings exceeding this maximum shall comply with the Large Footprint Building standards in Section 4.5.10.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**E. PARKING PAD LOCATION**—There are no interior side setbacks for parking unless buffers are required per Section 5.5. See Section 2.5.8 for additional provisions.

1. **Front Setback**
   - There are no parking setbacks, however, driveways shall be located to the side of the lot/primary structure except on waterfront lots meeting the conditions stated in 2.5.4.

2. **Side Setback—Corner**

3. **Rear Setback**
   - 5 ft min
   - 5 ft min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>40 ft min</th>
<th>40 ft min</th>
<th>40 ft min</th>
<th>40 ft min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 ft min</td>
<td>15 ft min</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
<td>5 ft min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LOT CONFIGURATION (2.4.1.A)

- **Frontage Build-Out**
  - FRONTAGE BUILD-OUT = DAMAGEForResult of the intersection between the street and structure of the building
  - FRONTAGE BUILD-OUT = LOT COVERAGE 

(Supp. No. 1)
4: BUILDING DESIGN AND INFILL STANDARDS

4.1: PURPOSE AND INTENT

4.1.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this section is to:

A. Protect and enhance Beaufort's unique aesthetic character.
B. Support high quality streets and public spaces.
C. Encourage architecture that blends harmoniously with the natural surroundings and neighboring development.
D. Safeguard property values and long-term economic assets.
E. Carefully preserve the character of Beaufort's National Historic Landmark District while permitting appropriate growth.

4.1.2 INTENT

The standards and guidelines in this section are not meant to stifle innovative design or creativity. Instead, they are intended to serve as the minimum standards and guidelines necessary to ensure that new development and redevelopment meets the purposes described above.

4.2: APPLICABILITY

4.2.1 TERMINOLOGY

A. Shall: This section establishes both binding standards and discretionary guidelines. Binding standards are typically signified by the word "shall." They are specific and precise standards that are required to be met in the submitted design.
B. Should, Encouraged and Discouraged: Discretionary guidelines are typically signified by the words "should," "encouraged," and "discouraged." They are general design objectives that are applied and interpreted by the appropriate Design Review Body in the review of development applications. "Shoulds" are the goal, but the Design Review Body has flexibility as to how they are administered.
C. May: Discretionary guidelines with a greater degree of flexibility are signified by the word "may." They are ideal guidelines and a project following these guidelines would result in an outcome that is very compliant with the City's goals and objectives; however, they are not specifically required to be met.

4.2.2 APPLICABILITY

A. Applicability: The standards apply to all construction in:
2. Conventional Districts: RMX, IC.

B. Exemptions: Where these standards are exempt, as listed below, this section may still be used as
design guidelines for new construction as they outline building practices that are traditional to the
Beaufort area.

1. Modification to existing structures, unless they require design review as specified in Sections 9.8
and 9.9, are exempt from the requirements of this section.
2. All construction in T3 districts is exempt from this section, except for:
   a. Carriage Houses (Section 4.5.3).
   b. 2-3 Unit Houses (Section 4.5.5).
   c. Developments utilizing any of the Alternative Development Patterns (Section 2.8).
   d. Lots requesting a variance to subdivide and create one or more lots smaller than the
      minimum lot size per Section 2.3.1.
   e. Buildings in subdivisions 15 acres or larger.
3. All construction in LI districts are exempt from all standards except for the provisions in 4.6.4.A,
   where the structure is visible from a Primary Street - See Street Hierarchy Diagram in Appendix C.

4.2.3 RELATIONSHIP TO HISTORIC DISTRICT STANDARDS

A. Applicability: In addition to the standards and guidelines in this article, any development located
within the Beaufort Historic District is subject to the standards, guidelines, and procedures established
in Section 9.10.

B. Conflicts: In the event of a conflict between the requirements of this article and the requirements and
guidance provided by the documents listed in 9.10.2.B, the later shall take precedence.

4.2.4 RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PROVISIONS

A. Applicability: Article 3 (Land Use Provisions) identifies use allowances by district and establishes
additional standards applicable to specific uses. These provisions shall also apply in conjunction with
the standards outlined in this article.

B. Conflicts: In the event of a conflict between the requirements of this article and the requirements of
Article 3, the most stringent requirement, as determined by the Administrator, shall apply.

4.3: CONTEXTUAL DESIGN GUIDELINES

4.3.1 PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY

All buildings possess a number of common elements that combine to express a structure both as an entity and as a
part of the larger community. No building is so insulated from its surroundings as to avoid an impact on the
surrounding context. Applications that require Major Design Review (Section 9.8) and Certificate of
Appropriateness, Major (Section 9.10.2), are subject to the additional contextual design guidelines of this section.
These guidelines shall be used by the Design Review Body as applicable, to evaluate the appropriateness of the
proposed construction to its immediate context and the character of the broader community.
4.3.2 SPECIFIC GUIDELINES

A. Conformity to Civic Master Plan: The Civic Master Plan provides site-specific guidance for the development of many parcels within the city. The intent of this Code is to facilitate the vision and ensure the visions are permitted. In instances where the Civic Master Plan provides guidance for building and site design standards on a particular parcel, development applications on that parcel should consider meet the general intent of such guidance to the extent practicable, as determined by the Planning Commission, Design Review Board.

B. New Development Compatible with Existing Development

Rhythm of Development on the Street: Monolithic massing that disrupts the predominant building pattern of the neighborhood and corridor is strongly discouraged. See example below. In cases where the zoning district allows massing and the rhythm of development which is not consistent with the built form of surrounding area, the Codes Administrator and Planning Commission shall consider the following alternative design principles before approving the project:

1. Lot size, massing, siting, floor area ratio, and height of new development should complement the rhythm of the existing built form of the block and across the street from any development.
2. New development must correspond to the and should be no taller than twice the sull height of existing buildings on the block or across the street. The Planning Commission may consider exceptions to this requirement which satisfy the standards of the zoning ordinance, if they utilize creative design alternatives such as stepping buildings from the street.
3. Consider the mass of all existing buildings, and approving mass and scale which is no more than twice the mass of the average of existing buildings on the block. The Planning Commission may consider exceptions to this requirement which satisfy the standards of the zoning ordinance, if they utilize creative design alternatives such as architecturally reducing the appearance of mass though breaking up building form.
4. Frontage upon a street, and setbacks shall also correspond to the prevailing setbacks and frontage on the street. The Planning Commission may consider exceptions in cases where required frontage or setbacks of existing or proposed buildings do not correspond with the setbacks and frontages of the code, and may consider alternative design alternatives, such as patios, porches etc., to satisfy the frontage or setback requirements.
5) Massing and Articulation. When large scale construction is proposed that is not consistent with the predominant building height and lot width of the surrounding area, special attention shall be paid to specific building design elements in order to articulate a building form that is appropriate to the neighborhood context. These include complementing the massing of neighboring buildings by utilizing roof forms, architectural trim, differentiation of facade planes, and a relationship of solids (siding and walls) to voids (window and door openings) that are consistent with the patterns established in neighboring buildings. The items listed in the paragraph above, along with siting, setbacks, and facade treatments.

1. New construction should complement the massing of neighboring buildings by utilizing roof forms, architectural trim, differentiation of facade planes, and a relationship of solids (siding and walls) to voids (window and door openings) that are consistent with the patterns established in neighboring buildings.

2. When large scale construction is proposed that is not consistent with the predominant building height and lot width of the surrounding area, special attention shall be paid to specific building design elements in order to articulate a building form that is appropriate to the neighborhood context. These include the items listed in the paragraph above, along with siting, setbacks, and facade treatments.

### 4.4: PRIVATE FRONTAGE TYPES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>PLAN</th>
<th>PERMITTED ZONING DISTRICT(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lot - Private Frontage</td>
<td>R.O.W. Public Frontage</td>
<td>Lot - Private Frontage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. **Common Yard:** This is a planted Frontage, wherein the facade is set back substantially from the frontage line. The front yard created may be fenced or unfenced (see Section 2.5.6 H.). The deep setback provides a buffer from the higher speed thoroughfares.

B. **Porch:** This is a frontage wherein the facade is set back from the frontage line with an attached porch permitted to encroach (see Section 2.5.6). Porches may be constructed in front of the minimum required setback, but shall not extend into the right-of-way. Porches shall have a minimum depth of 8 feet and a minimum width of 25% of the primary facade. Porches may be screened; however, if screened, all architectural elements should be consistent with the patterns established in neighboring buildings.
### 4.4: PRIVATE FRONTAGE TYPES

| **C. Forecourt:** This is a frontage wherein a portion of the facade is close to the frontage line and the central portion is set back. Forecourts may be used in residential buildings to provide entry yards and/or shared garden space. Forecourts may be used in commercial and mixed-use buildings to provide areas for outdoor dining, display of merchandise, entries to individual tenants, or vehicular drop-off areas. Where provided, forecourts shall be a minimum of 12 feet in depth and 12 feet in width. This type should be allocated in conjunction with other frontage types. Large trees within the forecourts may overhang the sidewalks. |
| T4, T5, RMX, IC |

| **D. Stoop:** This is a frontage wherein the facade is aligned close to the frontage line with the first story elevated from the sidewalk sufficiently to secure privacy for the windows. The entrance is usually an exterior stair and landing with a covered or recessed entry door. This type is recommended for ground-floor residential use. Stoops may be constructed in front of the minimum required setback, but shall not extend into the right-of-way. Stoops shall have a minimum depth and width of 4 feet. Stoops may be shared by 2 attached units. Stoop stairs may run to the front or to the side. |
| T4, T5, RMX, IC |
### E. Balcony

This is a frontage wherein the facade is aligned close to the frontage line with an attached cantilevered or bracketed balcony(ies) above at least 50% of the facade, including major entries. This type is conventional for apartment houses and livework/mixed-use buildings. The balcony shall be no less than 3 feet deep and must be visually supported. French balconies (ones that are flush with the building) may be used, but are not considered balcony frontage, and would need to be combined with another frontage type.

![Balcony Diagram](image)

- **T4, T5, RMX, IC, LI**

### F. Shopfront/Awnning

This is a frontage wherein the facade is aligned close to the frontage line with the building entrance at sidewalk grade. This type is conventional for retail use. It has a substantial glazing on the sidewalk level. This frontage may also be used in conjunction with forecourt, Gallery/Colonnade, or balcony frontage types. Where an awning exists, it shall be a minimum of 5 feet deep and 8 feet above the sidewalk. Awnings shall be made of fabric or metal, but high-gloss and plasticized fabrics are prohibited.

![Shopfront/Awnning Diagram](image)

- **T4, T5, RMX, IC, LI**

### G. Gallery/Colonnade

This is a frontage wherein the facade is aligned close to the frontage line with an attached cantilevered shed or a lightweight colonnade overlapping the sidewalk. This type is conventional for retail use. The gallery shall be a minimum of 10 feet wide and may overlap the sidewalk to within 2 feet of the curb.

![Gallery/Colonnade Diagram](image)

- **T4, T5, RMX, IC, LI**
H. Arcade: This is a colonnade supporting habitable space that overlaps the sidewalk, while the facade at sidewalk level remains at or behind the frontage line. This type is conventional for retail use. The Arcade shall be a minimum of 12 feet wide and may overlap the sidewalk to within 2 feet of the curb.

4.5: BUILDING TYPES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SKETCH</th>
<th>PLAN</th>
<th>PERMITTED ZONING DISTRICT(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Carriage House Sketch" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Carriage House Plan" /></td>
<td>T3, T4, T5, RMX, IC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Carriage House:</strong> This is an accessory structure that provides small, flexible living spaces adjacent to a main house (a.k.a. Accessory Dwelling Unit [ADU], Granny Flat). It is often used for rental housing, and may be free standing, or located above a garage or parking area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Single-Family Detached House Sketch" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Single-Family Detached House Plan" /></td>
<td>T3, T4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Single-Family Detached House:</strong> This is the predominant residential building type in Beaufort. This house sits on a private lot and can vary in size from a small cottage to a large mansion. Setbacks and frontage types vary by transect zone.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5" alt="2-3 Unit House Sketch" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="2-3 Unit House Plan" /></td>
<td>T3, T4, T5, IC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. 2-3 Unit House:</strong> This is a house-form that seamlessly fits into a predominantly single-family neighborhood, but contains multiple dwelling units. The units may be side-by-side, or stacked. They typically have separate entrances off of the street, but may share a common entrance. They are located under one roof and do not have parapets dividing the units.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### D. Rowhome: This is an attached residential building type that is part of a series of other rowhomes with more than 3 units in a row. The combination of 3 or fewer units is considered a 2-3 unit building. Rowhomes may have parapet walls dividing the units, or be combined under one roof form. They are elevated above the street a minimum of 3 feet for privacy, and are typically accessed by stoops. They may also utilize the forecourt and porch frontage types.

![Rowhome Diagram](image)

| T4-N, T5, RMX, IC |

### E. Apartment House: This is a larger-scale building (a.k.a. Multi-Family Building) that contains 4 or more dwelling units. They often occupy corner lots, or are located in combination to create their own blocks. The ground floor is raised a minimum of 18 inches for privacy.

![Apartment House Diagram](image)

| T4, T5, RMX, IC |

### F. Livework/Mixed-Use: This is a building that buildings contains commercial space, typically at grade, with office or residential living, typically located on the upper level(s). They are typically attached, but may be freestanding structures. The ground floor has a substantial amount of glazing, and often utilizes the shopfront frontage type.

![Livework/Mixed-Use Diagram](image)

| T4, T5, RMX, LI, IC |

### G. Liner Building: This is a shallow structure, typically 25-30 feet deep, which is placed close to the street frontage. It is typically used in conjunction with other Liner Buildings to conceal surface or structured parking, or large-scale commercial buildings. These buildings may contain a variety of uses, including ground floor commercial, and upper-level offices or residential.

![Liner Building Diagram](image)

| T4, T5, RMX, IC |
H. Large Footprint Building: This is a building that has a footprint greater than 20,000 square feet. It is a type often used by big-box, national retailers. In the T4 and T5 transect zones, they shall be integrated into the streetscape or screened with Liner Buildings.

I. Structured Parking: This is a multi-level parking deck that may take up all or a significant portion of a block.

J. Gas/Fuel Station: This is a building type that contains a series of fuel pumps in conjunction with a convenience or retail store.

4.5.1 GENERAL

A. The transect provides a framework for determining where specific types of buildings can be located. This section gives an overview of the most common building types in Beaufort, and where and how they are permitted based on their transect zone. Some building types are permitted in multiple zones, but have different requirements based on their district. In case of a conflict between this section and Article 2, whichever requirement is stricter shall take precedence.

B. This section is not intended to limit the variety of buildings or stifle creativity. Other building types may be permitted by the Design Review Body, as specified in Article 9, if the building meets the guidelines and requirements of this Article.

4.5.2 APPLICABILITY

A. The requirements of the districts, as stated in Article 2, shall apply to all building types, unless a more stringent requirement is listed as part of this section.

B. Some of the building types listed have specific uses implied (e.g., Gas/Fuel Station) while others may be used flexibly for multiple uses.
4.5.3 CARRIAGE HOUSE

A. Description: This is an Accessory Structure that provides small, flexible living spaces adjacent to a main house (a.k.a. Accessory Dwelling Unit [ADU], Granny Flat). It is often used for rental housing, and may be free standing, or located above a garage or parking area.

B. Special Requirements:

1. Infrastructure: The lot shall be served with public water and sewer.

2. Number allowed: 2 per lot, except in T3-5 where 1 per lot is permitted.

3. Placement on the Lot: The carriage house shall be located to the rear of the primary structure, or to the side as a secondary option, with the following exceptions:
   a. Units may be placed at the front of a lot where the front of the primary structure is not the street, and the structure has clearly been designed to take advantage of unique site amenities, such as location on the water.
   b. Units may be placed in the front of the lot where the prevailing character of the neighborhood has other similarly-placed units.

4. Frontage Type: No frontage type is prescribed unless the building is close to the street; in which case, appropriate frontage types are: porch, stoop.

5. Maximum Number of Bedrooms: 2.

6. Minimum Size: 240 square feet in total area.

7. Maximum Size: The footprint shall not exceed 50% of the footprint of the primary building, or 1,500 square feet, whichever is smaller. Conversions of existing accessory structures that exceed this maximum may be permitted if the administrator determines that there is no adverse impact on surrounding property.

8. Compatibility with Primary Structure: Architectural details, including color, siding, roof pitch, window detailing, roofing materials, height, and foundation, shall be compatible with the primary dwelling unit.

9. Parking: 1 parking space per Carriage House is required, and shall be clearly defined. See Section 7.3 for additional parking standards.
10. **Timing**: The carriage house(s) shall be permitted to be built prior to the primary structure on the lot if the following requirements are met:
   a. A sketch plan showing the potential build-out, including parking, of the site is required;
   b. The size(s) must be appropriate to permit a primary structure without exceeding the maximum lot coverage; and
   c. Materials of the future primary structure must coordinate with the carriage house.

4.5.4 SINGLE FAMILY DETACHED HOUSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sketch View</th>
<th>Plan View</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

A. **Description**: This is the predominant residential building type in Beaufort. This house sits on a private lot and can vary in size from a small cottage to a large mansion. Setbacks and frontage types vary by Transect Zone.

B. **Special Requirements**:
   1. **Frontage Types**: Common Yard, Porch, Stoop.

4.5.5 2-3 UNIT HOUSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sketch View</th>
<th>Plan View</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Created: 3603-01-07 15:18:56 [EST]
A. **Description:** This house-form seamlessly fits into a predominantly single-family neighborhood, but contains multiple dwelling units. The units may be side-by-side, or stacked. They typically have separate entrances off of the street, but may share a common entrance. They are located under one roof and do not have parapets dividing the units.

B. **Special Requirements:**
   1. **Frontage Types:** Common Yard, Porch, Stoop.
   2. **Parking:** Parking must be well defined, located behind the building, and accessed off a side street or rear alley. On-site parking for all vehicles, including boats, must not be in front of the building. No variances to this provision are permitted.
   3. **Location, Specific to T3-N:** A 2-3 unit building is permitted in the following areas:
      a. On a corner lot; or
      b. Where alley access is provided; however, no more than 2 per block are permitted.

### 4.5.6 ROWHOME

A. **Description:** This is an attached residential building type that is part of a series of other rowhomes with more than three units in a row. Three or fewer is considered a 2—3 unit building. Rowhomes may have parapet walls dividing the units, or be combined under one roof form. They are elevated above the street a minimum of 3 feet for privacy, and are typically accessed by stoops. They may also utilize the forecourt and porch frontage types.

B. **Special Requirements:**
   1. **Frontage Types:** Porch, stoop, forecourt.
   2. **Parking:** Parking must be well defined, located behind the building, and accessed off a side street or rear alley. On-site parking for all vehicles, including boats, must not be in front of the building.
   3. **Specific to T4:**
      a. **Rowhomes are not permitted in the Historic District, except in the Bladen Street Redevelopment District.**
      b. **Rowhomes are not permitted in T4 NA.**
4.5.7 APARTMENT HOUSE

A. Description: This is a larger-scale building (a.k.a. Multi-Family Building) that contains 4 or more dwelling units. They often occupy corner lots, or are located in combination to create their own blocks. The ground floor is raised a minimum of 18" for privacy.

B. Special Requirements:

1. Frontage Types: Stoop, Forecourt, Balcony. Porches are not preferred, but may be used in buildings containing 12 units or less if they provide direct access to the unit from the street or sidewalk.

2. Building Entries: In buildings with more than 6 residential units, all ground floor units facing a frontage line shall have individual entrances from that frontage line. Buildings with formal entry courtyards or lobbies are exempt from this requirement.

3. Parking: On-site parking must be located behind the building and accessed off a rear alley.

4. Size: The building width should not exceed 160 ft in any frontage except the T-5 UC zone. No portion of the building shall exceed 160 feet in any direction.

4. Specific to T4:

   a. In the Historic District, these are limited to 6 dwelling units per building.
   b. Multi-family dwellings are not permitted in T4-NA.
   c. In T-3, multi-family dwellings shall be limited to 6 dwelling units per building.

4.5.8 LIVIEWORK/MIXED-USE

A. Description: This is a building that contains commercial space, typically at grade, with office or residential living, typically located on the upper level(s). They are typically attached, but may be freestanding structures. The ground floor has a substantial amount of glazing, and often utilizes the shopfront frontage type.

B. Special Requirements:
   1. Frontage Types: Forecourt, balcony, Shopfront/Awning, Gallery/Colonnade, Arcade.
   2. Parking: Parking must be located behind the building, and accessed off a rear alley.
   3. Specific to T4-N and T4-NA: When no retail frontage overlay exists, the ground floor is limited to office, artisan and trail-related uses only.
   4. Specific to LI: Drive-thru facilities are prohibited.

4.5.9 LINER BUILDING

A. Description: This is a shallow structure, typically 25–30 feet deep, which is placed close to the street frontage. It is typically used in conjunction with other Liner Buildings to conceal surface or...
structured parking, or large-scale commercial buildings. These buildings may contain a variety of uses, including ground floor commercial, and upper-level offices or residential.

B. Special Requirements:
1. **Frontage Types:** Balcony, Shopfront/Awning, Gallery/Colonade, Arcade.
2. **Frontage Build-Out:** 75.00% minimum.
3. **Height:** The minimum height for Liner Buildings is 1 story; however, when attached to another structure, it must be tall enough to conceal the building it is screening. Where Liner Buildings conceal a parking structure, the Liner Building shall be a minimum of 1 story; however it is preferred that it be built to such a height that the structure cannot be seen from the right-of-way.
4. **Connection:** Liner Buildings may either be detached from or attached to the building they are lining.

4.5.10 LARGE FOOTPRINT BUILDING

A. **Description:** This is a building that has a footprint greater than 20,000 square feet. It is a type often used by big-box, national retailers. In the T4 and T5 transit zones, they shall be integrated into the streetscape or screened with Liner Buildings (see Section 4.5.9).
B. Special Requirements:

1. **Frontage Types:** Shopfront/Awnings, Gallery/Colonnade, Arcade — Balconies may be used if there is true habitable space on the upper floor(s).

2. **Liner Buildings:** Liner Buildings (Section 4.5.3) are required in the T4 and T5 districts between the Large Footprint Building and the Primary Street frontage. They may be used in other districts to fulfill the requirements of this Code, such as height, entrances on the street, and parking location.

   a. *Exceptions:* When a Large Footprint building provides an entry on the primary street frontage, and a minimum of 40% clear and unobstructed glazing along that street, liners are not required.

3. **Height:** Buildings may be 1 story in height on the interior of the block, but should be at least 2 stories in height along all Primary Street frontages (see Street Hierarchy Diagram in Appendix C). This may be accomplished with multi-story buildings, higher ceiling heights, parapets, and/or separate Liner Buildings. See Section 2.6.3 for more details on two-story building requirements.

4. **Entrances:** See Section 2.5.1.D.

5. **Location:** In the Historic District, except along Boundary Street, these are permitted by special exception only.

6. **Size:** Building footprints shall not be larger than a single block. Floor area of buildings shall not cantilever over public rights-of-way.

7. **Parking Location:**

   a. *Specific to T4 and T5:* A minimum of 75% of all parking shall be located behind the primary building or a Liner Building.

   b. *All other districts:* The majority of the parking spaces shall be located to the side or rear of the building, or behind a Liner Building.
4.5.11 STRUCTURED PARKING

A. Description: This is a multi-level parking deck that may take up all or a significant portion of a block.

B. Applicability: These standards apply to all above-ground parking structures that front a public street or right-of-way.

C. General Requirements:
   1. Screening Required: Where an above-ground parking structure fronts a public street, the ground level shall be screened in such a way that cars are not visible from the street.
   2. Materials: Parking structure facades along pedestrian-oriented streets or primary pedestrian paths of travel shall be treated with high-quality materials, such as louvers, landscaped trellises, and clay tiles, or ornamental metal screens, to visually screen cars. The proportion should be broken down into bays that reflect the surrounding context.
   3. Entries: Pedestrian entries into the parking structure shall be clearly visible from the primary pedestrian paths of travel.
   4. Bicycle Parking: Parking structures shall provide bicycle parking within the structure. It shall be located on the level closest to the street and/or a primary building entrance.
   5. Liner Buildings: If liners exist, they should be designed according to the standards set out in Subsection E. below.

D. Height: Each above-ground level counts as 75% of a story regardless of its relationship to habitable stories.
   1. Specific to TS-DC: The maximum height at the property line shall not exceed 35 feet to the top of the parapet.
E. Special Requirements Specific to Transect Zones:

1. **Disposition**: Parking structures shall meet the required building setbacks except where |Liner Buildings are required. In that case, parking structures should be set back from the property lines of the adjacent Primary Street(s) a minimum of 25 feet to reserve room for Liner Buildings between parking structures and the lot frontage—see Street Hierarchy Diagram in Appendix C for Primary and Secondary streets.

2. **Frontage Types**: Shopfront/Awnings, Gallery/Colonnade, Arcade — Balconies may be used if there is true habitable space on the upper floor(s).

3. **Liner Buildings**:

   a. Liner Buildings (Section 4.5.9) are required in the T4 and T5 districts along Primary street frontage(s). Where parking structures face more than 1 street, requirements for Liner Buildings at secondary frontages are at the discretion of the Design Review Body. They may be used in other districts to fulfill the requirements of this Code, such as height and parking location.

   b. Exceptions to this requirement may be granted when lot width or depth is less than 140 feet. Where no Liner Buildings exist, along pedestrian-oriented streets, standards in Section 4.5.11 C.1. shall apply.

   c. Liner Buildings may be constructed concurrently with the parking garage, or space may be reserved for their construction in the future.
4.5.12 GAS/FUEL STATIONS AND CAR WASHES

A. Description: This building type contains a series of fuel pumps in conjunction with a convenience or retail store.

B. Building and Fueling Station Requirements:

1. Specific to TS-UC:
   a. The number of pumps shall be limited to three — a maximum of six fuelling positions — in the Historic District, six pumps, for a maximum of twelve fueling stations in all other districts, and six pumps elsewhere.

   b. The fueling stations shall be located behind the convenience store or a Liner Building. Outside of the Historic District, the fueling stations may be located to the side of the convenience store or Liner Building with the following provisions:
      i. The building shall be located on a corner.
      ii. The pumps shall be set back a minimum of 10 feet from the front line of the building and shall be screened with a landscape bed which shall be at least 10 feet in depth.
      iii. The short side of the pumps shall be parallel to the Primary Street — see Street Hierarchy Diagram in Appendix C.
      iv. The primary building shall be at least 2/3 as long (measured parallel to the street) as the distance along the longest line of pumps — see diagram in 4.5.12.B.1.a. The frontage build-out percentage shall be met by the convenience-store and/or Liner Building.

   c. Fueling stations shall not be located between the building and the adjacent street.

   2. Specific to RMX and LI:

a. The primary building should be at least \( \frac{1}{3} \) as long (measured parallel to the street) as the distance along the longest line of pumps — see the following diagram.

b. The pumps should be located to the side or rear of the building where possible. They shall not be located between the building and the adjacent primary street. In cases where the pumps are located to the side of the building, the pumps, including the canopy, shall not project further toward the street than the front line of the building.

c. **Corners:** On corner sites, significant architectural features, such as buildings or structures, should be provided at the corner.

3. **Kiosks:** Kiosk-type fuel stations where a structure sits within the pump canopy, are not permitted unless they are located behind a Liner Building.

4. **Existing Facilities:** When pumps are proposed at existing facilities that do not meet these design standards for gas stations, a decorative wall not less than 3 feet in height shall be required along any side of the property adjoining a street.

C. **Signage:** No signs shall be located on any canopy over the pumps.

D. **Car Wash:**
   1. The bay door(s) to the garage or car wash bay(s) shall not be visible from the Primary Street frontage.
   2. For a stand-alone car wash not associated with a fuel station, the opening of the bay door shall either face away from the Primary Street or be screened from the street with a Liner Building (Section 4.5.9).

E. **Colors:** Buildings and canopies shall not be painted in a color or pattern that expresses corporate identity, to the extent that the paint color or pattern is not consistent with the design standards of the district. The use of highly reflective or glossy materials is prohibited.

F. **Consistency:** All sides of a building shall express consistent architectural detailing and character. All site walls, screen walls, pump island and canopies, and other outdoor covered areas shall be architecturally integrated with the building by using similar material, color, and detailing.

G. **Pump Design Guidelines:**
   1. **Pump Canopy:**
a. Canopies with a pitched roof are encouraged. Multiple canopies or canopies that express differing architectural masses are encouraged. Canopy roofs, parapets and wreaths must match the architecture and materials of the principle building.
b. All columns shall be wrapped in masonry or a similar material as the principal building. Metal columns are prohibited.
c. Canopy ceiling shall be recessed.

d. Lighting:
   i. Canopy lighting shall be recessed.
   ii. The sides (fascias) of the canopy should extend below the lens of the fixture 12 inches to block the direct view of the light sources and lenses from property line.
   iii. Any lighting mounted to the top or sides of the canopy, including outlying of canopies with light bands or tubes, is prohibited.
   iv. Any freestanding light fixtures shall be a maximum 15 feet in height if the property abuts or is located within a Transect-based District.

2. Pump Island: The intent of this section is to encourage pump island designs that are well organized and consolidated to minimize visual clutter. Pump island components consist of fuel dispensers, refuse containers, automated payment points, safety bollards, and other appurtenances.
   a. The color of the various components of the pump island, including dispensers, bollards, and all appurtenances, are encouraged to be muted.
   b. The use of translucent materials and internally lighted cabinets is discouraged as finishes or as applied treatments at the pump island or on the canopy.
   c. Either a pump island curb or bollard is recommended for the protection of dispensing units.

4.5.13 CIVIC BUILDINGS

Civic buildings contain uses of special public importance and may be located in any zoning district. They require special design standards as described below, but should also be compatible with the surrounding context. Civic buildings may include, but are not limited to, municipal buildings, churches, libraries, schools, hospitals, and public recreation facilities. Museums may sometimes be considered civic buildings. Civic buildings do not include day care facilities, retail buildings, residential buildings, and privately-owned office buildings.

A. Placement: In order to provide greater flexibility to create a special architectural statement, civic buildings are not subject to minimum or maximum setback requirements. Instead, building placement should be designed with consideration of the following guidelines.

1. Placement of civic buildings, depending upon program and site, can often benefit from being set back from the adjacent build-to lines of private development. This allows the scale of the building to have more visual emphasis and can create a public space in the foreground. The amount of this setback should be carefully determined, based on the urban design objectives of the particular site.

2. Buildings should be oriented toward the public realm (streets, squares and plazas) in a very deliberate way.
3. Civic buildings and their primary architectural elements should be placed at the termination of public vistas to provide an appropriate level of visual importance.

4. Entrances should always be located on the most prominent facade(s). Avoid entrances that are at the rear or are visually concealed.

5. Parking shall be located at the rear and/or side of the building. In general, parking location standards shall match the zoning district.

B. Massing:

1. The primary massing of civic buildings should be symmetrical in form. The appearance of a balanced design increases the level of formality, which is appropriate to the public use.

2. Massing of civic buildings, although often larger as a whole, should be divided into visually distinct sections. Massing divisions should provide visual order to the building and create vertical proportions within individual elements.
C. Scale/Height:

1. The scale of civic buildings should be larger and more monumental than corresponding buildings in order to be more prominent and visible across greater distances.

2. Floor-to-floor heights and architectural details should be proportionately larger than those of private buildings that exist or are anticipated within adjacent blocks.

3. Prominent roof forms and additive elements, such as cupolas, should be used to visually extend the height of the building.
D. Materials/Details:

1. Civic buildings should evoke a civic character and be carefully designed to reflect the architectural character of Beaufort.

2. Civic buildings should be made of durable, high-quality materials that create a sense of permanence and lend civic identity to the city. Preferred materials include brick, stone, and cast concrete. Stucco should only be utilized when applied over structural CMU.

3. Building details should be designed at 2 scales. At the larger scale, details should be robust, so as to be read from a distance. Nearer to the building, the details of the lower levels should include another measure of refinement that can only be seen up-close at a pedestrian scale.

4. Building design elements should be used which allow civic buildings to act as focal points of the community. Depending on the architectural style of the building, the following elements should be considered in the building design:
   a. Pronounced window lintels/sills/mullions, etc.
   b. Columns with a capital and base.
   c. A water table constructed of high-quality masonry units (such as cast-in-place stone) that extends beyond the face of the facade.
   d. Vertically oriented windows of at least a 2:1 ratio.
   e. Cornice lines with significant depth and multiple levels of relief.
   f. A monumental raised entryway.
   g. A formal landscaped area or plaza.
   h. A tower element with a turret, cupola, or similar treatment.
4.6: BUILDING DESIGN STANDARDS

4.6.1 GENERAL TO ALL APPLICABLE DISTRICTS (SEE 4.2.2)

A. Size, Mass and Scale: The absolute size and scale of buildings and accessory structures (including canopies) shall be appropriate to the absolute size and scale of structures located adjacent to the proposed infill building in the neighboring context. For example, infill buildings should be no taller than the average building height of adjacent buildings on the block, as be no taller than the adjacent buildings' top-sill height.

B. Proportions of Bays and Openings: All building bays, including porches, colonnades and porticos as appropriate, shall be square or vertical in proportion, unless the design merits a horizontally-proportioned opening. Wall openings, with the exception of transoms and storefronts, shall be square or vertical in proportion. The facade of a proposed building should draw upon the proportion and number of bays in surrounding buildings, as defined by windows, doors, and column spacing, to establish a compatible scale.

C. Building Materials: Building wall materials shall be combined on each facade only horizontally, unless the building is broken vertically by a change of plane, or a vertical architectural element. Heavier materials, such as masonry and stucco, shall be located below lighter materials, such as cement fiber or wood siding.

D. Roof Forms: Where pitched roofs exist, primary roofs shall have a minimum slope of 4:12, while ancillary roof slopes may be no less than 2:12.

E. Garages and Accessory Structures: Attached garages, detached garages, and other accessory structures shall be subordinate in height, footprint, and proportion to the primary structure on the site, and shall be compatible with the principal structure in terms of roof form, materials, and color. Where a garage contains an accessory dwelling unit above, or in the case where an accessory dwelling sits above another accessory dwelling in a 2-story configuration, it may exceed the height of the primary structure.

F. Trash, Mechanical, Utility and Service Equipment and Areas:
   1. Loading docks, service areas, and trash disposal facilities (e.g., trash and recycling receptacles, compactors, dumpsters) shall be hidden or screened from view of streets, parks, squares, waterways, or significant pedestrian spaces. Loading areas may be oriented toward adjoining developed properties that are zoned for nonresidential uses, if such loading areas are screened from view. See Section 2.7.1 E. for specific provisions about these areas in the Historic District.
   2. With respect to the construction of new commercial buildings, mechanical equipment, such as ventilation systems, commercial exhaust fans, rooftop terminations, commercial cooling equipment, heating and air conditioning units, TV antennas, and satellite dishes, shall be hidden or screened from view. Lattice, open brick enclosures, or vegetation can be used to conceal ground-level mechanical equipment. Screening material shall be properly maintained. If vegetation is used for screening, the mature size of the vegetation shall be considered so that equipment airflow will not be compromised.

G. Colors: Buildings and additional site elements — e.g., bollards, arbors, drive-thru canopies, sign posts — shall not be painted in a color or pattern that expresses corporate identity, to the extent that the paint color or pattern is not consistent with the design standards of the district. Fluorescent colors are
prohibited except where required by a public agency, such as ASHTO safety yellow may be used with the approval of the Administrator, are also prohibited except where required by a public agency.

H. Two Story Height Required at Significant Intersections: Specific to Zones T4-N and T5-UC. Two-story buildings are required within 250 feet of any Significant Intersection (see Street Hierarchy Diagram in Appendix C.3) as measured from the centerline of the intersection. Any parcel that is partially within the 250-foot radius will be required to comply with the two-story height requirements. This includes the following intersections:

- Hwy 170 and Boundary
- Sams Point and Sea Island
- Boundary and Ribaut
- Parris Island Gateway and HWY 21
- Charles and Bay
- Carteret and Bay
- Bladen and Boundary
- Bladen and Bay
- Ribaut and Depot
- Ribaut and Allison
- Ribaut and First Blvd.
- Ribaut and Mossy Oaks

H. Outdoor Display of Merchandise:

1. Definition of "Merchandise": For purposes of this section, merchandise is defined as any item that is for sale on the premises or is representative of an item that is for sale on the premises, regardless of whether or not that particular item is available for purchase.

2. Arrangement, Location, and Type of Merchandise:
   a. Arrangement: Merchandise shall be arranged and spaced so as not to clutter the front of the property, as determined by the Administrator.
   b. Outdoor Merchandise: Except in T5-DC, per Section 4.6.1 H.2.d., automobiles, trucks, boats, trailers, outdoor landscape structures (garden sheds, arbors, gazebos, etc.), plant materials, agricultural products, lawn maintenance equipment, and outdoor furniture may be displayed outdoors per the provisions of the zoning requirements of each district section. Outdoor merchandise may remain outdoors when the business is closed.
   c. Indoor Merchandise: Merchandise other than that typically used and stored outdoors may be displayed outdoors within a maximum of 5 feet from, and directly in front of building or the tenant space. Indoor merchandise shall only be displayed during business hours.
   d. Specific to T5-DC:
      i. Display Hours: All merchandise shall be displayed outdoors during business hours only.
      ii. Location: All merchandise displayed outdoors shall be within 5 feet of the building and only in front of the building or the tenant space.

3. Location:
   a. Merchandise shall not be placed on the public sidewalk or within the right-of-way without approval of the Administrator. If merchandise is displayed on any privately owned sidewalk, a minimum of 4 feet of the sidewalk as measured from the curb must remain open and unobstructed to facilitate safe pedestrian circulation.
   b. Areas designated for vehicular parking may not be used as outdoor display areas temporarily for a time period not to exceed 48 hours with the approval of the Administrator.
c. Merchandise shall not be displayed on public street furniture or landscaping.

4. **Designation in Plans:** plans for new developments or reuse of an existing space shall clearly designate any areas for outdoor display of outdoor merchandise. Outdoor display of merchandise shall only occur in areas designated for such display on the approved plan.

5. **Vending Machines and Realty Advertising Racks:** Vending machines, except newspaper boxes, shall be screened from view from the street. Realty advertising racks, and other street furniture visible from the public right-of-way shall not display any advertising or other commercial message, except where such advertising or commercial message is counted as a sign under Article 6 (Signs). These racks shall be constructed of wood or metal.

6. **Gifts to the Street:** Items such as benches, planters, or other decorative elements that enhance a shopfront, may be displayed so as not to clutter the street, and as long as they meet the clearance requirements of merchandise listed above. These elements must be properly maintained and may not contain advertising of any kind.

7. **Screening Requirements:** Outdoor merchandise may be displayed on the site behind buffers meeting the requirements the Required Buffer Width and Planting Chart in Section 5.5.1.

### Fencing:

1. Chain link fencing is not permitted to extend past the front of any primary structure or be located along any street right-of-way. Chain link fencing is not permitted in the Historic District.

2. Barbed wire fencing is prohibited except in the LI district.

### 4.6.2 T1 DISTRICT STANDARDS

There are no specific standards for this district. The Administrator will determine whether the proposed development is compatible with the surrounding context and may choose to permit the appropriate Design Review Board to review the project. In the Historic District, any development in T1 districts shall be reviewed by the Historic Review Board.

### 4.6.3 SPECIFIC TO TRANSECT-BASED DISTRICTS (SEE 4.2.2)

#### A. Building Materials and Details:

1. Building walls shall be finished in 1 or more (but not more than 3) of the following materials:
   a. Wood.
   b. Fiber cement board siding, smooth finish.
   c. Concrete masonry units with stucco (CMS).
   d. Reinforced concrete with stucco.
   e. Brick.
   f. Tabby (or stucco with oyster shell aggregate, typ.).
   g. Corrugated metal, which may be approved by the Administrator based on the surrounding context and location on the site.
   h. Other materials as approved by the Administrator, based on visual compatibility with listed approved materials.

2. Visible foundation walls and chimneys shall be finished in one of the following materials:
   a. Brick.
b. Stucco.
c. Tabby.

3. Columns may be constructed of the following materials:
   a. Wood.
   b. Wood or fiber cement clad.
   c. Cast iron.
   d. Concrete with smooth stucco finish.
   e. Cast stone with smooth finish.
   f. Brick.
   g. *Perma-cast.
   h. *Other synthetic materials as approved by the Administrator, based on visual compatibility with listed approved materials.

4. Railings and balustrades may be constructed of the following materials:
   a. Painted wood.
   b. Wrought iron.
   c. *Aluminum.
   d. *PVC.
   e. *Other synthetic material as approved by the Administrator, based on visual compatibility with listed approved materials.

5. Doors shall be made of wood, metal, glass, or fiberglass*.

6. Roofs with visible pitches shall be constructed of the following materials:
   a. 25-year architectural dimension shingles.
   b. Tile (clay, cement, natural or manufactured stone).
   c. Nonreflective pre-finished metal.
   d. Slate.
   e. Reflective metal such as copper.
   f. Other similar metals as approved by the Administrator.

7. The following materials are prohibited:
   a. Exposed concrete masonry units.
   b. Vinyl Siding.
   c. Plastic shutters.
   d. Stone.
   e. Metal panels:
   f. Day-glo, luminescent, iridescent, neon or similar types of color finishes.
   g. Mirrored glass with a reflectivity of 20% or more.
h. Roofing.
   i. Plastic or PVC roof tile.
   ii. Nontraditional colors such as orange or purple.

8. Exceptions: In the Historic District, materials indicated with an asterisk (*) may or may not be permitted, on a context-specific basis, at the discretion of the Design Review Body.

B. Roof Forms:

1. Specific to zones T4 and T5:
   a. Permitted roof types include gabled, hipped, shed, barrel vaulted, flat, mono-pitch, and domed. Applied and partial (less than 3 sides) mansard roofs are not typically permitted but may be permitted at the discretion of the Design Review Body based on compatibility with the surrounding context, size, mass and scale of the structure.
   b. Shed, flat, and mono-pitch roofs shall be concealed with parapets along the street frontage, except on porches, balconies, or building extensions.
   c. Downspouts and gutters should be galvanized steel, aluminum, or copper and shall match in materials and finish.
   d. Roof penetrations shall be hidden or painted to match the color of the roof.
   e. Skylights must be flat to the pitch of the roof. In the Historic District and T5 districts, they shall not be located on any sloped roof facing the primary frontage.

C. Windows and Doors:

1. Transparency Fenestration, specific to zones T4 and T5: Any commercial or mixed-use building elevation facing a street, waterway, or primary parking area public space shall include a minimum of 40% of transparent fenestration (windows and doors) on the ground floor and 20% on upper floors. Fenestration shall be transparent, or allowing light to pass through, to the primary public way, waterway or primary parking area. In cases where fully transparent is difficult to achieve, the Administrator or Planning Commission with approval discretion may allow spandrel glass to fulfill transparency requirements in T4 and T5 zones, should the spandrel glass be backlit by hot voltage light. Apartment houses shall conform to these requirements as much as possible, however the minimum percentage of transparent fenestration on the ground floor of any structure may be adjusted at the discretion of the Design Review Board/Planning Commission. It shall not be reduced to lower than 30%. Spandrel Glass may be used to fulfill transparency requirements in T4 and T5 zones.
2. Proportion and Details:
   a. Windows shall not be flush with exterior wall treatments. Windows shall be provided with an architectural surround at the jambs and header, and a projecting sill.
   b. Window openings may be grouped horizontally, but trim between windows shall be at least 3.5 inches wide. This does not apply to storefront windows.
   c. Specific to zones T4 and T5:
      i. Facades should have several window sizes with smaller ones above. This is particularly important when buildings rise more than 2 stories.
      ii. Thin mullions or muntins are encouraged shall be required on windows larger than 2 feet in any direction, except for shopfronts. The depth of the mullion should not be less than the width.

D. Architectural Details:
1. Shutters: Shutters shall be sized and placed so as to equal the width that would be required to cover the window opening. Operable shutters are preferred. Metal or composite shutters may be approved by the Code Administrator or Planning Commission.
2. Column Bays: Columns and piers shall be spaced no farther apart than they are tall. Column bays shall be of equal and precise proportions, unless it is determined by the Code Administrator or Planning Commission that the spacing would complement the architectural style of the proposed use, and would not be detrimental to the surrounding neighborhood or buildings.

3. Specific to zones T4 and T5:
   a. Cornices are required to delineate the tops of facades. Expression lines are required to delineate the divisions between the first floor and upper floors. Cornices and expression lines should either be a molding extending a minimum of 2 inches, or a jog in the surface plane of the building wall greater than 2 inches. Cornice or eave height shall be consistent with the dominant cornice or eave height of buildings on the same block.
   b. The elevation of the first floor and floor-to-floor heights shall be compatible with the expression of floors in the facades buildings on the same block.
   c. Buildings shall have a base, wherein the bottom is articulated differently from the rest of the building, either by change of material or a setback. Material and craftsmanship on the base shall be as or more durable and of equal or higher quality than the rest above. For single-family detached dwellings, the base consists of the porch and/or primary entry.

4.6.4 SPECIFIC TO CONVENTIONAL DISTRICTS (SEE SECTION 4.2.2)

A. Building Materials and Details:

1. Building walls shall be finished in 1 or more (but not more than 3) of the following materials:
   a. Wood, painted or natural.
   b. Fiber cement board siding, smooth finish.
   c. Concrete masonry units with stucco (CBS).
   d. Reinforced concrete with stucco.
   e. Brick.
   f. Tabby (or stucco with oyster shell aggregate, typ.).
   g. Corrugated metal.
   h. Other compatible and authentic materials as approved by the Administrator.
2. **Specific to LI District:** Building walls not visible from a public right-of-way may be finished in metal or exposed CMU block, in addition to the materials listed above.

3. **Visible foundation walls and chimneys shall be finished in 1 of the following materials:**
   a. Brick.
   b. Stucco.
   c. Tabby.
   d. **Specific to LI District:** Painted CMU block is permitted.

**B. Roof Forms, Specific to RMX and IC Districts:**

1. In general a slope of 4:12-12:12 is appropriate for primary roofs, while ancillary roof slopes may be 3:12-5:12.

2. Permitted roof types include gabled, hipped, shed, barrel vaulted, flat, mono-pitch, mansard, and domed. Applied and partial (less than 3 sides) mansard roofs are not typically permitted, but may be at the discretion of the Design Review Body based on compatibility with the surrounding context, size, mass and scale of the structure.

3. Shed, flat, and mono-pitch roofs shall be concealed with parapets along the street frontage.

4. **Specific to IC District:**
   a. Downspouts and gutters are to be galvanized steel, aluminum, or copper. Downspouts and gutters are to match in materials and finish.
   b. Chimneys shall have a masonry exterior finish.
   c. Roof penetrations shall be hidden or painted to match the color of the roof.
   d. Skylights must be flat to the pitch of the roof and shall not be located on any sloped roof facing the primary frontage.

**C. Windows and Doors:**

1. **Transparency, Specific to RMX and IC Districts:** Building elevations that face the street shall have at least 40% of the first-floor wall area consist of windows and/or doors, and 15% of upper floors.

**D. Massing and Articulation:**
1. Building footprints shall not be larger than a single block. Where there is not a defined block structure in the area, see Section 4.3.2.B and Section 7.2.2.B for additional standards.

2. Facades that are visible from streets, parks, squares, waterways, significant pedestrian spaces, or primary vehicular access points or parking areas:
   a. Shall not include expanses of blank walls (without any transparent windows or doors) of 20 linear feet or greater.
   b. Shall be divided into architecturally distinct sections (or bays) with similar proportions. The bays should average no greater than 35 linear feet wide through the use of different architectural divisions or elements such as pilasters, columns, and/or changes in wall depths or floor and roof levels.
   c. Shall be in harmony with each other in terms of scale, proportion, detailing, material, color, and design.

3. On corner lots, distinct roof or building elements shall be utilized, such as porches, canopies, arcades, or towers. Buildings may be aligned to create public gathering spaces, while conforming to the building placement and orientation requirements set out in Section 2.5.1.C.

---

E. Architectural Proportions and Details:

1. All buildings shall have a clearly discernible base, body, and cap, with masonry soldier courses or other horizontal expression lines separating each element.
2. Cornices are recommended to delineate the tops of facades. Expression lines are recommended to delineate the divisions between the first floor and upper floors.

3. Colors: Recommended colors are traditional historic colors, earth tones (greens, tans, light browns, terra cotta, grays, pale primary and secondary colors, and white and cream tones). Trademark colors are considered signage and will be counted as such unless they are consistent with the color palette as previously described.

F. Additional Guidelines Specific to IC District: All buildings constructed as part of a campus may be subject to the guidelines for civic building outlined in Section 4.5.10, or shall be held to standards similar to the requirements in the surrounding context.

4.6.5 MANUFACTURED HOME PARK DISTRICT STANDARDS

The following standards shall apply to all development within the Manufactured Home (MH) Park District:

A. Site Size: Parcels shall be a minimum of 5 acres with a minimum width of 150 feet.

B. Infrastructure: The site shall be served by public water and sewer facilities.

C. Maximum Density: 7 manufactured home units per acre.

D. Minimum Space Per Unit: 60 feet in width, and 4,000 square feet of area which shall be clearly defined.

E. Minimum Unit Spacing: 20 feet of clearance between only those MHS parked end to end and any building located within the park.

F. Open Space: Required dedication of open space shall be in accordance with the provisions of 7.4.

G. Subdivision: All lots regardless of whether they are proposed for sale or lease shall undergo Subdivision Review (Section 9.9).

H. Posting: The "certificate of occupancy" shall be conspicuously posted in the office or on the premises of the MH District.

I. Administrative Procedures:
1. A proposed Manufactured Home Park must be rezoned to the MH Park District through the amendment process in Section 5.16 (Amendments).
2. Effect of Approval: If approved by city council, all information pertaining to the proposal shall be adopted as an amendment to this Code as the standards of development for the particular MH Park District.

J. Permanent Installation:
1. MHS shall be attached to a permanent foundation of brick, concrete, framing, or block that is unpierced, except for required ventilation and access or for flood hazard construction.
2. Any tongue, axles, running lights, and removable towing apparatus must be removed prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.

K. Entrances:
1. At the main entrance, there shall be an entryway transition, such as a porch or stoop, that is a minimum of 6 feet by 6 feet.
2. Stairs, porches, entrance platforms, ramps, or other means of access shall be attached to the structure and anchored securely to the ground.

L. Roof Form and Materials:
1. Roofs shall have a minimum eave projection and roof overhang of 6 inches, not including the gutter.
2. Roofs must be covered with a material that is customarily used on site-built dwellings. Aluminum or metal roofing is not permitted unless standing seam metal roofing or metal shingles are used.

M. Wall Materials: Exterior siding shall be wood, vinyl, metal horizontal siding, brick, fiber cement board, stucco, or similar materials. Smooth, ribbed, or corrugated metal or plastic panels, exposed plywood, and materials with a high-gloss finish are not permitted.

4.7: HISTORIC DISTRICT INFILL DESIGN GUIDELINES

4.7.1 APPLICABILITY AND INTENT

The following principles shall be considered by the HRB for new construction within the Historic District overlay. The intent of these principles is to protect the integrity and coherence of the Historic District, and to provide clarity and consistency for developers, designers, and regulators.

4.7.2 PRINCIPLES FOR COMPATIBLE INFILL

A. The District is the Resource, Not Only Its Individual Parts: Beaufort is comprised of a number of individually significant buildings. Additionally, Beaufort's historic areas are significant as a collective whole, and shall be considered as such and protected in their entirety. This is the primary, overarching principle.

1. New construction and rehabilitation of an existing contributing structure shall respond to and protect the integrity of the overall Historic District in much the same way as an addition does to a historic building.

2. The integrity of the district — why, where and when a property is important — shall be upheld in all new construction and rehabilitation projects. Guidelines for determining integrity are as follows:
   a. Location: This is the relationship between the property and its historical context.
   b. Design: This is the combination of elements that create the feeling of a district or structure. These elements include building patterns, streetscapes, site elements, building size, mass and scale, spatial relationships, and specific architectural elements and details.
   c. Setting: This is the physical environment of a property, and should be evaluated on its context as well as on the historical role the property has played and continues to play. Important features include topography, vegetation, man-made features, and relationships between existing structures and their surroundings.
   d. Materials: These are the physical elements that make up a property or district.
   e. Workmanship: This is the physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or time period. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, workmanship of surrounding structures should be considered and respected. Retaining the details of the original craft and craftsman (i.e., wood, masonry, tile, etc.) of the original building ensures the historic fabric is retained and serves as an important component of the integrity and the patina of age of individual structures and the district as a whole.
f. **Feeling:** This is the property’s expression of the aesthetic or historic sense of a particular period of time. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, the feeling of surrounding structures should be considered and respected.

g. **Association:** This is the direct link between an important historic event or person and a property. This particularly applies to rehabilitation projects, but for new infill projects, association of particular sites and neighborhoods should be considered.

B. **New Construction Shall Reinforce the Historic Significance of the District:** Infill buildings should relate to and strengthen the core characteristics of the district, as identified in the National Register nomination’s “Statement of Significance.”

1. New construction should build upon the history and established pattern of the district through its design, landscape, use, and cultural expression. An understanding of the character and significance of the district should predicate any design or development activities.

2. If applicable, cultural expressions and/or historic uses within the district may be considered in design or development activities.

C. **New Construction Shall Complement and Support the District:** The Historic District has a distinct rhythm of massing, scale, and siting. Infill buildings should not deviate in a detracting manner from these elements, but appear as complementary members of the district.

1. Lot size, massing, siting, floor area ratio, and height must correspond and be no taller than the average of the adjacent buildings that contribute to or complement the rhythm of the district.

2. The use of buildings will be secondary to their design and integration into the district. However, newly introduced uses should not be detrimental to the historic fabric.

   - All new construction should follow the Beaufort Preservation Manual and all supplements and updates.

D. **Infill Shall Be Compatible Yet Distinct:** New buildings should be identifiable as being of their period of construction; however, they should not be so differentiated that they detract from - or visually compete with - their historic neighbors. Within historic districts, compatibility is more important than differentiation.

1. Because the district is the resource, the reconstruction of buildings that existed within the district during the period of significance is allowed. Reconstructions shall be done in accordance with the Secretary of the Interior’s “Standards for Reconstruction.”

2. Style is discouraged from being the primary indicator of differentiation.

3. Means of differentiation may include materials, mechanical systems, and construction methods.

E. **The Exterior Envelope and Patterning of New Buildings Shall Reflect District Characteristics:** Infill design elements, patterning, texture, and materials should reflect the aesthetic and historic themes of the district.

1. Patterns of fenestration, building divisions, setbacks, and landscapes that are characteristic of the district should inform the design of new buildings.

2. Mechanical and automobile infrastructure should be appropriately concealed when not consistent with the district’s character.

F. **Contributing Buildings Should Not Be Demolished to Create Infill Opportunities:** Properties deemed contributing in the “1997 Beaufort County Above Ground Historic Sites Survey,” or in the most recent
G. **Archeological Resources Shall Be Preserved in Place or Mitigated:** When new construction disturbs or affects archaeological resources, mitigation measures should be taken such that the history of a site can be traced. See Section 8.3 for archeological assessment requirements.

1. Archaeological mitigation must conform to local, state, and federal laws and accepted professional standards.
2. When appropriate, archaeological mitigation should be accessible to the general public in an educational capacity.
3. Information yielded from archaeological mitigation should be interpreted in the new building and throughout the district.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City of Beaufort is a small coastal city located in the Lowcountry region of South Carolina. As of 2021, the city had an estimated population of 13,607. Beaufort, like many communities across the country, is facing challenges related to housing affordability and availability. Rising housing costs, limited supply of affordable units, and growing demand are putting pressure on Beaufort’s housing market. These factors are making it increasingly difficult for many residents - especially low- and moderate-income households, seniors, and key members of the workforce like teachers and first responders - to find suitable housing they can afford. To better understand the current state of housing in Beaufort and identify potential solutions, the city commissioned this Housing Impact Analysis. The analysis examines demographic trends, housing stock characteristics, affordability metrics, and the economic impacts of housing on the local economy.

Key findings from the analysis include:

- Beaufort’s population has grown by 10.1% over the past decade, putting pressure on the housing market (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021)
- The median home value in Beaufort is $277,000, which is 21% higher than the national median of $229,800, and this number continues to increase yearly (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021)
- 36.1% of Beaufort households are cost-burdened, spending more than 30% of their income on housing (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021)

To address these challenges, the report recommends a series of strategies, including:

- Increasing the supply of affordable housing through incentives for developers and partnerships with non-profit organizations
- Preserving existing affordable housing through rehabilitation programs and tenant protections
- Expanding housing options by allowing accessory dwelling units and encouraging mixed-use development
- Leveraging public land and resources to support affordable housing development

By implementing these strategies, Beaufort can work towards a future where all residents have access to safe, affordable, and quality housing.

ATAX FUNDING

The growing demand for affordable housing for these households continues to be a focus for the City and area employers. The following Housing Impact Analysis addresses the
required questions outlined as part of Act 57 allowing the City to utilize ATAX as an eligible funding source to support local workforce housing needs. The City of Beaufort ATAX collections vary from year-to-year but have consistently been in the $1 million range for the past three years.

City of Beaufort Historical and Projected ATAX Collections

FY22- Actual- $914,442
FY23- Actual- $1,030,484
FY24 Estimated- $1,194,940

The following Housing Impact Analysis per Act 57 requirements includes:

- Current housing and employment trends in the City of Beaufort
- Local analysis of housing and development costs
- Market-rate housing prices
- Cost of available financing to purchase or develop housing.
- Local determination of need for workforce housing including income and housing disparities
- ATAX revenue impacts on short- and long-term housing costs
INTRODUCTION

The City of Beaufort is a vibrant community located in the Lowcountry region of South Carolina. Known for its historic charm, natural beauty, and high quality of life, Beaufort is an attractive place to live and work. However, like many desirable communities, Beaufort is grappling with the challenges of housing affordability and availability.

To better understand these challenges and identify potential solutions, the City of Beaufort commissioned this Housing Impact Analysis. The analysis examines a range of factors that influence housing in Beaufort, including demographic trends, housing stock characteristics, affordability metrics, and the economic impacts of housing.

The goal of this report is to provide a comprehensive assessment of housing in Beaufort and offer recommendations for addressing the city’s housing needs. By taking a proactive approach to housing policy, Beaufort can work towards a future where all residents have access to safe, affordable, and quality housing.
DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

Beaufort’s population has grown steadily over the past several decades, driven by its attractive quality of life, strong economy, and proximity to major employment centers. According to the U.S. Census Bureau (2021), Beaufort’s population increased from 12,361 in 2010 to 13,607 in 2020, representing a growth rate of 10.1%.

This population growth has put pressure on Beaufort’s housing market, as demand for housing has outpaced supply. The result has been rising home prices and rents, making it increasingly difficult for many residents to find affordable housing (National Low Income Housing Coalition, 2021).

In addition to overall population growth, Beaufort has seen significant changes in its demographic composition. The city’s population is becoming increasingly diverse, with the percentage of Hispanic residents increasing from 6.5% in 2010 to 8.4% in 2020, and the percentage of Asian residents increasing from 1.5% to 2.5% over the same period (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021). The city is also experiencing an aging of its population, with the median age increasing from 35.8 in 2010 to 38.5 in 2020 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021).

These demographic shifts have important implications for housing in Beaufort. As the population becomes more diverse, there is a need for housing that meets the needs of a wider range of household types and income levels. And as the population ages, there is a growing need for housing that is accessible, affordable, and allows seniors to age in place (Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, 2021).

The lack of affordable housing in Beaufort can have significant economic impacts on the local workforce and businesses. When workers are unable to find housing they can afford near their jobs, they may be forced to commute long distances, leading to increased transportation costs, reduced productivity, and a lower quality of life (Urban Institute, 2019). This can make it difficult for businesses to attract and retain skilled workers, hindering economic growth and competitiveness.

Moreover, when a large portion of a household’s income is spent on housing, there is less disposable income available to spend on local goods and services. This reduced consumer spending can have a ripple effect throughout the local economy, impacting businesses of all sizes (National Low Income Housing Coalition, 2021). Addressing housing
affordability is therefore not only a social imperative but also an economic necessity for ensuring the long-term vitality and resilience of Beaufort’s economy. To effectively address Beaufort’s housing challenges, it is crucial to understand the specific needs of different demographic groups:

- Young professionals often seek affordable rental housing close to employment centers, with amenities like public transit access and walkable neighborhoods (Urban Institute, 2019).
- Families require larger, multi-bedroom units with outdoor space and proximity to schools and childcare facilities. Affordable homeownership opportunities are also important for long-term stability (Grounded Solutions Network, 2018).
- Seniors need accessible, single-level housing with support services and transportation options to enable aging in place. Affordable senior housing and assisted living facilities are in high demand (Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, 2021).

By tailoring housing strategies to the unique needs of each group, Beaufort can create a more balanced, equitable housing market that supports the entire community.

**EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY IN BEAUFORT, SC**

Beaufort's economy is driven by a diverse mix of industries, with a particularly strong presence in the service sector. According to data from the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey (2017-2021), the top industries employing Beaufort residents are:

- **Educational services, and health care and social assistance:** This industry is the largest employer in Beaufort, accounting for 21.7% of the city's total employed population (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021). It includes jobs in schools, colleges, hospitals, medical offices, and social service agencies.

- **Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services:** The second-largest industry, employing 18.6% of Beaufort's workforce, reflects the city's strong tourism and hospitality sector (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021). This category includes jobs in hotels, restaurants, bars, museums, theaters, and other leisure and hospitality businesses.

- **Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services:** Employing 12.4% of Beaufort's workers, this industry encompasses a wide range of jobs in legal, accounting, engineering, research, consulting, and administrative services (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021).
• **Retail trade**: Retail businesses, including grocery stores, clothing shops, home goods stores, and other merchants, employ 11.7% of Beaufort's workforce (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021).

• **Public administration**: Government jobs at the local, state, and federal levels account for 8.5% of Beaufort's employment (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021). This includes positions in city and county government, as well as military and civilian jobs at the nearby military installations.

Other notable industries in Beaufort include construction (6.2%), finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing (5.7%), manufacturing (3.5%), and transportation and warehousing, and utilities (3.4%) (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021).

The presence of military installations, particularly Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort and Marine Corps Recruit Depot Parris Island, also significantly impacts the local economy. These bases provide civilian employment opportunities and support local businesses through the spending of military personnel and their families (Beaufort Regional Chamber of Commerce, 2021).

Table 17: Economic Impact of Visitors 2017-2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beaufort County</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Employment</td>
<td>14,170</td>
<td>14,590</td>
<td>15,280</td>
<td>14,620</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll from Tourism</td>
<td>$261,570,000</td>
<td>$270,780,000</td>
<td>$294,880,000</td>
<td>$307,230,000</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures by Visitors</td>
<td>$1,374,800,000</td>
<td>$1,433,990,000</td>
<td>$1,539,740,000</td>
<td>$1,379,800,000</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Beaufort's industry mix reflects its status as a coastal tourism destination and its proximity to larger employment centers like Hilton Head Island and Savannah, Georgia. The city's diverse economy and strong service sector presence contribute to its overall economic stability and growth potential.

The economic impact of housing affordability on Beaufort's local workforce and businesses is significant and far-reaching. When housing costs consume a disproportionate share of household incomes, it creates a cascade of consequences that extend beyond individual families to the broader community.

For workers, high housing costs can limit their ability to live near their places of employment, leading to longer commutes, increased transportation expenses, and reduced quality of life. This not only affects individual well-being but also has implications for businesses. When employees face long and costly commutes, it can result in decreased productivity, higher rates of absenteeism, and increased turnover (Joint Center for Housing Studies, 2021). These factors can make it challenging for businesses
to attract and retain skilled workers, which in turn can hinder economic growth and competitiveness.

Furthermore, when households are forced to allocate a significant portion of their income to housing, they have less disposable income to spend on local goods and services. This reduced consumer spending can have a ripple effect throughout the local economy, impacting businesses across various sectors (National Association of Realtors, 2019). Small businesses, which often rely on local patronage, may be particularly vulnerable to the economic consequences of housing unaffordability. Addressing housing affordability is therefore crucial not only for the well-being of Beaufort's residents but also for the long-term economic vitality of the community. By ensuring that workers can find suitable housing they can afford near their jobs, and that households have sufficient disposable income to support local businesses, Beaufort can foster a more sustainable and inclusive economy that benefits all its residents.
Housing Stock Analysis

Beaufort’s housing stock is diverse, reflecting the city’s long history and varied architectural styles. According to the American Community Survey (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021), there are 5,778 housing units in Beaufort, of which 65.1% are single-family homes, 30.6% are multi-family units, and 4.3% are mobile homes. The age of Beaufort’s housing stock is also varied, with 39.3% of units built before 1980, 32.6% built between 1980 and 1999, and 28.1% built after 2000 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021). While older homes contribute to Beaufort’s historic charm, they may also present challenges related to maintenance, energy efficiency, and accessibility (Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, 2021).

In terms of tenure, 54.1% of Beaufort households own their homes, while 45.9% are renters (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021). The homeownership rate in Beaufort is lower than the national average of 64.4%, reflecting the challenges of affordability and limited supply of entry-level homes (Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, 2021).

Vacancy rates provide another important indicator of the health of Beaufort’s housing market. According to the most recent data, the vacancy rate for owner-occupied units is 2.7%, while the vacancy rate for rental units is 7.2% (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021). These rates suggest a tight housing market, with limited availability of units for both purchase and rent.

Looking ahead, there are several key challenges and opportunities related to Beaufort’s housing stock. One challenge is the need to preserve and maintain the city’s older housing stock, which may require significant investment in rehabilitation and retrofitting (National Low Income Housing Coalition, 2021). Another challenge is the need to expand the supply of affordable housing, particularly for low- and moderate-income households (Grounded Solutions Network, 2018).
At the same time, there are opportunities to leverage Beaufort’s unique assets to support housing development. For example, the city’s historic buildings and vacant land could be repurposed for affordable housing, while its walkable neighborhoods and access to public transportation could support higher-density, mixed-use development (Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, 2019).

By taking a proactive approach to housing stock management and development, Beaufort can work towards a future where all residents have access to safe, affordable, and quality housing that meets their needs.

**Construction and Development Costs Analysis**

Housing construction and development costs are a significant factor in the overall affordability and availability of housing in Beaufort. According to data from the National Association of Home Builders (2021), the average cost of constructing a single-family home in the South Atlantic region, which includes South Carolina, was $105.21 per square foot in 2021. This figure includes costs for materials, labor, and contractor overhead and profit, but does not include the cost of land or site development.

Applying this regional average to Beaufort, the cost to construct a typical 2,000 square foot single-family home would be approximately $210,420. However, actual construction costs in Beaufort may vary in this constantly fluctuating market depending on a number of factors, including:

- Local labor costs and availability of skilled construction workers (Urban Institute, 2019)
- Prices for building materials, which can fluctuate based on supply and demand (National Association of Home Builders, 2021)
- Site-specific factors such as terrain, soil conditions, and infrastructure requirements (Urban Institute, 2019)
- Building design and amenities, with higher-end finishes and features adding to overall costs (Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, 2021)
In addition to the direct costs of construction, developers in Beaufort also face a range of other costs and fees associated with the development process. These can include:

- Land acquisition costs, which have been rising in many parts of the Lowcountry as demand for housing increases (Urban Institute, 2019)
- Site development costs, such as grading, utilities, and stormwater management (Urban Institute, 2019)
- Permitting and impact fees charged by the city and county governments (Grounded Solutions Network, 2018)
- Financing costs, including interest on construction loans and other development expenses (Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, 2021)

According to a 2020 report by the South Carolina Housing Finance and Development Authority, the average cost of a new home in Beaufort County was $314,000, which is significantly higher than the statewide average of $243,000. This suggests that the combination of high construction costs, land prices, and development fees is contributing to the overall affordability challenges in Beaufort’s housing market.

To help mitigate these costs and encourage the development of more affordable housing, the City of Beaufort could consider a range of strategies, such as:

- Offering density bonuses or other zoning incentives for projects that include affordable units (Grounded Solutions Network, 2018)
- Reducing or waiving certain development fees for affordable housing projects (Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, 2019)
- Partnering with non-profit developers or community land trusts to acquire and develop land for affordable housing (Grounded Solutions Network, 2018)
- Exploring alternative construction methods and materials that could reduce building costs without sacrificing quality or durability (Urban Institute, 2019)

By working to address the various factors that contribute to high housing construction and development costs, Beaufort can help to create a more balanced and affordable housing market that meets the needs of all its residents.

THE ROLE OF TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE IN HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

Transportation and infrastructure play a vital role in supporting housing development and affordability. Access to reliable and efficient transportation options, such as public transit, bike lanes, and well-maintained roads, can significantly impact the location and cost of housing (Center for Neighborhood Technology, 2020). When housing is located near transit hubs or along major transportation corridors, it can reduce transportation costs for residents and make housing more affordable overall (Urban Land Institute, 2019).
Moreover, investments in infrastructure, such as water and sewer systems, can open up new areas for housing development and help to lower construction costs (National Association of Home Builders, 2020). By strategically planning for and investing in transportation and infrastructure improvements, Beaufort can support the development of more affordable and accessible housing options for its residents (City of Beaufort, 2021). Addressing infrastructure concerns will be paramount to housing success in the City of Beaufort, and this will require consistent coordination with various utilities providers including but not limited to:

- Beaufort-Jasper Water and Sewer
- Dominion Energy
- Hargray
- Brightspeed
HOUSING AFFORDABILITY ANALYSIS

Housing affordability is a critical issue facing many communities, including Beaufort. When housing costs consume a large portion of household income, it can create financial stress and limit opportunities for families to invest in other areas such as education, healthcare, and savings (Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, 2021).

To assess housing affordability in Beaufort, this analysis examines several key metrics, including median home values, median rents, and cost burden rates. According to the most recent data from the American Community Survey (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021), the median home value in Beaufort is $277,000, which is 21% higher than the national median of $229,800. The median gross rent in Beaufort is $1,036, which is 6% higher than the national median of $1,096 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021).

These high housing costs are putting pressure on many Beaufort households, particularly those with low and moderate incomes. One common measure of housing affordability is the cost burden rate, which refers to the percentage of households spending more than 30% of their income on housing costs (U.S. Department of Housing and Urban
The data shows that more than a third (36.1%) of all households in Beaufort are cost-burdened, meaning they spend more than 30% of their income on housing (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021). The cost burden rate is even higher for renters at 46.9%, while 27.4% of homeowners with a mortgage are cost-burdened (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021).

The data reveals that nearly three-quarters (73.8%) of households with incomes below $20,000 are cost-burdened, highlighting the significant affordability challenges for low-income residents in Beaufort (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021). Additionally, more than a third (37.1%) of households headed by seniors aged 65 and older are cost-burdened, which suggests that many older residents may be struggling to keep up with housing costs on fixed incomes (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021).

These affordability challenges have far-reaching impacts on the community. When families struggle to afford housing, they may be forced to make difficult trade-offs, such as skipping meals or forgoing healthcare (National Low Income Housing Coalition, 2021). High housing costs can also make it difficult for local businesses to attract and retain workers, which can hinder economic growth (Urban Institute, 2019).

To address these challenges, Beaufort will need to pursue a range of strategies to expand the supply of affordable housing and help families access the housing they need. This could include policies such as:

- Inclusionary zoning, which requires developers to set aside a portion of new units as affordable housing (Grounded Solutions Network, 2018)
- Density bonuses, which offer developers incentives to build more affordable units (Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, 2019)
• Housing trust funds, which provide dedicated funding for affordable housing development and preservation (Grounded Solutions Network, 2018)
• Rental assistance programs, which help low-income families afford market-rate rentals (National Low Income Housing Coalition, 2021)
• Homeownership programs, which provide down payment assistance and other support to help families become homeowners (Urban Institute, 2019)

By taking a comprehensive approach to housing affordability, Beaufort can work towards a future where all residents have access to safe, affordable, and quality housing that meets their needs.

A comprehensive review of recent housing market data from Redfin reveals that Beaufort, SC, is experiencing a robust and dynamic real estate market, characterized by steady growth and moderate competition (Redfin, 2023).

**Key findings:**

**Median Sale Price:** The median sale price for homes in Beaufort currently stands at $466,000, which represents a significant 13.7% year-over-year increase (Redfin, 2023). This substantial growth in median sale prices indicates strong demand for
housing in the area and suggests that property values are appreciating at a healthy rate.

**Price per Square Foot:** The price per square foot for homes in Beaufort is $272, reflecting a substantial 24.8% growth compared to the previous year (Redfin, 2023). This metric provides a more standardized measure of housing prices and further reinforces the notion that the Beaufort real estate market is experiencing significant price appreciation.

**Days on Market:** Homes in Beaufort are currently listed for an average of 75 days before selling, which is an increase from the 42 days observed in the previous year (Redfin, 2023). While this increase in days on market suggests a slight moderation in market intensity compared to the rapid pace seen in the past year, it is still indicative of a relatively competitive market where homes are selling within a reasonable timeframe.

The housing market data for Beaufort paints a picture of a strong seller's market, characterized by rising prices and healthy demand (Redfin, 2023). The significant year-over-year increases in both median sale prices and price per square foot demonstrate that buyers are willing to pay a premium for homes in the area, which bodes well for property owners and investors. However, the lengthening time that homes remain on the market before selling hints at a potential cooling trend compared to the rapid pace observed in the past year (Redfin, 2023). This could be a sign that the market is beginning to stabilize and that the intense competition among buyers may be moderating slightly.

Overall, the Beaufort housing market presents a dynamic and promising landscape for both buyers and sellers. The sustained buyer demand and consistent price appreciation suggest that the market is fundamentally strong and that there is continued interest in living in the area (Redfin, 2023). While market conditions still favor sellers, as
evidenced by the rising prices, the increasing days on market indicate that a more balanced market may be on the horizon (Redfin, 2023). As such, buyers may find slightly more opportunities to negotiate or find value in the coming months, while sellers can still expect to benefit from the overall strength of the market. It will be important to monitor these trends closely in the future to see how the market evolves and to make informed decisions about buying or selling property in Beaufort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Median Price</th>
<th>% Change from 2022 to 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beaufort, SC</td>
<td>$390,000.00</td>
<td>+12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilton Head, SC</td>
<td>$768,000.00</td>
<td>+10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleston, SC</td>
<td>$563,500.00</td>
<td>+10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myrtle Beach, SC</td>
<td>$325,000.00</td>
<td>+10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville, SC</td>
<td>$377,500.00</td>
<td>-10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte, NC</td>
<td>$410,000.00</td>
<td>+1.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

Housing is not only a basic human need, but also a significant driver of economic activity. The housing industry supports a wide range of jobs, from construction and real estate to finance and legal services. Housing also generates significant economic output, through both the direct spending on housing construction and the ripple effects that spending has throughout the economy (National Association of Home Builders, 2021).

The economic impact of housing extends beyond just the direct effects of construction and real estate. When a new home is built, for example, it generates demand for a wide range of goods and services, from building materials and appliances to legal and financial services (National Association of Home Builders, 2021). This spending then ripples through the economy, supporting jobs and businesses in other sectors.

Housing also plays a critical role in attracting and retaining businesses and workers. When housing is affordable and available, it can help businesses recruit and retain the workers they need to grow and thrive (Urban Institute, 2019). Conversely, when housing is scarce or unaffordable, it can make it difficult for businesses to find workers, hindering economic growth (Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, 2021).

Despite the clear economic benefits of housing, many communities are struggling to keep pace with demand. In Beaufort, for example, the supply of new housing has not kept up with population growth, leading to rising prices and affordability challenges (U.S. Census Bureau, 2021). To support continued economic growth, Beaufort will need to find ways to expand the supply of housing, particularly affordable housing (Grounded Solutions Network, 2018).

One promising strategy is to leverage public-private partnerships to support housing development. By working with private developers, non-profit organizations, and other partners, Beaufort can pool resources and expertise to build more housing, faster (Urban Institute, 2019). The city can also use tools like tax incentives, density bonuses, and streamlined permitting to encourage housing development (Grounded Solutions Network, 2018).

Another strategy is to focus on infill development and redevelopment of existing properties. By building on vacant or underutilized land within the city, Beaufort can expand the supply of housing without contributing to sprawl or straining infrastructure (Urban Institute, 2019). This approach can also help to revitalize neighborhoods and support local businesses.

Ultimately, the economic impact of housing underscores the importance of proactive housing policies. By working to expand the supply of affordable housing and support
the housing industry, Beaufort can create jobs, attract businesses, and support long-term economic growth (Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, 2021).

**POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS**

Act 57 allows local governments to allocate up to 15% of ATAX funds received towards affordable housing. With this in mind, the City of Beaufort would most likely have approximately $100,000.00 in ATAX funds that could be applied towards these recommended housing policies in any given fiscal year. At this point, the City of Beaufort continues to partner with Beaufort and Jasper County and other surrounding municipalities in funding the Beaufort-Jasper Housing Trust (BJHT). Because the BJHT is a relatively new entity, further assessment will be needed to determine the effectiveness of the program, however housing will continue to be a regional issue, and not something that the City of Beaufort will be able to completely address on its own. Based on the findings of this Housing Impact Analysis, the City of Beaufort should consider the following policy recommendations to address housing affordability and availability:

1. **Increase the supply of affordable housing:** Beaufort should work to expand the supply of affordable housing through a range of strategies, including:
   
   - **Inclusionary zoning policies that require developers to set aside a portion of new units as affordable housing:** Beaufort can adopt inclusionary zoning policies that mandate or incentivize the inclusion of affordable housing units in new residential developments (Grounded Solutions Network, 2018). These policies typically require developers to set aside a certain percentage of units (e.g., 10-20%) to be rented or sold at below-market rates to income-qualified households. In return, developers may receive incentives such as density bonuses, expedited permitting, or reduced parking requirements. Inclusionary zoning can be an effective tool for creating mixed-income communities and ensuring that new development contributes to the supply of affordable housing. However, it is important to design these policies carefully to balance affordability goals with the financial feasibility of development projects.
   
   - **Density bonuses and other incentives for developers that build affordable housing:** Offering density bonuses and other incentives can encourage developers to include affordable housing units in their projects (Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, 2019). Density bonuses allow developers to build more units than typically permitted under zoning regulations in
exchange for providing a certain number of affordable units. Other incentives may include height or floor area ratio (FAR) bonuses, reduced setback or parking requirements, or fee waivers. These incentives can help offset the cost of providing affordable units and make it more financially viable for developers to participate in affordable housing production. Beaufort can tailor these incentives to local market conditions and development priorities, and ensure that they are coupled with long-term affordability requirements to preserve the affordable units over time.

- **Partnerships with non-profit organizations and other entities to build and preserve affordable housing:** Collaborating with non-profit organizations, community land trusts, and other mission-driven entities can be an effective strategy for developing and preserving affordable housing (Urban Institute, 2019). These partnerships can take many forms, such as:
  - Providing financial support, such as grants or low-interest loans, to non-profit developers for affordable housing projects
  - Offering technical assistance, such as help with site acquisition, permitting, or project management
  - Collaborating on joint development projects that combine public resources (e.g., land or funding) with the expertise and capacity of non-profit partners

- **Utilization of public land and resources to support affordable housing development:** Beaufort can use public land and resources to support the development of affordable housing (Grounded Solutions Network, 2018). This can include:
  - Identifying and prioritizing city-owned land that is suitable for affordable housing development
  - Offering long-term ground leases or low-cost land sales to affordable housing developers
  - Providing infrastructure improvements or other site preparation work to reduce development costs
  - Establishing a land bank or land trust to acquire and hold land for future affordable housing development

2. **Preserve existing affordable housing:** In addition to building new affordable housing, Beaufort should also work to preserve its existing stock of affordable units. This could include:
- **Rehabilitation programs to help owners maintain and improve aging properties:** Beaufort can establish or support programs that provide financial assistance, such as grants or low-interest loans, to property owners for the purpose of rehabilitating and maintaining older, affordable housing units (National Low Income Housing Coalition, 2021). These programs can help preserve the existing affordable housing stock by addressing issues like aging plumbing and electrical systems, energy inefficiency, and deferred maintenance. By investing in the rehabilitation of these properties, the city can improve living conditions for residents, extend the lifespan of affordable units, and prevent them from falling into disrepair and potentially being lost from the affordable housing inventory.

- **Tenant protections to prevent displacement and ensure long-term affordability:** Implementing tenant protection policies can help preserve affordable housing and prevent displacement of low-income residents (Grounded Solutions Network, 2018). These policies can include:
  - Rent stabilization measures that limit the amount and frequency of rent increases
  - Just cause eviction ordinances that require landlords to provide a valid reason for evicting tenants
  - Right-to-counsel programs that provide legal assistance to tenants facing eviction
  - Tenant right of first refusal policies that give tenants the option to purchase their building if the owner decides to sell

- **Acquisition of at-risk properties by non-profit organizations or public entities:** Beaufort can work with non-profit organizations or use public funds to acquire affordable housing properties that are at risk of being converted to market-rate housing or falling into disrepair (Urban Institute, 2019). These at-risk properties may include privately-owned affordable housing developments with expiring subsidies or affordability restrictions, or naturally occurring affordable housing (NOAH) that is vulnerable to rent increases and gentrification. By transferring ownership of these properties to mission-driven non-profit organizations or public entities, the city can ensure that they remain affordable and well-maintained for the long term. This strategy can be particularly effective when combined with other tools, such as low-income housing tax credits (LIHTC) or long-term affordability covenants, to secure the properties' affordability for decades to come.
o **Adaptive reuse of underutilized buildings:** Beaufort should explore opportunities to convert underutilized buildings, such as vacant commercial properties or historic structures, into affordable housing units (Urban Land Institute, 2020). This approach can help to expand the supply of affordable housing while also preserving the city’s built heritage and reducing the environmental impacts of new construction (National Trust for Historic Preservation, 2023). To support adaptive reuse projects, the city could offer incentives such as tax abatements, expedited permitting, or technical assistance to developers (Urban Institute, 2019). Additionally, partnerships with non-profit organizations and community development corporations could help to identify suitable properties and secure funding for adaptive reuse projects (Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, 2019).

3. **Expand housing options:** To meet the diverse needs of its residents, Beaufort should work to expand the range of housing options available. This could include:

   o Allowing accessory dwelling units (ADUs) and other small-scale infill development (Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, 2019)
   o Encouraging mixed-use development that combines housing with retail, office, and other uses (Urban Institute, 2019)
   o Supporting the development of a range of housing types, from single-family homes to apartments and townhomes (Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, 2021)

4. **Leverage partnerships and resources:** Addressing housing affordability and availability will require collaboration and partnership across the public, private, and non-profit sectors. Beaufort should actively seek out partnerships and leverage all available resources to support housing goals. This could include:

   o Partnering with major employers to develop workforce housing (Urban Institute, 2019)
   o Working with local colleges and universities to address student housing needs (Grounded Solutions Network, 2018)
   o Leveraging state and federal funding programs to support housing development and preservation (National Low Income Housing Coalition, 2021)
   o Collaborating with neighboring jurisdictions to address regional housing needs (Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, 2021)
By pursuing these policy recommendations, Beaufort can take meaningful steps towards ensuring that all residents have access to safe, affordable, and quality housing. While there is no one-size-fits-all solution to the housing challenges facing Beaufort and communities across the country, a comprehensive and proactive approach that leverages partnerships and resources can help to create a more equitable and sustainable housing market for all.
CONCLUSION

The Housing Impact Analysis for the City of Beaufort has highlighted the significant challenges and opportunities facing the community when it comes to housing affordability and availability. The analysis has shown that Beaufort's population growth, demographic shifts, and economic trends are putting pressure on the housing market, making it increasingly difficult for many residents to find suitable and affordable housing.

However, the analysis has also identified a range of strategies and policy recommendations that can help to address these challenges. By increasing the supply of affordable housing, preserving existing affordable units, expanding housing options, and leveraging partnerships and resources, Beaufort can work towards a future where all residents have access to safe, affordable, and quality housing.

Implementing these strategies will require a sustained commitment from the City of Beaufort, as well as collaboration and partnership with a wide range of stakeholders. This includes developers, non-profit organizations, major employers, educational institutions, and neighboring jurisdictions. By working together and leveraging all available resources, Beaufort can create a more equitable and sustainable housing market that supports the needs of all residents.

It is important to note that addressing housing affordability and availability is not a one-time effort, but rather an ongoing process that requires continuous monitoring, evaluation, and adjustment. The City of Beaufort should regularly assess the impact of its housing policies and programs, and make changes as needed to ensure that they are meeting the evolving needs of the community.

Ultimately, the Housing Impact Analysis underscores the critical role that housing plays in the overall health and vitality of a community. By prioritizing housing affordability and availability, Beaufort can create a stronger, more resilient, and more equitable community for all residents. The recommendations and strategies outlined in this report provide a roadmap for achieving this vision, and the City of Beaufort is well-positioned to lead the way in creating a more sustainable and inclusive housing market for generations to come.
APPENDICES
APPENDIX A: METHODOLOGY

The Housing Impact Analysis for the City of Beaufort was conducted using a variety of data sources and analytical methods. The primary data sources used in the analysis include:

- U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data
- City of Beaufort Comprehensive Plan
- County of Beaufort, Assessor's Office data
- South Carolina Association of Realtors, housing market data
- National Association of Builders, market costs data
- Redfin, housing market data

The analysis included both quantitative and qualitative methods, including:

- Descriptive statistics and trend analysis of demographic, housing, and economic data
- Geographic Information Systems (GIS) mapping and spatial analysis
- Economic impact modeling
- Stakeholder interviews and focus groups
- Policy analysis and best practices research

The methodology was designed to provide a comprehensive and data-driven assessment of housing affordability and availability in Beaufort, and to identify actionable strategies and recommendations for addressing the city's housing needs.
APPENDIX B: GLOSSARY OF TERMS

- **Affordable Housing**: Housing that costs no more than 30% of a household's gross income, including utilities.

- **Area Median Income (AMI)**: The median household income for a given geographic area, as determined by HUD.

- **Cost Burden**: When a household spends more than 30% of its gross income on housing costs, including utilities.

- **Housing Stock**: The total number of housing units in a given geographic area, including both occupied and vacant units.

- **Low-Income Household**: A household earning less than 80% of AMI.

- **Market-Rate Housing**: Housing that is available on the open market, without any subsidies or restrictions on price or occupancy.

- **Moderate-Income Household**: A household earning between 80% and 120% of AMI.

- **Subsidized Housing**: Housing that receives government subsidies to make it more affordable to low- and moderate-income households.

- **Workforce Housing**: Housing that is affordable to workers in essential occupations, such as teachers, healthcare workers, and first responders.
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